Dear Jin, About 75-1996 status call 11/2/77 and attached records 10/30/77

I will have extra copies of some with zw. I'll not mails them now because it would a ke a bulky Sunday package.

With regard to the ISD meso on me I have a minor error in the note. I was there a second time, but only because they had not cent me the copy of the indictment they had promised to send. I have provided these records to Eartingh so there is no need for giving Bugan one. Besides, I'd rather have him addit in court that his client keeps him uninformed and that he repeatedly makes representations in court based on no knowledge or promyted by withholding of necessary information. Compliance, in my give, is the present court al issue in this case.

I also believe that this makes more relevant an incediate demand for the searching of all field offices files for anything relating to as or if you want to limit, to what is in any way, directly or indirectly, related to my work on the King assassination and the Ray case part of it.

Note there was no justification for withholding and that this record was not provided until after I provided the FMI with copies of my records, including the covering letter to me from ISD and the first pageof the indictment. This limms the reasons for withhold, not the spurious claims made. This is true, I believe, of the Emy/Pepper surveillance record. In that case what the sense withholds from higher authority is also the exculpation of co-conspirators, because the records show they suspected the Peppers of financing dimay with money he spirited out of jail, and because John and derry, also suspected coconspirators (note OFR report on this) were also at the Pepper residence, re-plarly. Jerry, in fact, is there now.

I'll have with me also copies of my notes on my interviews with Heaming and Howard. illgeible as they are the plot against Himit and Higeria, which I'd forgotten, are quite clear. A have more on him and violation of the "eutrality for, when I was under no restrictions. The Florida indictment is 76-371-Cr-GA. I'll have three copies with me so even how useless my information so long in advance really was to the FEI, so you can really make out a case of intense projudice. It took the DJ seven years to catch up with my offer of evidence. How useless can my information be to that kind of FEI and ISD? And remember, it began with ^Criminal, which claims to have no records on me.

As I remember it my tape, which I offered Merris, includes the allegation of GIA support. Now as a result of his discovery I understand from Hemming via a mutual friend that he has obtained about 5,000 pages. So there is notive for fideral games playing with these r ecords and with me. Hemming's defense is clearly that he was noting for the government if I recall the records I'm not now taking time to read so I can go over as much as possible of what I've not yet seen.

The ISD original letter dose not in any sense justify the FMI's fabrications based on it. Quite the opposite, it makes clear I was completely accurate and that the Stoner defense against the indictment now is that the FMI framed him. As has said it. Be placed no under no restrictions and gave me permission to use his source, Al idage, then the racist head of the Alamaba state police, who received the FMI's reports from those informants and according to Stoner showed them to Stoner.

Aside from motive with regard to me and the fabrication of flase and deliberately prejudicial records there is the fact that in both cases there have been indictments, in one case federal and in the other with acknowledged federal assistance -7 and 8 years later.

Sow on the "tranp" picture and the sketch 1 gave the FEI, I now can the them with the Mexico Bity fake picture, the diversionary one rather than any artist's conception or the one propared in Meaphis. I'll try to have my pix along. You have my originals from JP. I think it would be helpful to the judge and to Bugan. They are withholding from a number of field offices on that and there is a specific request for pictures of other suspects about which the FBI svore falsely and Bugan misrepresented to the court. This will be disjointed because I'm having to stop and walk on so nice a day. I'll stop walking and make additions as they occur.

Enclosed are two elippings from today's Post. One refers to the Sacco-Vannetti case the other to book publishing. It is not to compare May with the martyrs that I include this. Bather to the mood for full disclosure in historical cases so that this trauma may be relieved. The first part of the publishing latter begins withwhat is applicable in the "ime,Inc., matter, control by wealth and how it limits what is available to the country. Then under Marina there is the amount of money she is getting out of this and approval of it. This is commentary. I am criticized for seeking evidence only to make it available and there is approval for Marina's become a witnesses against her humband, for a payoff of not only money, when she was a witness to bothing.

I'll have extra copies of what I'm mailing with me. Already made and in marked folder.

At the soment my head is not functioning well. I did a little work, little and all light, and it was a bit much. I've phoned the SeKnights to see if any of them is going into town to sail this because from the way I feel now I'd better not drive. However, it is still close to 3 1/2 hours before the last in-town sail pickup on Sundays. Anyway, if there is more that I had in mind and I think of it I'll add it or tell you later.

I'm pretty sure that what I had in mind is that given the time limitations at a status call and the vast amount of non-compliance you'll have to content yourself pretty much to generalities but might be able to use a few pointed specifics, particularly because there is apparent relevance of some to the Lour/Life matter and because the intergrity of the Departmental and FBI word is the question on compliance. With me it is now clear they are never honest.

There is another aspect to all of this. They say that they put overything they give me in a reading room where it is available to all. So menths ago I prove to them that there are fabricated and utterly defamatory records about me. I accompany it with years proofs. I receive no response. I appeal with withhelding of the letter that briggered it all/ and I do not receive that. Now that they have come to what they want to be considered the end they provide that letter. It turns out that there is no basis in it for the FMI's malevalence and that it says excetly what I wrote and told the FMI after I received part only, the visious part. Meanwhile, all this time, all that despicable and malicious fabrication is freely and encorrectedly available to all. Simultaneously I as denied ay rights under PA to correct these records simply by non-compliance, which still continues. As of now I have not received all and I have specified wide areas of continued withholdings.

Mice people, own today!

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

Director Federal Bureau of Investigation



TO

J. Walter Yeagley Assistant Attorney General Internal Security Division

SUBJECT: Harold Weisberg

.1.1.2

Ant see 1) 14

1969

On June 13, 1969 Harold Weisberg, a free-lance writer who resides at Route 8, Frederick, Maryland, requested an interview with a Departmental attorney.

Later the same day he visited this Division and discussed certain Haitian exile revolutionary activities. He again visited this Division on October 8, 1969 and continued his discussion of Haitian exile activities. The information he furnished regarding those activities was of no value.

During the course of the interview on October 8, 1969, Mr. Weisberg advised that he had recently received a telephone call from J. B. Stoner, National Chairman of the National States Rights Party. He said that Stoner told him that two men in his Party formerly served as informants of the FBI. Stoner allegedly said that these two men are prepared to testify in court that the FBI offered them \$25,000 to frame James Earl Ray for the assassination of Martin Luther King, Jr. Mr. Weisberg said that the testimony presumably would be furnished in a habeas corpus proceeding.

This information is being forwarded as a matter of possible interest to your Bureau.

10 01

REC- 62

44-38861-10 061 55

DEPARTMEN

DATE: October

Tels, Room

Miss Gandy