Te Quin Shea from Harold Weisberg PA request - overlap into 3/26/78 JFK and King assassination records

LAP "I / W/

While it is probable that these who esponsed views the PBI did not approve assume that the FBI kept extensive records on all of them, whether or not the FBI did, and while there was a time when I did not believe that the FBI kept systematic records on me because it really would have served no point, records I have gone over recently and the permeating dishemesty of responses I have received lead me to believe that the FBI has many records it has not provided and given the willingness to retrieve them will have no trouble doing this. It merely does not want to because it was involved in improper activities and serious transgressions against my First Amendment rights.

The problem with compliance, in addition, is the false protense that a good faith search has been made. Failure to make a proper search, and deliberateness in this, the FMI knowing its own business very well, range from a steadfast refusal to make a real Baltimore and Frederick residency search to shurning those Divisions at FBINQ which I now know were deeply involved in these operations. Flaces Like "General Crimes" of Orwellian name, the Laboratory - actually - and the General Investigative and Domestic Intelligence Divisions. There are records stamped "Orige Research," because I write what the FBI does not like. Every HQ component should be asked for records or proofs of destruction of any.

There have been "reviews" of my books not provided. Tapes neither provided nor indicated where I've spoken in public, transcripts and summaries withheld.

Sometimes connercial services have been used.

Everys time I see a new record it becomes apparent that the FBI has provided falsehood about it. An example or the plural, examples I've seen recently run from New York to the West Coast. NY FO certainly knew it had more records than it provided in limited FA response and I now have some and when I sent you a record indicating that an informer of the San Francisco office tried to do me in on a talk show I believe I said there had to be other SF records. Well, I now have proof.

All of this and more is inextricably intertwined with the FBI's records on political assassinations. I am now satisfied that it can retrieve what is an important part of this this subject historically, the records it kept and actions it took against those of us who from sometimes radically different positions disagreed with it and its work.

Of course I would not expect the FSI today to be proud of interference, actual acts of interference in my life and work. But I have to now provided you with proof of it, ranging from trying to hart me in my public appearances to, if I may use favorite FBI boilerplate, its #vicious and underhanded" distribution of fabricated and distorted records throughout the Government including on the highest levels.

The FBI did not do this and could not do this without having records on which it could draw. By now I've provided you with proof that a number of components had instant access to such records. They can and should be produced in response to my requests.

To now I recall no occasion on which the FBI ever checked any of this "vicious and underhanded" stuff out with me or others. The reason is apparent- it wanted to be able to distribute this poison and did distribute it for intended purposes the I now have reason to believe were accomplished.

Last week I provided you with records I had just seen in which the FBI states I had some kind of ascoclation with a Soviet national inside the Soviet Embassay and that a person or persons from that Embassay was our guest. The FBI did not provide any such records in response to my FA request. It is fairly obvious that if true these were matters of serious interest to the FBI and were instantly retrievable. It likewise is true that no record containing any such proof, even suspicion, has been provided as the basis for the records I've found in spite of the FBI. There has to be a basis for the records I did provide last week. The FEI has to be able to lay hands on it and copy and send those records.

The internal records are strident in proclamations of my alleged factual error but in no single case do they cite an actual error. (Of course merely being able to allege this required reviews or analyses or whatever it terms its internal propaganda operations.) The semantics are childishly obvious. It is because my work is accurate and was factual exposure that the FBI had this great interest in it that now is visible. Its concern is not alsplaced, as it will been more than to new it has learned. One of the people with whom it dealt, whether or not it knew him well enough to understand or was satisfied with his official function, was a Tennessee Assistant Attorney General, Henry Haile. Untimately he was fired over his political excesses. He saw red in every white cloud and became his own thunderstorm. He told me when he was over at their building and he later acted like a man they had turned on. He also managed to use some uniquely picturesque language in court about me.

While his trip to Washington can be attributed to and undoubtedly did include other purposes (like a free honeymoon and consulting Department Lawyers) it followed PEIHQ duspatch of unspecified records about me to Hemphis with directives to spread them around and report back on its smearing. I have not been provided with the reporting back. I have reason to believe where there was smearing was apparent.

