To Quin Shea from Harold Weisberg Pa request - overlap into 3/26/78 JFK and King assassination records

While it is probable that these who esponsed views the PBI did not approve assume that the PBI kept extensive records on all of them, whether or not the FBI did, and while there was a time when I did not believe that the FBI kept systematic records on me because it really would have served no point, records I have gone over recently and the permeating dishonesty of responses I have received lead me to believe that the FBI has many records it has not provided and given the willingness to retrieve them will have no trouble doing this. It may does not want to because it was involved in improper activities and serious transgressions against my First Amendment rights.

The problem with compliance, in addition, is the false pretense that a good faith search has been made. Failure to make a proper search, and deliberateness in this, the FBI knowing its own business very well, range from a steadfast refusal to make a real Baltimore and Frederick residency search to shunning those Divisions at FBIRQ which I now know were deeply involved in those operations. Places like "General Crimes" of Orwellian name, the Laboratory - actually - and the General Investigative and Domestic Intelligence Divisions. There are records stamped "Crime Research," because I write what the FBI does not like. Every HQ component should be asked for records or proofs of destruction of any.

There have been "reviews" of my books not provided. Tapes neither provided nor indicated where I've spoken in public, transcripts and summarise withheld.

Sometimes commercial services have been used.

Everyz time I see a new record it becomes apparent that the FBI has provided falsehood about it. An example or the plural, examples I've seen recently run from New York to the West Coast. NY PO certainly knew it had more records than it provided in limited PA response and I new have some and when I sent you a record indicating that an informer of the San Ptancisco office tried to do me in on a talk show I believe I said there had to be other SF records. Well, I now have proof.

All of this and more is inextricably intertwined with the FM's records on political assessinations. I am now satisfied that it can retrieve what is an important part of this

this subject historically, the records it kept and actions it took against those of us who from sometimes radically different positions disagreed with it and its work.

Of course I would not expect the FSI today to be proud of interference, actual acts of interference in my life and work. But I have to now provided you with proof of it, ranging from trying to hurt me in my public appearances to, if I may use favorite FBI boilerplate, its fvictous and underhanded distribution of fabricated and distorted records throughout the Government including on the highest levels.

The FMI did not do this and could not do this without having records on which it could draw. By now I've provided you with proof that a number of components had instant access to such records. They can and should be produced in response to my requests.

To now I recall no occasion on which the FEI ever checked any of this "vicious and underhanded" stuff out with me or others. The reason is apparent- it wanted to be able to distribute this poison and did distribute it for intended purposes the I now have reason to believe were accomplished.

Lest week I provided you with records I had just seen in which the FBI states I had some kind of association with a Seviet national inside the Seviet Embassy and that a person or persons from that Embassy was our guest. The FBI did not provide any such records in response to my FA request. It is fairly obvious that if true these were matters of serious interest to the FBI and were instantly retrievable. It likewise is true that no record containing any such proof, even suspicion, has been provided as the basis for the records I ve found in spite of the FBI. There has to be a basis for the records I did provide last week. The FBI has to be able to lay hands on it and copy and send those records.

The internal records are strident in proclamations of my alleged factual error but in no single case do they cite an actual error. (Of course merely being able to allege this required reviews or analyses or whatever it terms its internal propaganda operations.) The semantics are childrenly obvious. It is because my work is accurate and was factual exposure that the PMI had this great interest in it that now is visible. Its concern is not misplaced, as it will learn more than to now it has learned.

One of the people with whom it dealt, whether or not it knew him well enough to understand or was satisfied with his official function, was a Tennessee Assistant Attorney General, Henry Haile. Untimately he was fired over his political excesses. He saw red in every white cloud and became his own thunderstorm. He told me when he was over at their building and he later acted like a man they had turned on. He also managed to use some uniquely picturesque language in court about me.

While his trip to Washington can be attributed to and undoubtedly did include other purposes (like a free honeymoon and consulting Department Lawyers) it followed PBIHQ duspatch of unspecified records about me to Memphis with directives to spread them around and report back on its smearing. I have not been provided with the reporting back. I have reason to believe where there was smearing was apparent.

