Hemo for John Hartingh et al for 11/11/77 meeting Harold Weisberg 11/10/77

TA

Last night I finished reviewing a selection of the field office files I had laid aside for special purposes. I will give you some of the questions relating to compliance I noted in them while reviewing them for other purposes.

I think, hypever, that there is much of the past that is relevant and that while you may want me to forget it because you have ignored it I am not prepared to forget it. I will not have time to organize and reorganize these. They will be off the top of the head.

Azide from the large number of missing attachments in your processing of the FRIM? files you noted quite a large number of records that were withheld because they were referred to others. These others ranged from the Department to State to CLA. If I have received a single one of these I do not recall it. These go back for months, to the very beginning of the processing more than a year ago.

You have told me verbelly that you have had no response. I do not believe this is any more than stonewalling. I believe those records are required for compliance. They are still withheld, which to no means no compliance. I suggested a call or other effort with those you say have not responded. I think they would prefer that to a word from the judge. You have given no nothing showing any effort to obtain response from these people. Moreover, with the determination that this is an historical case and the new directive from the AG now a half-year old there appears to be virtually no exception that can be eleined for those records.

This is true of other police agencies. In June I offered you a compromise, write a letter to the Mountles and have them state in writing that the records relating to the Ray investigation are required to be withheld for real police needs and I would accept their response. You have not given me a copy of any such latter and I am certain tof the reason - there is nothing in these records that need be withheld if, indeed, there is basis for it with all that the FBI has leaked and all that was represented to the Tenn. courts over a period of years. The same goes for England and Portugal. For all you have withhold all names when all are public and were they not in my vise did not cualify for withholding. The more ridecolous are those subpoensed as witnesses and those who held press conferences, where you even withheld the mames of those who held these press conferences. Add Mexico, for it applies there. Flus the fact that the La field office files disclose Puerta Villarta investigations and reports that should exist and are not provided, like the 4/10/68 report that a guest there had killed Ring. I can't imagine an Agent reviewing these kinds of reports without recognizing that seasthing had to follow the initial report to the LA field office. (That was so early in the investigation the laundry marks had just been identified and the LA angle with them.) Include the same with regard to memphis police, a question I have reised without response and the dithholding of those non-secret names. All these aspects relate to compliance and good faith.

The question of orige-scene pictures remains unresolved. I have not had time to check my notes on this. The notes are too voluminous. But I have located the note to sysalf if I did not also write you about the fact that the descriptions of these pictures and the number of them do not match what you have provided. There can be no confusion with the mictues the NFO took in Hovember because all of these were prior to the taking of the November pictures. That some were medical pictures neither complicates it nor resolves the problem. The descriptions and the pictures provided are not identicial, in fact or in number.

In writing you earlier on the Item of surveillance I believe I may have forgetten to give you specifics I think I really do not have to give you on James Earl Ray. Where you originally withheld with Jerry Ray you have not since provided what you withheld. The indications are of a black bag job on the Peppers. I raised this question without any response.

Eay was the subject of such surveillance beginning in London. I have the records that reflect it. This was continued in Kemphis where ggain I have the records reflecting it. This is how the sheriff learned that Bay was about to withdraw from his agreement to cop a plea.

The sheriff intercepted all his cail, including with counsel. He gave all to the FML. e also gave all to the prosecutor. Jim and I obtained a copy of the written directives on this and put it in the record of the svidentiary hearing. (This is the one I had indexed for Jim in 1975, the index the FBI declined to accept for assistance in processing these records at issue.) Your own pecords reflect that on openation the FBI received copies even before the presecutor did. I can give you details without and that you do not really need. The interceptions were under Captain Smith, the non responsible for the copying was Administrative DA Lloyd Rhodes, some went to GAD Canale personally and others to one your records note is a graduate of the FRI Academy, Eutchison. You have not provided the copies of the intercepted letters particularly with counsel. This, too. began in England. To the best of x my knowledge the interception of my correspondence with Ray never stopped. He never received my first letter. While I am not about to identify any of my official sources on the chance some were not picked up on surveillance you should know that they are good and accurate ones from the confirmation of even the specific details I gave for Viscum at our first mosting, the one that led to contacting the MPO. I guess I can tell you because of the number of them that my sources ranged from those who were Ray's jailors, those is the anne cell with his, to fairly high police officials and to the prosecution. Not every Memphie official was in agreement with the practises. Moreover, while the records I've received do not reflect it, the local agents spent such time with the press. From Jensen down. You are still withholding McFerren information Jensen personally gave reporters I know. This is but one example. There are many.

