Fustru

DJ/FBI and POIA/PA exemptions

Dear Jim,

9/11/77

I think that if I never looked at a newspaper I'd learn more of what # I should by leftting I'd clip them for me. Today she clipped something I'd never have scanned after reading the headline, "Colleen and John: Still an Item But Only in Court."

The piece in the Post's Magazine, nee Potomac, deals with the tangles after Ms. Gardner got out of Cong. 'ohn Young's bed and how she entangled him in the bed. The part I find of interest is this:

"For his part Young filed a Freedom of Information act request and was handed the evidence Gardner had voluntarily given the Justice Department, including the tapes she had made of their intimate phone conversations. ..."

It seems to me that this clearly violates b(7)(C), certainly from our experiences with interpretations of it on all levels to and including Shea.

It is certain from our experiences that with us Justice would have withheld under (b)(7)(D) as an only source.

I think this is also an abuse of Ms. Gardner by the Justice people.

Aside from what we may believe of the people involved in that case and their respective rights I believe this provides further opportunities for alleging discrimination against me, from C.A.75-1996, where they withhold the public by citing the exemptions they waived to help oung against Gardner, to my PA request. Now if they can give Young Ms. Gardner's tapes, of which she surely is the only source, and all else indicated in this article, how can they withhled from me those who told them all the lies about me, more when we consider what they then did with those lies, like the silly yet vicious fabrication about me celebrating the Russian revolution?

I do not hide my sympathies, they are with the women like Ms. Gardner who faced real problems that approximate survival. I recall my own days on the Hill, when there was no public discussion but there were the same practises, including drunken members chaings women down the corridors late at night

From these sympathies, perhaps prejudices, it may be that I am not completely detached in evaluating this. But I do think I am enough to find that regardless of how the system works or appeals people and judges decide, they same "epartment of Justice and the same reviewing authority cannot act one way to help a whoring is not where-making Congressman involved in personal litigation and on the same questions of law act against me when my purposes are historical and archival and infrequently literary, which means informational.

I think it might be a good idea if you could find time to phone Ms. "ardner's lawyer, identified only as Sol Rosen, who has also filed suit against Yound for himself. If he knows nothing about FOIA/PA cases and practises we can perhaps be of informational value to him as what he might be able to tell you can be of help to us, even before long in C.A.75-1996, where the FBI has not yet provided unobliterated copies.

Copy of story attached in the event you missedit.

Best.