

4
information this reporter requested he turned to me. I did a show with him, an hour or two. Other records lead to the belief that it was taped. If so no additional record was provided. Because the Lab did such taping where possible and because my work cited was critical of the two agents to whom records were sent - even though Mosbrook's letter did not spell this out - I believe there may be such a tape and related records.

(The recurrence of names and initials indicate who was keeping tabs and had knowledge of records, etc.)

Serial 519 was not provided by the NY FO in response to my request although it did manage not to withhold some of its WHEW-TV records. I am certain there are others. Please note again that of all Bureau components NY directed this to "Crime Records." The related Not Recorded Serial of 11 days later, which is also 4253 in another file, has all the names marked for FBIHQ indexing, aside from indexing in NY. The communication from ^{NY} / 1 is not attached. I do not know if I have it. The transcript has not been provided, nor has any commentary or analysis of it. Naturally well in advance of airing. (I have my own reasons for believing that in advance of the airing the FBI had something to say to WTTG.) Page two is explicit: Domestic Intelligence, the Lab and both the Criminal and Civil Rights (?) Sections of OGD reviewed, the FBI's word, the transcript. All these records are within my requests. They also have historical interest because this was the first ^{nationwide} TV treatment of this nature. The reviews were to be written and submitted by 11/3, more than a week before airing. As a result a memo was to be prepared, for unspecified but easily conjectured purposes. None of these records have been provided under any request.

(I describe as "nationwide" what the FBI reports indicate as local because there was extensive syndication, from coast-to-coast.)

I have personal knowledge of what Cortlandt Cunningham omitted from the 11/3/66 memo that appears to be Serial 519 because I was with Marion Johnson when he had phone conversation with Cunningham. The request was not for "portions of investigative reports." This was immediately after issuance and publication of the 10/31/66 executive order requiring the transfer of all evidence to the Archives. I asked for the results of the spectrographic

0
examinations. Johnson repeated aloud what Cunningham told him not in a single conversation but when he called back, that all the spectrographic results were in the 11/23/63 report to Dallas police chief Curry. This embarrassed Johnson, who knew well enough that what Cunningham said was impossible because spectrographic examinations were made after the date of the report to Curry.

And this also was the beginning of the amending of the investigatory files exemption.

The record is self-serving, incomplete, and refers to records I have not yet been provided. Because there was so short a period between Johnson's call to the FBI and Cunningham's return this record was created later or was contrived after the fact in the recommendation that the FBI could not assist. In fact I was referred to the Curry report.

Unless the Lab had instant electronic provisions years in advance of their invention Cunningham has to have had in the Lab what enable him to include the defamations of me, one being entirely new to me and the other being a combination of falsehood and distortion. Until seeing this record a few days ago for the first time I did not know that "Bufiles reveal he has had previous contact with Soviet Nationals at the Russian Embassy." Since first seeing this I have thought more and still think of nothing other than a few professional and very ordinary, entirely impersonal "contacts," which betokens other than what was true with me.

But in this connection I remind you again of what I have characterized as false and knowingly false FBI denials on any kind of surveillance. Obvious this is a distortion of some form of surveillance, one or more. This information is not only within my RA requests. It is a specific item in G.A. 75-1996.

That the FBI was not truthful even with its Director is made clear by his hand note. All this material had not been turned over to the Archives.

If I have not already made a special appeal from the withholding of what is obliterated from the first paragraph and other parts of Serial 553 I do it now.

Pages 1,2,5 and 7 only are provided. Indications are that these were not copied at the same time and on the same machine.

At least part of what is withheld is reasonably segregable - the signatory, Obviously deRoc

It also is clear that ~~it~~ as ~~it~~ provided this Serial is composed of parts of two records, prepared on different typewriters. The first page has no caption. The last page is captioned in a manner that only in FBI irrationality can have any connection with me because I had no connectoon with Soviet or Comunist Party Officials' reaction to the crime.

The first page bears no classification therefore cannot be withheld as classified.

There can, in fact, be more than two records from the appearance of these pages.

The first remaining paragraph of the first page indicates earlier "information" was provided to the White House. It has not been provided to me, not identifiably.

Under "Action" on page 2 there is additional evidence that Domestic Intelligence had relevant files that still should be searched, as they have not been.

The last words on page 5 make it clear that some of page 6 at least is reasonably segregable.

Including reference to a 12/1/63 Brannigan to Sullivan memo is not accurately identifiable from what is provided on page 7.

There remains no word of content relating to those of us who were critical of the Warren Report, the White House request. All are described as "left-wing," which is not true, unless those of John Birch persuasion are left-wing. (Perhaps to the FBI anyone not to the right of Attila the Hun is left.)

Once again copy to "SOVIET SECTION" and once again I ask its files be searched.

There is a Brannigan-Sullivan 12/1/66 memo in a different file, 62-109060-4322. The opening paragraph does coincide with the thrust of that of the DeLoach memo above. This does appear to be that memo. However, what is withheld in the DeLoach memo is not withheld in the Brannigan memo and there appears to have been no need to withhold. There are no excisions in the Brannigan memo, which for no apparent reason was classified Confidential rather than either the absence of any classification on the first part of Tolson's or the Top Secret of the second part. My name is mentioned as ~~is~~ an attachment not attached here. That may be the libellous one I've provided earlier. If so then the files available to Brannigan should have been checked for compliance.

The Not Recorded 12/15/66 from SF FO obviously should have been provided under PA by FBIHQ. (If it was by SF recently I sent you a copy.) Also obviously the FBI was monitoring me pretty much everywhere. This kind of record can't stand alone so there is more, in SF at least. And once again, as always, the info was sent to "Crime Records." Where there appears never to have been a search.

I believe that last week I provided a copy of what I here repeat on the chance I didn't, one of those cuties where the FBI considered #stopping" me by a frivolous libel suit. Once again, DeLoach is among the many who got copies, none of whose files appear to have been searched. Once again a copy to the Lab also. This is in two files, Not Recorded in 62-109090 and 4473 in 62-109060.

Although Serial 593 has a note not to acknowledge there appears to be part of another filing indication on the letter of this woman who wrote the President after reading my first book. Date 2 4/24/67. She was indexed and a number of stamps were added.

These records, new to me, make out a case of serious intrusion into my First Amendment rights. More so with the other recent records I sent you, including efforts to rig my appearances against me and spreading "vicious and underhanded" libels.

If there is ever a good-faith search more will emerge. I have, relating to the enclosed, a very clear recollection of the abrupt change in all arrangements made at WTTC and with this a large waste of time and costs for me. I recall clearly the open antagonism of reporters reputedly close to the FBI, one in particular from a paper of which this is not mere rumor. These were people I'd never met or spoken to.