As I believe I've told you in an earlier appeal other similar improprieties have come back to me, some well intended as they relate to third persons, but all indicative of the misuse of such stuff by feeding it to those working on the records I seek and providing and processing them. Unless I misjudge his character I believe a man like Horace Beckwith would not explode in a courthouse corridor under normal circumstances. The did, with witnesses, right outside the courtroom and prior to my informing that Court of his unfortunate situation.

There is reason to believe that there is fairly widespread knowledge within the FRI of the content and existence of records not provided.

Susbsequent to my seeing the last records of which I gave you copies I have seen others for the first time. Some need not reflect the kind of record the FBI can retrieve about me but I am now not willing to assume this. It appears that a number of people were influenced by my writing and wrote the FBI about it. 62-1090\$C-(probably) 545 is such letter. Itself cause internal record-generation. It was not merely ignered. Note that it received DeLoach attention. (None of those I here mentions were provided under PA.)

Serial 563 has a clear file number and the above is correct. Minds record, relating to me, was sent to Baltimore, which hanaged not to find it under PA. Note that it also has the names of two Lab agents added and again went to Beloach. When the FBI did not provide the

information this reporter sequested he turned to me. I did a show with him, an hour or two. Other records lead to the belief that it was taged. If so no additional record was provided. Because the Lab did such taping where possible and because my work cited was critical of the two agents to whom records weres sent - even though Mosbrock's letter did not spell this out - I believe there may be such a tape and related records.

(The recurrence or nesses and initials indicate who was keeping tabs and had knowledge of records, etc.)

Serial 519 was not provided by the NY FC in response to my request although it did
manage not to withhold some of its WHEN-TV records I am certain there are others. Please
mote again that of all Bureau components NY directed this to "Crime Records." The related
Not Recorded Serial of 11 days later, which is also 4255 in another file, has all the names
marked for FRIME indexing, aside from indexing in NY. The communication from is not attached.
I do not know if I have it. The transcript has not been provided, nor has any commentary
or analysis of it. Naturally well in advance of siring. (I have my can reasons for believing
that in advance of the airing the FRI had semething to say to WFTG.) Page two is explicit:
Domestic Intelligence, the Lab and both the Griminal and Civil Rights (?) Sections of GID
reviswed, the FRI's word, the transcript. All those records are within my requests. They
nationside
also have historical interest because this was the first/TV treatment of this nature.
The reviews were to be written and submitted by 11/3, more than a week before siring. As
a result a memb was to be prepared, for unspecified but easily conjectured purposes.
None of these records have been provided under any request.

(I describe as "nationwide" what the FSI reports indicate as local because there was extensive syndication, from count-to-mast.)

I have personal knowledge of what Cortlabdt Cunningham oraticed from the 11/3/66 same that appears to be Serial 539 because I was with Marion Johnson when he had phone conversation with Cunningham. The request was not for "portions of investigative reports." This was immediately after issuance and publication of the 10/31/66 executive order requiring the transfer of all evidence to the Archives. I asked for the results of the spetrographic

tion but when he called back, that all the spectrographic results were in the 11/25/65 report to Dalles police chief Curry. This emberrassed chason, who know well enough that what 'unninghom sold was impossible because spectrographic examinations were made after the date of the report to Curry.

And this also was the beginning of the asending of the investigatory files encaption.

The record is self-serving, incomplete, and refers to records I have not yet been provided. Decause there was so short a period between Johnson's call to the FEI and Cunninghom's return this/record was created later or was contrived after the fact in the recommendation that the FEI could not seedst. In fact I was referred to the Curry report.

Cumulagham has to have had in the Lab what enable him to include the defacations of me, one being entirely new to and the other being a sombination of falcehood and distortion. Until seeing this record a few days ago for the first time I did not know that "Dafiles reveal be has had provious contact with Soviet Mationale at the Russian Schaesy." Since first seeing this I have thought more and still think of nothing other than a few professional and very ordinary, entirely impersonal "contacts," which betokens other than what was true with me.

