PA

Dear Ar. Bhea, re JFK/field office records

5/14/61

Based on your review, sanctified over the signature of the Associate Attorney General, and the additional assurances of the Department, presented also to the Court by Daniel Metcalfe of the Civil Division. I was led to believe that certain records were to be provided within a specified period of time, at a specified rate of production, with the processing requiring more time because the PMI was so determened to provide the best of possible processing, to be done by the best of its fine FULA agents.

But based on extensives pervious experience, I also know that it would be quite unusual for the FMI to do what it agreed to do and to keep its own time schedule. I wrote you about this and other non-compliances and you told me to take it up with the FMI.I did, with copies to you, and with the prediction that the unchanged FMI would be unchanged and unfesponsive. You also have been totally non-responsive.

Under massecircumstances you have made youself part of the FMI's deceptiveness and a cover for itshen-compliances.

The PBI's failure to keep its quite sodest production schedules in so old a case and with records so obviously partinent is now even more serious for me because I have just returned from the hospital and additional emergency arterial surgery. It can't even produce 200 page a week even when those pages are of newspaper clippings, which are not of some of the recent mailings.

While the FEI's long-standing tempaign to "stop" me and my work, its word, directly quotes, provides ample motive for its continuing Cointelproing of my JFK and King assassination information requests, with a regard to the improperly withheld records it now is dribbling out other motive is quite apparent - to deter embarrassment to the FEI. This also can explain continued withheldings of misting, pertinent records.

The most recent of these records was sent to me under date of May 6. As usual it holds the essentially meaningless assurance that the processing was "coordinated" with you, a matter I have raised with you and about which you have insisted on non-responsiveness. I continue to find it impossible to believe that the FEI's persisting use of an MANAX examption you have testified is inappropriate was "coordinated" with you or has your 6K.

These records are not dated, as required by the worksheet form and the Department's agreement. The May 8 letter states it forwards 120 pages, not an impressive production rate, particularly not when most is clippings. The only apparent reason for refusing to date the worksheets it to mask the deliberateness of the FMI's lying to the Court, my counsel and me and perhaps its own counsel.

Including in these 120 pages is an undescribed volumed consisting largely on SA's notes, somewhat strangely with 1977 notes serialized after those of 1978 and 1979. It is not likely that the Dallas SAs did not begin to keep notes of their JFK assassination inquiries for 14 years/ Obviously, the earlier notes are or considerable importance.

These incompletely provided notes include several subjects that are and have been embarrassing to the FML. One is the existence of assassination pictures about which the FML lied - and the use is not accidental - as well as failed, the other deals with another of its many failures when it investigated the assassination of the President.

I have some responsibility for the first becoming public knowledge. I obtained the records that led to the truth in this litigation and made copies available to others. I have a number of pertinent appeals on which you have failed to act.

Charles Bronson took notion and still picture of the assassination and the bailding from which the FET claims that "smald alone fired all the shopts. The SA sent the SAD a seno in which he stated that the film was valueless because it didn't even show the building. This is a large lie, based on which the FET avoided that film and didn't even inform FETAQ or the Verron Commission of its existence. The FET is supposedly having an emalysis of this film made, at the request of the defunct HEGA. Supposedly for several years now, without it having happened.

The SA's name is withhled, estensibly to assure privacy for the BA who is dealing quite openly with the critics in this matter. His name is Udo Specht. He also appears to serve a public relations function in Dallas, not consistent with any privacy claim.

The other and not unrelated natter pertains to the recording of the Dallas police broadcasts, now said to hold proof of shooting in addition to what the FMI accounted for. As these notes reflect, the FMI had possession of the recordings because, as my first (1965) book states, the FMI transcribed them for the Commission. Clearly the FMI did not examine into and report on the partinent five minutes of seconded material.

The published interpretation of the Promeon film is that it shows objects in motion in the very window in which the PSI claims Cowald alone was present, suggesting, at the least, another shooter, which is the HSCA's interpretation of the police broadcast recording.

The records now provided are far from complete. They also endt be all the notes, because "know of other interviews and conferences Specht had and are not reflected in what is provided. There also should be information other than notes, records of which I know, including but not limited to from Washington.

During the course of stonewalling this matter for several years the FM accumulated other records still withheld.

Under date of 4/10/81 the FBI sent me what the worksheets describe as "Ruby's RUI file," not an original FBI file description. He other identification is provided. There is a non-secret/number for this file. Moreover, with him deed and the fact known, what hencet and reasonable purpose is erved by the withholding? This file, as provided, does not include a single one of the contact forms the BA is required to have filled out after each one of his admitted contacts with Ruby.

There appear to be other glaring orienious.

While I was hispitalized I received a few New Orleans records. These reflect a deliberately inadequate search and refer to records not provided in this case or under my PA requests, which include FRIEQ and all field offices.

59-69-4715 states that when the records were shipped to Fills there was a serial inventory sheet at the beginning of each volume. I do not recall that these were provided.

It says that "All indefed references to all known individuals involved in or referred to in the investigation of the assausination of Precident Semedy were searched through search alips." These search slips are not provided and the information identified through these searches remains withheld. (The regiest required this and the same procedure for those involved in the Garrison matter. Of the latter I have provided you with copies of several lists of those names, from the "spartment and the FEI's files. However, all such records were not provided, nor was I asked for any explanation of the request. Instead the FEI, knowing better, limited itself to Carrison and Clay Show and David Formic and, with regard to all three, still did not comply. See Serial 4725, also enclosed.)

You will recall that I identified several Jin Carrison files to you in my appeals and provided you with their numbers. This record reflects the shipping of two Carrison files to SQ and B tell you that thereafter FRINQ withheld those pertinent records.

among the other withheld and portioent records are the files on the critics.

Contrary to the above-quoted New "riencelanguage of 8/30/78, after a phone all from FALMA New "rience rescribed to the FAL"s Ormellian meages to provide FALMA with a quotable deception to pretend there had been exceptione after a good-faith search. It states in Serial 4725 that "If order to locate all possible files described by the subject requester, spli of the following names or subjects were assurated through the comprehensive indices..." It then lists only the Bresident, Osmald, Buby, Carrison, Show and Formic only. These are hardly all known persons involved in the investigation, and containly are not all in the request or in the various lists of which I provides copies from the Department a/FAL's files. This reflects deliberate non-compliance and the preseditated decision not to comply and not to make a good@faith search.

Serial 4729, also enclosed, refers to me and to records at Dallas and FHIRQ, but none have been provided, including under my PA request. There is reference to and enclosed LHM, which is withheld, not enclosed.

Decembe the FM has, as usual, been totally non-respondive, I am not meeting the time or postage to provide it with a copy. You are the appeals afficer gd you can do your job or not, as you see fit. However, if you had no intention of performing, it would have been much less costly to me if you had told me when I started providing you with hundreds of pages of still-ignered, difficied and documented appeals. Sincerely,