

PA - Hummel

To Quin Shea from Harold Weisberg, PA, JFK records appeals 6/15/80

How my correspondence with NIS reached the office of EOUSA and why it referred that FOIA correspondence to Criminal Division is as mysterious to me as the fact that neither component provided these and related records in response to my requests or to your reminders.

Why these two letters and not the rest of the correspondence? Why NIS and not other agencies? Why the United States Attorney's office in the Department and not, initially, other components? Why refer to Criminal Division? Was prosecution of me for some fancied criminal act contemplated? If so, how did the EOUSA know?

In what way does any of this conform to the functions and responsibilities of EOUSA - if at all?

This appears to be so unusual I hope you can address it reasonably soon.

The request and first appeal are of 1976.

Please note the absence of any departmental file identifications when those letters went to EOUSA, thence to Criminal, thence back to EOUSA again, at the least. This does not appear to be usual.