.r. 2. Ross Buckley FCIL/Ph Unit, Criminal -ivision 3 10 12 AM '77 Department of Justice JUN 13 Department or Justice Washington, D.C. 20550

Dear -r. Duckley,

, 11

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION PRIVACY UNIT. CRIMINAL DIVISION

Lt. 12. Prederica, Ad. 21701

= CI/CR: 2393

Thanks for the enclosures with your letter stamp dated June 3. I regret it was delegred reaching me because yesterday I met with the FEI's FOLA agent. -e showed no signs of knowing of your Better so I presume he had not jet received it.

There is a real problem here. You send no records indicating that an undescribed Tile (except for the number of excelopes) was returned to the FBI and they tell me they gave these records to you and you, meaning the Department, never resurned them.

What is truly astourding is that in these divisional memoranda there is no single reference to what I regarded as more important than any other item, the references to a plan to oust the President. From the records xx I loaned to th. Department a Jongressman mailed Thorkelson was involved with the chief of staff, "cheral -alin--raij. I feel a decidedly Crwellian chill in reading 1940 records relating to those files and calcing no reference to this or to any inquiry. Saying in fact that there was no basis for inquiry.

There is another rejuest of this nature that I file with the Department. You make no relevence to it. As I now recall it was to as ure that compliance was not limited to FEI files or to FEI H. files. I want all Pepartmental records however d scribed by the Department or stored by it. There is, of course, no ligitation to the Orininal Pivision. But I recall nothing from anyone else enywhere in the Department. I also believe that the not requires referrals once it is known that there is reasonable basis for believing other records exist and can be located.

I am sure that earlier in this matter I wrote about having been a grand-jury witness. The Department in fact made a strong effort to indict me. Now that I know the Department was also aware of the forgery, one of the two counts to which layne entered a guilty pleax, I find its the absence of any such record in the Grisinal -ivision other than what I would expect. I have a very clear recollection of being asked to go to the Lain Justice building, of being asked to sign a statement I found to be not accurate, of refusing to sign it and of being detained for a while. This impasse ended when my lawyur, Edgar Turlington, came. No record? Home of the waiver I signed? Home of the other records of that period, of conferences for example? Lone between Mr. Rogge and me then associate, the late Gardner Jackson, 4r. Roppe's friend? To recusal from Ar. Roppey, Tr. Jackson having been his friend?

No record of what happened to those of my papers obtained from Jongressman Holl?

I do have difficulty beliaving that you law and order exponents, then and now, have so frivolous an attitude to property, which is what all those records are. Line only.

To referral to the army? To the attorney Teneral on such a Latter?

I have no way of knowing how your files are organized but I am contain that in the Department there must be other records. I am confident that only in your position laters to whom to mak the referral. It is, I would hope, all one big hap y fegar ment, one that even has telephoned that sight be used for qual purposes.

Ly request is not limited to Layne. It is for all records relevant to what was for that day a rather sensational natter. To the test of my knowledge all other principals im it are deceased. I believe that it is proper to release all of it to be particularly because I am depositing it all in a university as soon as I receive it.

What the sureau returned to so, inwa box 1 bornes just day before posteriar (satisfield Lagle whiskey carton), was empty envelopes, file folders and some, not all of ralley's literature. It hap ens I discussed this just yesterday with L. John Wartingh of the Ich.

unit. Last week the history professor who will be handling the archive + have begun to deposit was here. We packed up some of the older records for shipment. For the past 36-37 years what was returned to me has remained in the box in which it was returned. We went over that to save space because it was most empty envelopes of various sizes, none federal, and empty file folders. I took them to -r. Hartingh, showed them to him and then deposited them in a wastebasket in the room in which we met.

Now some of the Department's people, and the FBI is part of the "epartment, emptied all those file folders— which had held my property (Can I ask you to believe that property is property, whether it is paper or automobiles, mine of TET's?) I would appreciate a more serious effort to restore it than I have observed, more because from your own letter this matter is now more than a year and a half old, much older than any claimed backlog of which I have heard.

