

WPK 010 1115  
To Quin Shea from Harold Weisberg, PA request 1/16/80  
Civil Division (non)compliance AG/80-C  
Criminal Division and C.A. 75-1996  
Attorney General's files

Your appreciated letter of 1/11 states that the attached two paragraphs of a Civil Division memo of 2/23/70 was located in processing my PA request. What I would like this record to show is that my request is of four years ago and my ignored appeal directly to the Civil Division is of only a little less vintage.

This is one of the records I then informed the Civil Division had to exist and had not been provided.

At the last calendar call in C.A. 75-1996 Department counsel, who has a well-demonstrated facility <sup>for</sup> at saying anything that at any moment appears to be expedient, (mis)informed the Court that the Criminal Division had no involvement in the King case. The memo you provide not only reflects such an involvement (Celia was in Criminal) - it states that Criminal preserved its own files of relevant records.

This record also proves that I informed you correctly in stating that the office of the AG also had relevant records that have not been provided. This record itself is proof and it refers to other records of that office as well as those of OLC, from which I have received nothing to date.

This memo brings back such memories! (I added a chapter to my book Frame-Up.) One of these memories is that Civil Division did not have the records said to have been attached when I went there to examine the records, which was after summary judgement in my favor in C.A. 718-70.

While the file number is illegible on the copy provided to me I presume it can be read on the original and provides a basis for further searching.

A year or so ago you asked me for assistance in locating records pertinent to my PA request. At that time I provided considerable information relating to Civil Division. This included information relating to a torts case Civil defended, over the ruin of our farm by low-flying military helicopters and other trespasses. As

of today I have received nothing. However, when it became apparent that Civil was stonewalling me, my wife filed a request. She apparently was not connected with me, although my name is on the case, and some records were provided to her. I read them and knew immediately that they were not complete because I had seen other records Civil produced on discovery (in Baltimore) but of which it then did not provide copies. (I believe I informed you of this in greater detail.)

This serves to remind me that the FBI is still holding out. It conducted an investigation for that Baltimore litigation. In the course of this "investigation" it suborned the perjury of Horace Thompson, who later, troubled by it, confessed to my wife and me. While this can explain FBI reluctance to provide the relevant records, as well as that of the Baltimore USA's office, I know of no pertinent exemption.

These are precisely the kinds of records referred to by Judge Harold Greene in his Opinion American Friends v Webster, C.A. 79-1655, in which he ordered a temporary halt to the end of FBI field office records.

One of his reasons was damage to people who are denied access to records. Another is historical importance. Both are pertinent to my request.

These records also held the first fabrication that my wife and I annually celebrated the Russian revolution. Wholesale distribution of this fabrication, to the White House, Attorneys General and others of lesser rank, was the FBI's answer to questions raised by my writing and attempts of persuading that my FOIA requests be ignored. All of these and other records relating to me thus became part of the historical overall in the cases involving records relating to the assassinations and for this reason I ask additionally that all fees be waived.

There are other historical importances involved, in the aviation case and with regard to the FBI's unsuccessful effort to get me indicted by contriving a false case when I was researching a book on the House UnAmerican Committee (Dies committee). The aviation case resulted in a precedent, despite the suborning of perjury, and has been important because of the nature and extent of the injuries caused to so

many by what was so hurtful to us. I believe it is unnecessary to argue the historical importance of the Dies/Mayne matter, of which I have informed you extensively, if so far to no end.

Of course all of these are significant considerations in my current FOIA litigation if only because the FBI and Civil appear to have elephantine memories and not to have forgotten their defeats of the past.

Perhaps, before the millenium, having located this single sheet of paper, Civil will find the hundreds of others it most assuredly has and has not provided after four years.

Perhaps also you may nudge them and others a bit.