

Mr. Gary L. Stoops, Security Programs Manager
Information Management Division
FBIHQ
Washington, D.C. 20535

4/21/92

Dear Mr. Stoops,

As I suppose happens not infrequently as we accumulate the years, 79 for me now, without staff and with disabilities, stacks accumulate also. In trying to clear some of this up I've just come on my letter of 8/14/90 to you to which I recall no reply.

With it I have Richard Huff's letter to me of June 5, 1980,

As a practical matter there is not much I can do to persuade those of you who are professionals at violating the laws you are paid by suckers like me to implement and I suppose also that as I have seen careers blossom from this kind of fertilization, some of you pride yourselves on your accomplishments.

Nothing else being as important to you, plural.

These letters make repeated references to records on or about me all of which I first requested a mere 17 years ago. Over the years I've cited numerous FBI records that clearly identify other such records that were, and, of course, remain withheld. No claim to exemption, just ignored and withheld.

Later the same request was made of each field office and again records the existence of which is established by other FBI records were withheld, were not provided under appeal and I was merely ignored. (What is a law anyway when the FBI does not like somebody and does like stonewalling and wasting his time?)

So, I'm 79 and in poor health and limited in what I can do and as a practical matter there is nothing I can do. You ignore my letter - that is the reality.

But it happens that without informing me that he was an FBI SA a man who was a stranger to me said he'd like to visit me when on business he got to Washington, I told him he'd be welcome, and he told me that he had what I think he described as a rare picture of me he'd bring. Later when I saw that letter I wrote and asked him for the picture. He sent it to me and at the same time I learned he is a special agent. I wrote and told him when and where the picture was taken, in the Los Angeles area, told him it and the related records were not provided in response to my FOIPA request, and I've heard nothing more from him. ^{This is} Or the FBI, the law-respecting agency for which you, again plural, work.

From my knowledge of FBI procedures in the JFK case it is certain that Los Angeles sent that picture to Dallas and perhaps to Baltimore. Neither ^{or none of the three} provided in under FOIPA.

If taking taxpayers money for frustrating the laws you are supposed to respect and comply with makes you proud, you plural - be proud. That was not the only picture taken, so keep the others. What would the claim be, national security? You have never, any of you, failed to invoke some exemption, when you just did not ignore.

Sincerely, Harold Weisberg

Harold Weisberg