Ht 12. Frederick, Md. 21701 11/20/75

addresse only

Br. Edward Levi The Attorney General Department of Justice Vashington. D.C.

Dear Mr. Levi,

W.L

I address you because I believe the contents of this letter should reach you or failing that at least these on your personal staff. It relates in part to your announcement of an investigation of matters relating to the assessinated Dr. Sing. I as investigator for Jacob Earl Ray as well as on other matters and to the Departments knowledge have written more extensively on assassinations than any other.

It is you announcement that prompts this letter at this time because I had asked my attorney, James H. Lesar, Heg., to take these matters up with the Department. It is aware that his legal life in energy is which the Department is party or has an interest, particularly the case to which you refer, has made this impossible.

Insediately after ay first interest in the assassination of Dr. King but at a time when most of my work was devoted to investigating Lee Harvey Oswald reports reached as that the FMI was engaging in practices similar to those equinet Dr. King but ink lesser degree and against me. Upon receipt of this information I wrote then Attorney General Mitchell. The response in his mane said this, of conrec, would be improper notivity and he would forward my complaint to the late J. Magar Hoover for Mr. Hoover to answer. From that day to this, depite a musber of reminders, there has not been any real response.

With this as background I herewith make formal request under both the Privacy and FOI Acts for all Departmental and FBI information about he, any files whetpoever of any origin, from any agency, not limited to those of the United States Government and no matter how obtained. I mean this to be an all-inclusive request and to include any and all uses, including distribution, from the press to Members of Congress or their staffs, to other federal components and to these of States or subdivisions of states or of any other nature.

Please also understand that this is not a request sade without specific knowledge ranging from the recent to the distant past, to 1959 or 1940 and possibly to 4937, when I lived and verked with the FBI for some four months and was told by agent with when I was then working that reports would be filed on me. I have shown up in electronic surveillance, to the knowledge of Departmental Lawyure of that period, who are my source. More recently I have obtained from the files of another agency false information that has been used publicly against me by these who were in association with the Department and/or the FBI. In addition responses from the GIA under similar requests are overdue. The GIA's explanation is that it awaits the approval of other agencies of which the FBI is the most obvious.

In part this relates to my work on the King assassination and the defense of James Earl Say. Hr. Lessr, after the Department exceeded the limits of the law in response and you did not grant his appeal, filed Civil Action 75-1996 for no. This discloses that Hr. Heover never ands any response to my requests for just the kind of information you now address, among other information. The request was written about 1969. Some of what is now accepted on new information about this kind of notivity that you now condean was, to the Covernment's knowledge, published by me about five years age in my book <u>Franc-Un</u>. It also was the sobject of what I regard as improper federal interest, to my knowledge. There has been non-federal curvaillance on se in my role as Ray's investigator that I have reason to believe was given to the Film and/or the Department. I do have you will have your announced investigation include this because there can hardly be a grosser violation of Mr. May's rights. To my knowledge the over-all denial of Mr. May's rights, including by surveillance, encode anything reported in the Elisbarg case and in my view ought be the subject of a separate investigation by the Givil Rights Division. If you desire this I an propared to provide decementary proofs.

In my addressing you this openly I hope you will find an assumption of good faith and serious intention on your part, not the opposite. To this and I provide you with other information I believe is relevant, what ordinarily sight not reach the executive at the top, might be hidden from him, and what you might have no way of knowing because of your relative nearess in your position.

First of all, the two Division you have designated to conduct the investigation are themselves involved. The Begartment's records in my C.A. 715-70 should provide sorious investigators with adequate leads in addition to these that would turn up in the course of a normal, serious inquiry. The Civil Division was also involved in and had to have had knowledge of some of these matters, including improprieties you are now having investigated. I received a summary judgment in that case. What was made available to me was ands available by the Civil Division. Aside from its own record of less than heatsty this Division therefore has to have knowledge of some improprieties of which I have proof obtained in that action. This includes false swearing that I believe was actually perjury.

All the FOLA cases I have filed are for information about political assessingtions, Two are about Dr. Sing's. In all cases in which the Department filed any papers in any court there was deliberate deception of the court. In my belief this extended to perjury and its subornation. As I believe the intent was to simeopresent, to deceive the courts with all that betekens, so also de I believe none of it was accidental on any Departmental or other level. The lack of concern over these kinds of effenses, which I believe ought properly be part of the inquiry you have announced, is illustrated by the nost recent of these cases to reach a judge, G.A.227-75. Prior to producing proof of more of this permenting false seeming I personally informed the Assistant United States Atterney that if he provided under each what he was supposed to it would be false sucaring and that my informing his of it would raise questions of subornation. I gaves his not only the generality. I gave his specific illustrations. I do not think you can have a corious investigation into these kinds of matters without extending them to what I think is relevant and there is every indication the Congress also agrees is.

Allness makes full access to by files ariseri. But free recollection I believe I did inform you of this in writing. (I do not assume the letter reached your personal attention even though it is by recollection I sont this letter certified.) I know I wrote the United States Attorney and I know I never got any meaningful response of even the expression of the most perfunctory interest.

You should know that <u>f</u> rea my own personal investigation I can attest that if Mamphic authorities did not his in response to the discovery order of a federal court the FEI withhold much information relative to the ²ing assessmention and become the hiding place of other evidence that could thus be denied Mr. May and his defense. While I have and can provide proof I thick it would be better if you wait and see if this is reported to you by those you have placed in charge of the investigation. Frankly, although it is an out-of-the-ordinary proposal. I believe that if you intend a really serious investigation it ought not be in the hands of those you have designated and should include Mr. Leser and we because of the factual and detailed knowledge we alone have acquired through countless hours of effort.

You should also know that in addition to the possible interest of the Congress there are acabers of the press who have some knowledge of some of thesen matters dating to long before the evidentiary hearing in the Ray case and that there is an appeal currently before the Sinth Circuit Court of Appeals. I believe the potential for Departmental and personal exbarraneoust is high, nest of all if the investigation by these who are <u>marki pris</u> is anything less than complete and definitive, beyond question and max of artificially limited forms and content.

3

You or others any question my alacerity in this matter or attribute ulterior purpose. I assure you there is no basis for any such beliefs. By work has been entirely unpaid, my book appeared years ago and the writing I want to do is on other matters. Syon this letter takes time from the work on which I am engaged, time limited by my not having help and by illness.

Rather is it that I believe the mode of the mation and the good of the Governsent are served by a wholesale eleansing of all the se kinds of abuses and that none is possible without full exposure. The wore this elemening and exposure is by the executive agencies the healthier they will become and the more citizens will have some basis for having faith now eleanly and properly lacking.

As I have said, it is because I believe you should have this information promptly that I have written instead of waiting until Mr. Lesar has time. However, if you would prefer that response be to him I would regard that as proper and as it relates to all about which I have written, including the requests under the Privacy and FMI Asts. To save you tipe his address is 1251 Fourth Street, SM. 20024.

If there is any way in which I can help in the purpose you have announced, please feel free to agk.

Sincerely,

Herold Veisberg