R. Ross Buckley TA/PA Unit, Original Division 3 10 12 AM '77 partment of Justice JUN 13 10 12 AM '77 shington, D.C. 20530

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION PRIVACY UNIT CRIMINAL DIVISION

Rt. 12. Frederick, Md. 21701

FOI/CRM 2393

ar Mr. Buckley,

Thanks for the enclosures with your letter stamp dated June 3. I regret it was delayed aching me because yesterday I met with the FBI's FOIA agent. He showed no signs of owing of your altter so I presume he had not yet received it.

There is a real problem here. You send me records indicating that an undescribed le (except for the number of envelopes) was returned to the FBI and they tell me y gave these records to you and you, meaning the Department, never returned them.

What is truly astounding is that in these divisional memoranda there is no single ference to what I regarded as more important than any other item, the references to a an to oust the President. From the records we I loaned to the Department a Congressman and Thorkelson was involved with the chief of staff, General Halin-Craig. I feel a cidedly Orwellian shill in reading 1940 records relating to those files and making no ference to this or to any inquiry. Saying in fact that there was no basis for inquiry.

There is another rquest of this nature that I filed with the Department. You make reference to it. As I now recall it was to as ure that compliance was not limited to Lifiles or to FBI HC files. I want all Departmental records however described by the remait or stored by it. There is, of course, no limitation to the Criminal Division. t I recall nothing from anyone else anywhere in the Department. I also believe that the t requires referrals once it is known that there is reasonable basis for believing her records exist and can be located.

I am sure that earlier in this matter I wrote about having been a grand-jury tness. The Department in fact made a strong errort to indict me. Now that I know the partment was also aware of the forgery, one of the two counts to which Mayne entered a ilty pleas, I find its the absence of any such record in the Criminal Division other an what I would expect. I have a very clear recollection of being asked to go to the in Justice building, of being asked to sign a statement I found to be not accurate, of fusing to sign it and of being detained for a while. This impasse ended when my lawyer, gar Turlington, came. No record? None of the waiver I signed? None of the other records that period, of conferences for example? None between Mr. Rosge and me then associate, a late Gardner Jackson, Mr. Nogge's friend? No recusal from Mr. Rogger, 'r. Jackson ving been his friend?

No record of what happened to those of my papers obtained from Congressman Hook?

I do have difficulty believin; that you law and order exponents, then and now, ve so frivolous an attitude to property, which is what all those records are. Mine only.

No referral to the Army? To the Attorney eneral on such a matter?

I have no way of knowing how your files are organized but I am certain that in the partment there must be other records. I am confident that one in your position knows to om to make the referral. It is, I would hope, all one big happy epartment, one that on has telephones that might be used for such purposes.

My request is not limited to mayne. It is for all records relevant to what was for at day a rather sensational matter. To the best of my knowledge all other principals it are deceased. I believe that it is proper to release all of it to me particularly cause I am depositing it all in a university as soon as I receive it.

What the Bureau returned to me, inm a box I burned just day before yesterday (National gle whiskey carton), was empty envelopes, file folders and some, not all, of Pelley's terature. It happens I discussed this just yesterday with SA John Hartingh of the FOIA

unit. Last week the history professor who will be handing the archive I have begun to eposit was here. We packed up some of the older records for shipment. For the past 36-7 years what was returned to me has remained in the box in which it was returned. We ent over that to save space because it was most empty envelopes of various sizes, none ederal, and empty file folders. I took them to Mr. Hartingh, showed them to him and them eposited them in a wastebasket in the room in which we met.

Now some of the Department's people, and the FBI is part of the epartment, emptied all hose file folders—which had held my property/.(Can I ask you to believe that property a property, whether it is paper or automobiles, mine of ITT's?) I would appreciate a more erious effort to restore it than I have observed, more because from your own letter this atter is now more than a year and a half old, much older than any claimed backlog of high I have heard.

I do not pretend to seek any hidden meaning in the final paragraph on page one of our letter. I do not accept any abbitrary switching from one Act to another. My requests ere under both FOTA and PA and I am expecting you to comply with both, not tell me that nder your interpretation of PA you are required to search only a search in my name. I have twen you the other names and I have asked for all relevant records. My name certainly see not appear in the plot to other throw the President. It should appear in files other can the one you say was searched with negative results, the many Helen case.