As I believe I've told you in an earlier appeal other similar improprieties have come back to me, some well intended as they relate to third persons, but all indicative of the misuse of such stuff by feeding it to those working on the records I seek and providing and processing them. Unless I misjudge his character I believe a man like Horace Bockwith would not explode in a courthouse corridor under normal circumstances. ¹⁰e did, with witnesses, right outside the courtroom and prior to my informing that ⁰ourt of his unfortunate situation.

There is reason to believe that there is fairly widespread knowledge within the PBI of the content and existence of records not provided.

Susbaequent to my seeing the last records of which I gave you copies I have seen others for the first time. Some need not reflect the kind of record the FBI can retrieve about me but I am now not willing to assume this. It appears that a number of people were influenced by my writing and wrote the FBI about it. 62-1090%O-(probably) 545 is such letter. Itadid cause internal record-generation. It was not merely ignored. Note that it recoalved DeLoach attention. (Nome of those I here mentions were provided under PA.)

Serial 563 has a clear file number and the above is correct. Hinds record, relating to me, was sent to ^Baltimore, which binaged not to find it under PA. Note that it also has the names of two lab agents added and again went to ^BeLoach. When the FBI did not provide the information this reporter sequested he turned to me. I did a show with him, an hour or two. Other records lead to the belief that it was taged. If so no additional record was provided. Because the Lab did such taping where possible and because my work cited was orbitical of the two agents to whom records weres sont - even though Mosbrock's letter did not spall this out - I believe there may be such a tape and related records.

(The recurrence or names and initials indicate who was keeping tabs and had knowledge of records, etc.)

Serial 519 was not provided by the SY FO in response to my request although it did manage not to withhold some of its WHEN-TV records I as cortain there are others. Flease note again that of all Bureau components NY directed this to "Crime Records." The related Not Recorded Serial of 11 days later, which is also 4255 in another file, has all the names marked for FEHRQ indexing, aside from indexing in NY. The communication from/ 1 s not attached. I do not know if I have it. The transcript has not been provided, nor has any commentary or analysis of it. Naturally well in advance of siring. (I have my own reasons for believing that in advance of the airing the FOI had something to say to WTHC.) Fage two is explicit: Domestic Intelligence, the Lab and both the Griminal and Civil Rights (?) Sections of GED reviewed, the FAT's word, the transcript. All those records are within my requests. They nationalds also have historical interest because this was the first/TV treatment of this nature. The reviews were to be written and submitted by 11/3, more than a weak before diring. As a result a memo was to be prepared, for unspecified but easily conjectured purposes. None of these records have been provided under any request.

(I describe as "nationwide" what the PBI reports indicate as local because there was extensive syndication, from const-to-const.)

I have personal knowledge of what Cortlabdt Cunningham contted from the 11/3/66 memo that appears to be Serial 539 because I was with Marion Johnson when he had phone conversetion with Cunningham. The request was not for "portions of investigative reports." ²his was immediately after issuance and publication of the 10/31/66 executive order requiring the transfer of all evidence to the Archives. I asked for the results of the spetrographic examinations. Johnson repeated alought what Countingham told his not in a single conversation but when he called back, that all the spectrographic results were in the 11/23/63 report to Dallas police chief Curry. This emberrassed "olmson, who know well enough that what "unningham sold was impossible because spectrographic examinations were made after the date of the report to Curry.

And this also was the beginding of the asonding of the investigatory files exception. The record is colf-corving, incooplete, and refers to records I have not yet been provided. Because there was so short a period between Johnson's call to the Fil and Cumminghem's return this/record was ereated later or was contrived after the fact in the recommendation that the FBI could not assist. In fact I was referred to the Curry report.

"aloss the Lab had instant electronic provisions years in advance of their invention Commingham has to have had in the Lab what enable him to include the defacations of me, one being entirely now to and the other being a combination of falsehood and distortion. Until seeing this record a few days ago for the first time I did not know that "Bufiles reveal he has had provious contact with Soviet Mationale at the Russian Robansy." Since first seeing this I have thought more and still think of nothing other than a few profemational and very ordinary, entirely impersonal "contacts," which betokens other than what was true with me.

