Dear Roger, TWMML

When we spoke a few minutes ago I did not believe it wise to tell you what follows. There were some things I had to get done first so I could not get this in this morning's mail.

I have to shield my source and my knowledge, as I'm sure you'll understand.
My knowledge is very recent insofar as what follows represents it.

The surveillance on me has been much more extensive than in my most paranoid moments I've suspected. It has extended to some of those with whom I ami in contact. Note that I am not saying that it does or does not include you. It does include both phone and mail. The files have been seen.

This is separate from anything we've discussed in the past.

Say in addition to.

It is not by accident that I mention no agency. Do not take this to mean or not to mean one.

You might want to file requests for files on you. If you do think of this I suggest you should think carefully.

If you do, include every agency you can think of where it is possible. Not just the FBI and CIA. And:

Use the Privacy Act, too, because under it you cannot be assessed search fees and what you are given does not waive your gights to privacy;

Address at each agency FOIA/PA Officer; Include FOIA/PA Request on envelope;

Specify files or records of any nature whatsoever and from any source;

Ask for distrobution made, if any;

Ask for authority and if law enforcements purpose is later invoked, ask what law

Ask if part of another interest (like me of even CBS but do not say this); Have they records of destroyed records?

Maybe it is good to ask the Post Office Department, too. They are supposed to have copies of the "watch lists" if they don't always. They lied to me.

Toward the end of last year I caught an equipment malfunction on my phone. The phone company did not keep its promise to make an immediate switchboard check. They lied about the reasons for not doing it. My own information, from the best of possible sources, is that what happened had to be a malfunction having to do with a direct tap. It could not have been a simple exquipment malfunction. There was a later childish check of the installation here by a clearly antagonistic guy. The phone company has refused to give me in writing what they told me verbally, that there is no intruston on my line.

Best.