Relatively recently there was a Jack Anderson column relating to one described as an FET informer by the name of Manfred Baron. It says he was in the Ray cell during the evidentiary hearing. This was October g 1974. I believe I know "Manfred Baron" as "Tat Man Williams." I also was in that cell block at that time. On several occasions Jin was with me. If I believe it is passing strange that with all the alloged concern for Ray's security he and a sam of Baron/Williams' reputation were in the same cell I think it is no less strange that you have not provided a single record on this. I know something about this man's career, enough details not limited to a description that is close to unique. Going along with this while there are records indicating some coverage of the evidentiary hearing, even repeated checking siwth the clerks of court, there is no record of the challenge to the FET's evidence, even with the existence of records in what I have showing the HQ interest in it. An example is the lab work and Frazier in perticular.

The records I have reflect the release to others of records not provided to no. There was a deal worked out with ing people to let them have some, for which in some cases releases were obtained. I have mentioned this before. I have had no response.

The lack of response extends to the specifics I have you, as I recall in some cases with sopies of records, at our I une meetings. That was a long time ago.

I monthemed that the H.O. field office has relevant records on me in what I wrote you more recently. I believe I also told you that this has to include the matter of the "exico skatch and the se-called "trang" picture from ealey Plaza. I've just thought of something else: It should include what relates to a couple of my more dubious sources who were fixed up for some very dubious work with a well-known Fäl/MJ informer in Baten Houge. They were in touch with me from "atom Houge while this was going on. They were fixed up be a well-known MJ personality of the period. I met him several times during that period, once by accident. His name has eight letters. Your informer's is six, the femous case is five. On this there are other related withholdings I've specified without response. There should be relevant Hemphis records I've also not been given. In fact theret is a total wold on this from Hemphis. It should be in more than one set of records.

With the foregoing I've varying degrees of proof. If I can now find it these extend to tape-recorded fink interviews. One once spent until 5 a.m. talking into my tape recorder, turning it off only once that I can recell. This one also was a BADD informer. Where I have less proof but an fairly confident that if I have to I can provide enough includes other items like the late Judge Battle. I have difficulty believing that the FBI has no records relating to his untimely death, particularly because it was initially feared to be a homicide. I've interviewed these who were involved when it was believed to be a homicide. Jin was with me when <sup>I</sup> interviewed one, in his own office, using even his tape recorder, which was better than mine. It is not easy to believe that with all that hung on that mysterious death, and by this I mean what hung for the FMI, it had no records on this and all those records about the woman who here the insignificent Charles Cabbage a bastard. In addition, Judge Battle had a practise of giving the FMI letters he received. I recall getting only one, one a dition asked his to forward to hay's defense counsel. The good judge of sainted civil rights memory apparently believed the FMI was Ray's defense.

You keep telling me that there are no higher-level files to search but there are some that did exist even if you claim there are odly the central files, the othere being destroyed regularly. An example is the Director's files. How many cabinets of Hoever's were there? Thirty some? Nothing on King or any aspect of this request or the formulation of it by the Department?

I hope we don't get into the kind of situation reflected in the litt affidavit. Washington wanted En affidavit attesting to nothing out of the way relating to Ray's rights and illegal seisures of evidence and SAC Hitt provided it from Atlanta. But the agent who did the black-bag job is Burgess, who reported on its successes to Hitt and to the Burgau.

The obit in this morning's Post reminds me of the virtually total anonymity for the late Millian Sullivan in the more than 440,000 pages I've gone over. He also had no files? He made not a single note that was preserved? Nothinb at all with regard to the really intensive political operations against King? And didn't be go to Hemphis immediately to take charge? Would you believe it if I told you something like that?

While going over the records yesterday and last night I made a few notes relating to compliance, really non-compliance. I do not attempt to correlate them. I also put aside a few copies I can show you to illustrate the points in some and other instances.

At our meeting after the 11/2 calendar call I told you and Charles that I have been given no record of any investigation of the mailing of the Birmingham bank's dafe deposit key from Saton Rouge. It was called to the attention of the N.O.FO. in its 157-10673-263. There is a real problem with this that the FBI would never have ingored: the date given predates Ray's departure from Los Angeles on that trip. It is 12/13/57.