But in this consection I resided you again of what I have characterized as false and knowledgly false FDI decials on any kind of surveillance. Obvious this is a distortion of mose form of surveillance, one or more. This information is not only within my PA requests. It is a specific Item in 6.4. 75-1995.

That the Fall was not truthful owns with its Director is made close by his head note.
All this natural had not been burned over to the Archives.

If I have not already made a special appeal from the withholding of what is obligated from the first paragraph and other parks of Serial 553 I do it now.

Pages 1,2,5 and 7 only are provided. Indications are that these were not copied at the same time and on the same modules.

At least part of that is withheld is respinably segregable - the signatory, Obviously deflore

It also is clear that f as / provided this Serial is composed of parts of two records, proposed on different typerators. The first page has no caption. The last page is captioned in a manner that only in FAI irrationality can have any connection with me because I had no connection with Series or Consulated Party Consolated reaction to the origin.

The first page beers so classification therefore cannot be withhled as classified.

There can, in fact, be some than two records from the appearance of these pages.

The first rescining paragraph of the first page indicates earlier "information" was provided to the White Bouse. It has not been provided to me, not identifiably.

Under "Action" on page 2 those is additional evidence that Deposite Intelligence had relevant files that still should be searched, as they have not been.

The last words on page 9 make it clear that more of page 6 at loset to reasonably segregable.

Sancluding reference to a 12/1/65 Brannigan to Sullivan meso is not accurately identifiable from what is provided on page 7.

Foure remains no word of content relating to those of us who were critical of the Warren Report, the White House request. All are described as "left-wing," which is not true, unless those of John Rirch persuasion are left-wing. (Parhaps to the FMI anyone not to the right of Abila the Num is left.)

Once again copy to "SOVIET SECTION" and once again I ask its files be searched.

There is a Demnigan-Sullivan 12/1/66 meno in a different file, 62-109060-4522. The opening paragraph does coincide with the thrust of that of the Deleach meno above. This does appear to be that meno. However, what is withheld in the Deleach meno is not withheld in the Braunigan sene and there appears to have been no need to withheld. There are no excisions in the Braunigan meno, which for no apparent reason was classified Confidential rather than either the absence of any classification on the first part of Telson's or the Top Secret of the second part. By name is mentioned as as an attachment not attached here. That may be the lightfour one I've provided earlier. If so then the files available to Demnigan should have been checked for compliance.

The Not Recorded 12/15/66 from SF NO obviously should have been provided under PA by Palliq. (If it was by SF recently I sent you a copy.) Also obviously the FMI was made toring so protty such everywhere. This kind of record can't stand alone so there is more, in SF at locat. And suce again, as always, the info was pent to "Crime Records." Where there appears never to have been a sourch.

I believe that last week I provide a copy of what I here repeat on the chance I didn't, one of those cuties where the FMI considered #stopping" me by a firvolous likel smit. Once again, Deleach is among the many who got copies, near of whose files appear to have been scarched. Once again a copy to the beb also. This is in two files, Not Recorded in 62-109090 and 4473 in 62-109060.

Although Serial 595 has a notenot to semporal destine appears to be part of another filing indication on the letter of this usuan who wrote the Provident after reading my first book. Date 2 4/24/67. She was independ and a number of stamps were added.

These recents, new to no, sain out a case of serious introduct into my livet accordment rights. Here so with the other recent recents I sent you, including efforts to rig my appearances against me and spreading "minimum and miderinacies" likely.

If there is ever a good-faith search more will emerge. I have, relating to the emalosed, a very clear recollection of the abrupt change in all examplements make at MRNG and with this a large maste of time and costs for me. I recall clearly the open entagonism of reportage reputedly close to the FMI, one in particular from a paper of which this is not more runor. These were people I'd never not or spoken to.

While I believe that the attached Not Recorded Serial from 62-109090, dated 2/7/67, was included with what I gave you lastweek, I've found this loose copy and add it because it also went to Deloach and upward and because I now see something I may not have last week.

This appears to state that the Legal Research Deck made its "review" of the book in question.

io such raview has been provided.

It should be and this tells where to look, as with due diligence the FSI would have looked on its own.