I do not belefeve either Act requires that I tell you the number of the room in aich the files are kept or the name of the manufacturer or the color of the cabinet. I believe it requires a search in good faith and with due diligence. Now taking Mary len an an illustration, not only was I virtually a Department employee, I rendered ervices that inse some instances not even the FBI agents would perform. I was the unofficially fileial rumrunner for which I was given the Department's auto. I escorted a distressed emsel back to Washington as well as a male employee with delerium tremens part of the way washington. I participated in pleasbargaining and legal research and was borrowed from e senate to help with the duces tecum subpoenses. I helped prepare the examinations of itnesses and even ran the teletype when necessary. I do not expect that there need be scords of these or other functions and services but I have seen some letters and I surely as paid back some of my expenses if not all. In fact I was recommended for Departmental aployment - in writing. I believe that with a little less dedication to Orwell and a little ore to the language and purposes of the Acts my association with the Department as of mat time might turn out to exist in some form on paper. Believe me if I do not here go ito all of them I did perform the most delicate of services not all of which were not corded.

In the course of collecting the records for the university last week I found a few gatives, second or later generation, made from film brought out of thile for me and livered by me to your Division, as I remember to George Mc, ulty. I also gave all of this iterial to immy Roosevelt. President Roosevelt used some of it in a fireside chat. CIA is found records establishing that I did provide such material but none of the material certainly hope you will not contest that records of this nature meet the historical quirements. I do also hope you will now want me to believe that nobody in the Department lieved there was any interest in a Nazi plot for a coup in Chile-or that nobody referred by of this to the late J. Edgar "cover."

I could go on and on with evidences of records that have to exist—and you find none. have been shown a record released in the Rosenberg case. It refers to coverage of a recital, the late Paul Robeson. I met hr. Hobeson at the airport and was his chauffeur—escort after the completion of his concert. The records I have seen refer to the license after the completion of his concert. The records I have seen refer to the license after of other autos. Can you believe that there is no record among them alone of me? We of the people investigated in that case, much to my surprise when I learned of it. Intended an apartment from which is had moved earlier. I was a guest there when there was a crty for the black writer Richard Wright (Native Son - I have a first edition), I had a

te or two with her daughter and I worked with her former husband. There is probably re but would you believe there is no record at all, even if a never knew the Rosenres or any others in that case?

By wife has read your penultimate paragraph relating to Alger Hiss. You refer to D pages with no indication of their content. By wife was in a secretarial position with me Senate committee for which Er. Hiss worked. (In connection with the criminal charges ainst Tr. Hiss I was spoken to by an FBI agent who was really looking for my wife who is not home. Later my wife was interviewed.) By wife's reastion is that because she thought all of Mr. Hiss and believe him not at all guilty the records of the interview may well we been withheld from the prosecutors.

In this paragraph you say "we recall no reference" to either of us. We did not seek ur recollection, the laws preclude my sking your secollection but my wife and I did quest copies of records. Please let us know what the records show, not what you claim precall.

With irrelevant and immaterial words like these for which you did find time I regret not you could not have been more specific in your final paragraph. In it you tell me that ou told the national Archives the Department has no objection to the release to me of nentirely undescribed record. If you had given any indication of what that record of years ago related to perhaps I might recall it. I do not. It may even have been provided me by the National Archives last year. There simply is no way I can learn this from y files. Because you know this and I do not I ask that you make this comprehensible to in whatever form suits you. I do not believe it is the intent or the language of the of that I be put to all this extra time and work to obtain any record.

If I have no knowledge of the organization of your files I do have knowledge that agardless of the manner of organization you do have means of learning whether or not on have records you have not provided on or about my wife and me. We both invoked both ats. In combination and with the requirement imposed upon you by the standard of good with and due diligence we are asking that you abandon all these unbecoming semantics and after the forthrights and proper policy statement by the attorney General - and instead of such evasion as claiming what you "recall" merely provide the records that you an find if you can overcome a well-estable had reluctant to do it.

Sincerely,

"arold Weisborg