WPO 44-703-4? is incomplete as provided. Whether or not there were more pages this is one of those copied crockedly, eliminating the Serial.

Pictures: if my recollection of the SQ files on others is incorrect shong the NFO files that may hold further references are Subs A and D.

There remain the withheld political pictures for which there is the privacy claim I believe is frivolous in general and ridiculous in specifics where you have provided such pictures as zeromed from the published. (Didn't you copy the copyrighted in that?) You have also withheld the manes of these who took the pictures, even where they are known to these in the pictures, more because in some cases they asked permission to take the pictures. One of the photographéers is Ernest Withers. He was at the grine scane shortly after the shooting. He also took pictures of individuals who figure in the political files and relating to the strikes. I noted one reference to him to give you reason to believe he was not a total mystery to the SMXXXX NFO - 44-1967-318. I'm not cortain of the Serial. It in unclear. I am pretty confident there is a separate file on him in Memphis.

He and Louw are not the only black photographers at the crime scene at the time of the orime. (Nor whre there no white photographers.) I recall no single mention of Josephine Colfield. I am not certain of the spelling but it is close. She was then with a St. Louis black paper. She later moved to Baltimore, I understand.

3

This remind me, you have been silent about the question I raised, questions, really, hout the withholding from the LA FO record predicting how I was going to wreck the FHI. I believe that record was not the only one, or I think two. The next of the source was withheld. Try the name Charach for accuracy. You don't have to for size. Not only did you withheld this and continue to withhold it after the AB's statement of policy on privacy- if he is the one he was a very public figure than. He also would fit other parts of the requests. Like GTLA.

a sign

Atlanta Eaves report. I believe I've raised this before and offered you my sopy of it. But you continue to withhold it after all the great attention to the content, much and often on coast-to-coast TV not limited to Dick Gregory. According to Atlante 44-2366-2495 you personally phoned about this 6/23/77. This also happend to be after the 5/5 AG policy statement. Serials 2352 and 2553 are relevant.

You have not responded to my asking about the guy with the bun steer to the Los Angeles fines. While in the later records you stopped witholding the name Lester Edward Packett failing to replace the earlier ones introduces confusion and uncertainty. When the source was the press I can't see where any exemption applied to begin with.

There are some records relating to a suspect but no responses to me. The source was David Gaines. Two citations are WFO 44-705 (remember I told you that although he lived in the Alexandria territory it was also WFC - and you said there was no Alexandria them?) and Alexandria 44-149. The incident was near here, at the Hawalian motel near Brunswick, Hd. That should be under our local residency and in turn under Baltimore. The Gaineses were redentacted after he phoned me. Among the still-withheld records this is at least onew. I'd be surprised if there was no Hawalian checking. Fost people would be shocked given what "Harris" said - and pre-existing reason, still withheld, to look into it. In raising this with you earlier I esked you if the right name is Faisley. I would strongly encourage serious consideration of the alternatives with this one and what fits with it. I have done some work on it, including in jouisians. Jim is well coed in on it. I have records of FBI records that are relevant that you have not provided. Given the interceptions and copying of Ray a wail to me what he told me is not secret. There is much that can be very embarrassing and to more than the FBI if all of this is aired in court.

No response on Raul Esquivel. I wrote, we discussed this as late as last week and I find in 157-10673-1253, N.O. record I do not recall from HQ files, that Supervisor Long phoned and said he wanted Esquivel interviewed. I recall no interview report.

I told you about my friend Matt Horron and those records not provided from Memphia. I know from him that he was in contact with the FO at the time. He is referred to by the name, bu coincidence, "Harris" in one of several records that duplicate what he told the FO, but duplicate it only in part. A still withheld part - and I am not saying this is the only one because it isn't- has to do with what Hark Lane has been misrepresenting and misusing, the yanking of Ed Sedditt and the two black firemen. MFO did have a few earlier records on that without reference to what he reported. As you know by new I was completely accurate on this. He is a dependable source even if he is now mavigating ships on ecological adventures. If the FEI is still reading newspapers.

Two relevant records are along those I located in those I've gone over since we met. They are 44-1987-90 and probably 132, part of which is lost in xeroxing. It is the last sentence of 90 that appears to refer to Herron as "Marris."