I do not pretend to seek any hidden meaning in the final paragraph on page one of your letter. I do not accept any abbitrary switching from one Act to another. My requests were under both FOIA and FA and I am expecting you to comply with both, not tall in that under your interpretation of PA you are required to search only a search in my name. I have given you the other names and I have asked for all relevant records. My name containly does not appear in the plot to other throw the President. It should appear in files other than the one you say was searched with negative results, the many latter case.

- I do not belegeve either act requires that - tell you the number of the room in which the files are kept or the name of the manufacturer or the color of the cabinet. I do believe it requires a search in good faith and with due diligence. Now taking many <u>Helen</u> an an illustration, not only was I virtually a Department employee, I rendered services that inso some instances not even the Fol agents would perform. Was the unofficially official runnumer for which I was given the Department's auto. I escorted a distressed damsel back to Washington as well as a male employee with delerium trumens part of the way to Mashington. I participated in pleasbargaining and legal research and was corrowed from the "enate to help with the duces tecum subpostass. I helped prepare the smalltations of witnesses and even ran the teletype when necessary. I do not empect that there need be records of thesefor other functions and services but I have seen some letters and I carely was paid back some of my expenses if not all. In pact I was recommended for "epartmental employment - in writing. I believe that with a little less dedication to trwell and a little more to the language and purposes of the acts my association with the repartment as of that time might turn out to exist in some form on paper. Telieve me if I do not here go into all of them I did perform the most delicate of corvices not all of which were not recorded.

In the course of collecting the records for the university last week I found a few negatives, second or later generation, made from film brought out of while for me and delivered by me to your Division, as I remember to George Mounty. I also gave all of this material to dimay Roosevelt. President Roosevelt used some of it in a fireside chat. The has found records establishing that I did provide such not rial but none of the naterial. I certainly hope you will not contest that records of this nature meet the historical requirements. I do also hope you will now want me to relieve that notody in the Department believed there was any interest in a Mazi plot for a coup in Chile-or that nature any of this to the late J. Edgar Hoover.

I could go on and on with evidences of records that have to emist-and you find home. A have been shown a record released in the <u>dosenberg</u> once. It were to enverage of a recital by the late Paul Mobeson. I not have noted at the simplert on last his characteristic after the completion of his concert. Whe records I have as a refer to the license numbers of other autos. Can you believe that there is no record along that alone of the people investigated in that case, such to my surgrise whin - I armed of it, rented an apartment from which - had noved certifier. I was a possible when there was a party for the black writer Alchard Wright (Matting way - I have a first edition), I have a

date or two with her daughter and I worked with her former husband. There is probably nore but would you believe there is no record at all, even if " never men the mosenbergs or any others in that cace?

My wife has read your penultimate paragraph relating to Algermiss. You refer to 500 pages with no indication of their content. In the was in a recretarial position with the Senate committee for which Mr. His. worked. (In contaction with the crimical charges against "r. Hiss I was spoken to by an FMI agent who was really locking for my wife who was not home. Later my wife was interviewed.) My wife's reastion in that because she thought well of Mr. Hiss and believe him not at all guilty the records of the interview may well have been withheld from the prosecutors.

In this paragraph you say "we recall no reference" to either of us. We did not seek your recollection, the laws preclude my sking your recollection but my wife and I did request copies of records. Please let us know what the records show, not what you claim to recall.

With irrelevant and immaterial words like these for which you life finit time I reject that you could not have been more specific in your final paragraph. In it you tall is that you told the Mational Archives the Department has no objection to the release to me of an entirely undescribed record. If you had given any indication of what that record of 11 years ago related to purhaps I might recall it. I do not. It may even have been provided to me by the Mational Archives last year. There simply is no way I can learn this from my files. Because you know this and I do not I ask that you make this compressible to me in whatever form suits you. I do not believe it in the int no or the language of the Sect that I be put to all this entra time and work to outsin any record.

If I have no impuledge of the organization of their files - do have impulsely that regardless of the lainer of organization you do have means of learning whether or not you have records you have not provided on or about my wife and me. We both invoked both Acts. In combination and with the requirement imposed upon you by the standard of go d faith and due diligence we are asking that you abandon all these unbeaming semilifies - and after the forthrights and proper golicy state ent by the Attorney General - and instead of such evasion as claiming what you "recall" workly provide the records that you can find if you can overcome a well-estable hid reluctants to do it.

sincerely,

marold Meise ry