F.B.I. Is Destroying Criminal Files. On Cases Closed for Five Years

By ANTHONY MARRO t Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, March 14-The Federal, of the bureau, and had decided to purge Bureau of Investigation, over the objec- its criminal files as well. tions of some of its agents but with the consent of the National Archives, has begun to destroy the inactive criminal will be like 1957. There'll be another been closed for five years.

The policy, which was approved by the bureau's executive conference about four months ago, calls for the destruction of N.Y., in 1957. all criminal files in the 59 field offices, providing that the case has been closed for five years, the subject of the file is not considered a threat to "national security" and the subject does not have any civil litigation pending against the Government.

James Awe, the F.B.I. agent who supervises the management of its 7,000 file cabinets of records, said today that material of substance would still be on file at bureau headquarters here in Washington and that most of the material to be destroyed would be "unfounded allegations that never resulted in Federal viola-tions" that were proved.

Overreaction Is Seen

A number of agents said privately, properly in deciding to limit its so-called the Department of Justice. A bureau official said the usual method for destruction often focused on fringe political groups, but then had "overreacted" to criticism

"Five years from now, we'll have to start all over again," he predicted. "It files in its field offices of cases that have Apalachin and nobody will killow who they are." His reference was to a meeting of alleged organized crime figures at a private residence in upstate Apalachin, I But your willy

Others in the bureau disagree, and one senior official suggested privately that the bureau might be better off if many of its files were "burned before they're

nead," rather than walting five years.

Mr. Awe said that because only the field office files would be destroyed there would still be records at headquarters that would contain summaries of any "substantive" information that was in them.

Several bureau sources said that a reason for the decision was that a number of persons had begun civil suits against the Government after using requests under the Freedom of Information Act to obtain information that the bureau had

on file on them.

Mr. Awe, however, said that it was more a routine management decision. however that agents working on organ it becomes a matter of cost effective-ized crime cases were concerned that in ness, he said it becomes a matter of formation they considered important to using resources to maintain files, when "We're trying to manage these files; and the bureau's monitoring of criminal or- you don't need access to them anymore." ganizations could be lost with the learned immediately "We're retreating full blast," said one, how many files are scheduled to be dewho did not want to be quoted by name. stroyed under the new policy, which bu-He suggested that the bureau had acted reau officials said was not challenged by