JW apparentiation records, M request appared

FA appleb

Earold Weisberg 8/7/79

The attached Brennan to Sullivan (OHB) 2/21/67 memo is a third-hand report of a phone conversation I had with Malcolm Kilduff, who had been a Muite House press sacretary under JFK. This record, which bears an indexing mark, the copy from the file that cannot be read and any other copies and any record of any distribution and/or distribution copies or vermions should have been provided in response to my EA requests but none was.

From what I have observed of FHI practice, and particularly considering the impresdive array of top FHI brass, to whom this was routed, the information in some form would have been communicated to at least Dallas and Hew Orleans, neither of which offices provided any relevant record under FA.

That such inconsequential information, if true, was worth the time of so easy top officials, is not easy to believe. This leads no to wonder if there was any other record of any kind relating to the call from the Secret Service.

All that is attributed to as is "that the New Orleans reports are true" and that I offered <sup>1</sup>ilduff access to my own "information along this line." <u>Nidch</u> report out of "ow Orleans? Along <u>shat</u> line? There was no dearth of contradictory reports from New Orleans and no abortage of inconsistent lines. Whis kind of seme does not appear to represent the best Fill reporting and I do not see how any higher official could have known what was being talked about - if I had said that. Nor do I see how any one could have failed to make inquiry to learn what <sup>1</sup> might have daid that <sup>2</sup> articet does not say.

fais also was two month prior to my first trip to New Orleans.

I had but one conversation with Kilduff that I recall. It related to the Manchester book from which the Kennedy family had not yet disassociated itself. Although Mrs. J. Kennedy appears to have consistioned Manchester's work the actual purpose of my call to Kilduff was to encourage him to use any influence he had to lead to the disassociation of the President's family from the work. After I explained my reasons Kilduff was silent for a moment then told no he would go to New York in a few days, that nobody would know where he would be staying, and hew I could reach him. By response was that I had no purpose other than the one I had accomplished, of giving him this Manchester information, and would have no need to get in touch with Mim. (When Flerre Salinger wrote an intro. to Charles Roberts propagnite Jalinger was hardly the one to phone.)

Possibly Kildoff acked as concluing about New Orleans but I had no Carrison pipeline. Without doubt I offered him access to other information, related to the Manchester book, on which I had done such rescandh and was to do more. So, I'm surprised that nothing about the actual conversation or its purpose is in this same and an further inclined to believe there should be other versions and/or mane.

UNITED STATE 1emorunu.m Rosen -14 2/21/ . C. Sullivan DATE 1 - Mr. Wicl 1 - Mr. Sullivan J. Brennan, Jr - Mr. Branigan - Liaison - Mr. Bartlet ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT BIECT: JOHN FITZGERALD KENNEDY NOVEMBER 22, 1963, DALLAS, TEXAS

Assistant to the Director Burrill Peterson, U. S. Secret Service, telephoned Liaison Supervisor Bartlett on 2/21/67 and made reference to the recent articles in the press coming out of New Orleans, Louisiana, alleging new information would be forthcoming concerning the assassination of President Kennedy.

Mr. Peterson said that Secret Service Director James J. Rowley received a telephone call on 2/20/67 from Malcolm 'Kilduff, who was Assistant Press Secretary to the President and was the Acting Press Secretary at Dallas, Texas, on 11/22/63. (Kilduff is now a partner in the public relations firm of Horton & Kilduff, Washington, D. C.). Kilduff reported that he had received a telephone call from Harold-Weisberg, Damascus, Maryland, the author of "Whitewash I" and "Whitewash II," two books on the assassination critical of the Warren Commission Report. Weisberg told Kilduff that the New Orleans reports are true and that he was in possession of information along this line if Kilduff desired to review it. Kilduff made no commitment to Weisberg.

Mr. Peterson said Secret Service planned no action, and Killuff was taking no action and that he was passing on this information to the Bureau for any action we desired. UNRECOLUED

1. A. 1

section 112

ACTION:

EX-113 For information.

EG-15 /.

to FEB 28 1967

OHB:rab (8)