On the 2d I called B'ham 44-1740-411 to your attention with regard to the aketoh from Bexico, which it reports, and the "tramp" picture and with your continuing failure to come up with the copies I left for the FBI with the local resident agent after phoning about it. I recall no copy of Serial 411 from Memphis, to which it was sent, and nothing further by way of investigation. I do not recall receiving the copy of either of the "two photographs of GLANDE CHESTER MELANES, JK., MAXIMMERIAL taken at Mexico City International Airport on April 8,1968." This is probably because only six copies of each were sent two two field offices. I recall nothing from HQ files on this. Memphis Sub B 56 is Beltimore's 44-669 of 5/3/68 to Dallas enclosing what I gave the RA.It menages to miss the point with respect to the King assassination. Dallas' S/21/68 response to Baltimore, Hemphis Sub E, number unclear, reflects no concern over the non-sequetur. However, it does supply at an opinion from the souter of the Times-Herald W once would have thought would not have been lest upon the FBI, in HQ or the various field offices,"that the alleged newspaper artists sketch appeared to have been node by someone who was looking at the photo of the unknown lookalike..." I had the same notion when I phoned the FBI.

· 2

This is one of the early items in my requests. If you are going to claim that the FBI was not aware of what I told it even after a newspaper editor knocked them on the head over it I will inists that it be in writing and not what I might call a Hitt-and-run affidavit.

I don't believe that Al Chapman is referred to in this Dallas Airtel but he appears to have called the same thing to Dallas FO attention. I understood before I even met him that he was then a member of the KKK, which a Dallas report states. Ehapman was also my first source on these "tramp" pictures. He ob since thes and others from the photographers.

Nearshile, what happened to what you have told the court about hever, never copying copyrighted pictures, including these copyrighted by non-publication, like Louw's? These were provided by zerox from the set Hule gave B'ham. The rest of that story, not in your files, may interest you, Foreman's sworn version is that it was for a friend of his at "ine, which managed to have the Delles paper's pictures without publishing them. Ray's is that he was offered \$5,000 by Foreman to make an ID of that photographed unknown. As TRaonl."

(Resinds me: N.O. came up with a couple of kapuls. I recall no final investigations. Especially not of the Canadian one who had a crizinal record the minuscule part of which is disclosed is fascinating to me from work I've done and the files provided do not duplicate. Sowever, the one suggested to me does exist in Bareau files as well as these of the GriminalDivisions. And the AG and DAG. I have some copies, not from the FBI or directly from them.)

And where are the Baltimore records? I told you there would be others elsewhere. One of the files I specified is those of NY FO. So it cays in this Dellas Airtel, with one of the names I gave you, Ireat Gough. Many records exist that are relevent and are still withheld.

Atlanta's (197-New) of 4/5/68, which is the mornin after the accessination, was provided to me from its 157-3094, where it is Serial 1. Now I recall no other reford from this file. Its Markin masker is 2566. "Assistant Director SULATINE wants to be advised who wrote KING's last speech." Geroege Morre phoned Mitt at 9120 a.m., so the Bureau must have been real interested. If I received the answer I do not recall it. I am interested, of course, as I am in any tape or transcript. It is the speech in which he said he was fearing no man, that he had been to the mountaintop, had seen the promised land and was not afraid to be going there. Fampus last words, to coin a phrase.

The official line is that the PBI was not then covering King. It did cover that meeting. These who did were known to my courses, reporters who were also there. Aside from your King operation great effort and time went into the Sanitation workers' strike, of which it was part.

Atlanta 44-2386-184, Bulky, notes enlarged copies of maps of Atlanta and Los Angeles. I don't care about the latter. I have only a portion of one of the former. I have no way of knowing whether or not it is this one or if do is all of it. What I have would fit into a file folder. It did not have to be filed with the Sulkys. I am interested in the map said to have had four places marked. My other written communications about other maps are without response. I've gone into some detail about the New Orleans map that was shunted back and forth insuminat between Vashington and memphis and I did examine it after it was treated for printing. It has a number of places marked. " believe I told you I photographed most of those places. Then yhis and records not provided to me were shown to Ray's first lawyor, former SA Arthur Manes. I have his notes, which refer to material not yet provided about this map and other swidence. Like phone numbers. The prosecution displayed these materials to him because udge Mattle ordered it.

Birmingham 44-1740, with an unclear number that may refer to a Sub, has a Serial 142 reporting my access to records in 1970 because of C.A.718-70. I believe there is a similar Los Angelse story. Newsver, the non-compliance on this is fairly midespread, including the MFO's failure to provide the story Bill Kingerrangeds to be in the Washington Post.