But in this connection I resid you again of what I have characterized as false and knowingly false FDI denials on any kind of surveillance. Obvious this is a distortion of some form of surveillance, one or more. This information is not only within my PA requests. It is a specific Item in 6.4. 75-1996.

That the Fal was not truthful owns with its Director is made along by his head note. All this astorial had not been turned over to the irchives.

If I have not already made a special appeal from the withholding of what is philipproted from the first paragraph and other parts of Serial 553 I do it now.

Reges 1,2,5 and 7 only are provided. Indications are that these wave not copied at the mast time and on the same mobiles.

At least part of what is withheld is reasonably segregable - the signatory, Obviously deflose

It also is clear that f as / provided this Serial is composed of parts of two records, propaged on different typewriters. The first page has no caption. The last page is captioned in a manner that only in FBI irretionality can have any connection with me because I had no connection with Semist or Con ubist PartyOfficials' reaction to the orige.

The first page bears no classification therefore eached to withhild as classified. There can, in fact, be nore than two records from the appearance of these pages. The first rescining paragraph of the first page indicates earlier "information" was provided to the White House. It has not been provided to so, not identifiably.

Under "Action" an page 2 these is additional syldence that Donestic Intelligence had relevant files that still should be gearched, as they have not been.

The last words on page 5 make it clear that more of page 6 at least is reasonably segregable.

Sabeluding reference to a 12/1/63 Brannigan to Sullivan meso is not accurately identifiable from what is provided on page 7.

There remains no word of content relating to those of us who were critical of the Werren Report, the White House request. All are described as "left-wing," which is not true, unless those of John Mirch persuasion are left-wing, (Perhaps to the FBI anyone not to the right of Atila the Hum is left.)

Once again copy to "SOVIET SECTION" and once again I ask its files be searched. There is a Beaunigan-Sullivan 12/1/66 mano in a different file, 62-109060-4322. The opening paragraph does coincide with the thrust of that of the Deloach mano above. This does appear to be that mano. However, what is withheld in the Deloach mano is not withheld is the Brannigan mano and there appears to have been no need to withheld. There are no exclusions in the Brannigan mano, which for no apparent reason was classified Confidential rather than either the absence of any classification on the first part of Telson's or the Top Secret of the second part. Ny name is mentioned as is an attachment not attached here. That may be the lightfous one I've provided earlier. If so then the files available to Brannigan should have been chacked for compliances. The Not Recorded 12/15/66 from SF FO obviously should have been provided under PA by FEINQ. (If it was by SF recently I sont you a copy.) Also obviously the FBI was mandtoring no protty much everywhere. This kind of record can't stand alone so there is more, in SF at least. And once again, as always, the infe was sent to "Crime Records." Where there appears never to have been a search.

I believe that last week I provide a copy of what I have repeat on the chance I didn't, one of those outles where the FDI considered #stopping" as by a firvolous libel suit. Once again, Deleach is among the many who got copies, none of whose files spycar to have been searched. Once again a copy to the lab also. This is in two files, Not Recorded in 62-103030 and 4473 in 62-103060.

Although Serial 593 has a notenot to seimpeledge there appears to be part of another filing indication on the letter of this wasan who wrote the Provident after reading my first book. Date 2 4/24/67. The was indexed and a number of stamps were added.

These records, now to no, sake out a once of sectous intrusion into my First inendsent rights. Now so with the other records I sent you, including efforts to rig my appearances against no and surpading "cisions and underhanded" libels.

If there is ever a good-faith search some will emerge. I have, relating to the enclosed, a very clear recollection of the abrupt change in all arrangements made at MTG and with this a large maste of time and costs for se. I recall clearly the open antagonism of reporters reputedly close to the FBI, one in particular from a paper of which this is not zero report. These were people I'd never not or spoken to. While I believe that the attached Not Recorded Serial from 62-109090, dated 2/7/67, was included with what I gave you lastweek, I've found this loose copy and add it because it also went to Deloach and ujward and because I now see something I may not have last week.

This appears to state that the Legal Research Deck ande its "review" of the book in question.

No such review has been provided.

23

It should be and this tells where to look, as with due diligence the F9I would have looked on its own.