1448 from there is a signed statement from "ohn Webster & Shazo. However, it is limited to an ID of a picture, as are others obtained them. However, their proffered testimony went much farthur. Meither such statements nor SA notes for FD302s covering this other evidence have been provided, although there are rather detailed notes relating to a meeting with an informer much later. Long after the guilty plem. By point is that there have to be other records. I as aware of some of the content that is not congenial to the official explanation of the crime.

Serial 399 relates that HQ phoned and dictated "the attached press release, which he (Supervisor Bill Gunn) dictated to stenographers..." Not provided. Of course I'm wildly curious about the content when this was the procedure and it was the day the FSI lodged charges of the conspiracy it stountly maintains never existed, 4/17/68. Washington's secting modesty is also a hit provocative.

<sup>O</sup>hicago's 44-1114- 404 or 464, which would appear to have been sent to all FOs and was provided by Chicago only, has content the basis of which was not provided by Chicago. If it has been provided from other files I do not recall it. The words are "...photpgraphs taken by a woman companion of the subject while he was in Mexico in the Fall of 1967." I recall and have the photo, from Blake and Wiceman. (It makes it appear that Jinty ages real fast in comparison with pictures of a few months later. We claims it is not a picture of him, by the way.)

I have written you without response about seeming gaps in the MPO files, of my belief that I was not sent all you later listed, and of the existence of a sort of list I later found when I looked at what you sent after receiving your list. The "emphis record bears no identification. It is headed "THE FOLLOWING MATE LALS WILL BE MAINTAINED IN THE FOLLOW-ING FILES:" At the outset additions were typed on. Later they were added by hand. I also asked if this did not apply to the other FOS and if it did why no such lists were not provided. Earlier from HQ files I raised the same question and made the same request based on a Ohicego record. I was provided these but have not received them or any assurance they do not exist.

It is my recollection that the Chicago file list from EQ refers to files from which I was not provided relevant records.

From the Los Angeles files there is the ultrafarout without of the name and other information relating to the publisher of the Tuskegee Fribune." I'm sure I mentioned that she is Mrs. Almena Lomaz. The record in question is LA 44-1574-D178.

By the content of Serial 1900 there is relevant information not provided, the FBI's advance information about "a new book due to be released written by former FBI Agent WILLIAM TURNER which relates to the King assassination. McGowan cated chapter and page, which is pretty prescient for a book not yet released. Also relating to Turnor and not to him alone the LA office is among those that should have files on the sketch and picture it seems were sent to all FOs (without HQ files reflecting it unless by memory is flawed). I'm sure I told you more, including his use of these pix in San Francisco and by publication.

Serial 1962 on Lone refers to "Bureau letter dated 4/21/76, ontitled, "MEDIA ABLATIONS, ABSPONSE TO CRITICISM OF THE FED CONCERNING ACTIONS TAKEN AGAINST MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR." I do not recall receiving this. It also reminds me that you have noither provided nor responded about my asking repeatedly for what the FBE gave the Church compittee and it made public. Adams is the one I believeprovided the FBI's testimony. I have put aside a news story from FBI files reflecting the fact that what you continue to stonewall me over was published and requires no special search having been located for and given to the "hurch committee. This is about and on the events of 3/28/68, the business of sutherizing a news story chiding Ming for staying at a white hostalay and the business of no block nessiah unless he is chosen by the founding father.

(all be astounded if what has been provided from L.A. on "ane, restricted to what is relevant to the King assassination, is a minor fraction of what exists. If it is not maybe the FAI would like to borrow some of the tapes and other materials people from out there have sent me. He has had very much to say about the FAI, it is if the nature that always attracted FAI interest. It clob happens to be very incorrect. Were our positions reversed would you believe there has been compliance from either LA or on the subject of Lane in the "ing assassination files? Or Abby Fan, who has this big special coming up and LA had a single record on it- dating to 1968. Which is to say mathing about others in assoication with "ane, like Donald Freed, who has written and spoken much about the FBI and the King case. He also has pushed accuracy to a fmult.)

Memphis Sub -250 reflects that your people were, too, there. Exactly as I told you if not parimps the mass day. The visit of which I learned was not on heirs and fibros. Now about getting Memphis to finally level on this? Hay was there and the FBI know it and as of this minute is still mosking to perpetuate its suppression of it. As I recall they also have his registration, I think on a 335 card. I spoke to both maids they interviewed, as I now recall about the time they found him registered at the Hobel, more or less the day after the shooting. You and Halph may be interested in knowing, if I have not told you, that this is about where you traced the beer and the bag and the shaving kit. Only to miss the motel when the shaving kit had an address on it? "one on!

Sub H 105 of 10/27/76 appears to refer to se and this suit, to pictures and to other records I can't recenter - did you previde themk later? Descriptive of other medical pictures is Sub D 105. Prior to my seeing this you asked me by phone about autopay pictures and the like. I forget to ask you last week. I hope you have them tomorrow. Except for the third in this list the others are those I told you interest me. These are among those often and publicly displayed by the prosecution and the medical examiner bicaclf. <sup>1</sup> beligve I told you Jim has a videotape of the medical examiner showing these pictures at the miv. of Aristons. Well, belatedly I have received from the Archiver its record of a latter signed by Director Kellgy several years ago on this subject. The FRI no longer restricted the Oswald antopay pictures at the Archives. Yet thereafter withheld these from me?

Serials 563 and 609 appear to relate to other records about which I have written and spoken to you, the appearance of one seeming to be "Gault" and other masses in the heaphis area about 2/68. The informer's name does not interest as but more of the information does, as I've told you without response. The other offices addressed have provided no relevant records I can recall seeing.

Sub-D-107 is a rather late withholding of a former fellow prisoner's name despite the AG and despite the use by OFR of Curtis and the alleged reporting of a bounty on King of which Hay is supposed to have known. Between the writing by McHillan, who wrote that he had access to the MFO records and other through the prosecution and the extensive attention to these allegations, treated as a gospel by the Department through its OFR report, there appears to me to have been a waiver if there were basis for these withholdings of mames, even known names and as with Curtis before I

Sub-D-B1 relates to Stephese and an inferred ID of a picture of May. it appears to be a special formulation, to be incomplete, and there is what I am sure has to exist on this because it was aired in the CBS special referred to in this case. On the date of this interview with Stephenes or the day before CBS filled Stephene looking at a May picture and reported his words, "Not the guy." Because CBS did not air this for all those years it was believe I have been given all there is on this. I can't imagine that when everyone was so afraid of being clobbered on prime time by CRS you have no record. <sup>H</sup>aybe you didn't call it MURKIN, but then I didn't either. You may not be aware of this clobber part because you have also managed not to provide your set of the relevant records. This one we got from the Department. It is in the court record, I bedieve.

Sub-52 of 4/7/67 is ove of several references to the NFO recording the Memphis police radio. Trans ripts were in 1-4. If I did not get these I don't really care about them. What I do care about and have not been given is the logo for the time of the orime. Some of these of the police were published. These of the sheriff became quite relevant over what for some reason I think I can guess was not very pleasing - that Choraley rather than Dollahite radioed the first second of the finding of the package outside Camipe's. Again I can't imagine the FBI not having these logs and I do want them very much. Aside from the finding of the package there was that deception about the chase of a phoney o Mustang. 't was to result in a large investigation in which the logs were essential.

Now Orleans, 157-10675-765 refers to more than a thousand pages of undated records it had sent to Memphis. This record is from "emphis to New Orleans. It concludes "All of the above material is being returned to New Orleans, which office in the future should submit its own reports." While from the limited descriptions, which may well have been adequate when they were accompanied by this mamo, I can't determine that I received these from New Orleans, the length alone leads us to believe I did not. If I did I'd appreciate knowing which each is. You have whatever list told you I had received withheld records from NG, for example.

<sup>C</sup>hicago 44-1114arefers to a <sup>B</sup>altimore investigation of the records the <sup>B</sup>emphis police found on Jerry Bay when they arrested him for being drunk on 7/9/68. From no source have I received the results of the tracings of these records. They do include phone numbers. Leads were sent to Beltimore.

Serial 774 refers to a rather provocative thing, the mailing of a letter from a prisoner in George who appears to have had details about the room Ray rented under his brother's alias at 2731 Sheffiddd, Chicago. Ibcluding Ray's use of an alias other than his own. When the FSI had the letter and the envelope I can't imagine it ending without more that this, particularly because it had so intense and proper an interest in Ray's career from the time he escaped from MoPen until the measuremation. Sevenuch was also involved in this inquiry, that being near where Russell was jailed, in Reidsville.

I have no reason to believe that providing new specifics will do any more good that it has in the past but I've again taken time to give you some in the hope that no matter how late a constructive purpose might be servied.