Control of the Contro

Ar. John Dogan Assistant United States Attorney U.S.Courthouse Vashington, D.G. 20001

and John Hartingh

Dear John,

I write in what I would like to be the spirit of yesterday's meeting, in an effort to settle the case or at least arrange that a satisfactory settlements be assured prior to Judge Green's deadline. As a practical matter there is not time for no to write through Jim, who sometimes doesn't have time to read a letter for a day or two. Not can I afford the phone calls.

As soon as we left the neeting I phoned the student friend of nine who has been helping Jim. She has a had cold, has her last final on "enday, is coming to see me on Tuesday and thereafter will attempt to do with my notes what we discussed yesterday. However, yes should understand that in those notes I was restricting myself to calling to Jim's attention some of the more flagrant emissions and injudicious use of examptions. I'll ask her if she can be at the merting on the 19th, if this mosts with your approval. I think the more the known about what she is looking for the more alasts and can be to it.

I doubt I'll be able to get to your office, if that is where we'll meet, before 10 on the 11th. The brip to Machington new is not easy for me and I must be measured for a new set of the contraptions I must meat. I have written and asked for the first appointment that day. This should enable me to be at the meeting by 10 at the latest.

While Jim and I had some time to talk after the mosting perturbay it was not enough time. I did some thinking about this on the trip home. He'll know what I'm writing by a carbon, which also will go out in this morning's sail with this, bitto for John, for whom some is relevant.

Jim gave me a copy of Quin Sheate letter to him of the Bist. It says they have decided to give him certain appealed GRD and OFR records. I consider them within my request and ask that a set me sent me. I do it now to save them trouble in morowing. They can do both at once if they have get processed Jim's. But Quin Shea, who is an long on alure and insults and short on compliance would prefer that I not write him. I think you will recall the GRD and OFR applicability of my requests from their affidavite you filed a year age July.

We spoke briefly of the surveillance item of the requests pestering. If we can abandon the pretences and memoration and the paraisting effort to require my requests we'll proceed more smoothly on this. I have written 'in at some length on it but me not able to give him the letter until yestering. I did not say all I could in that letter so that, if he so decided, he could give it to you or ignore Samman. But so, this can go forward and things can start getting done I'll take a little time for you and ohn on this now. If there had been earlier mantion of the need to provide serials I/I/I'd have noted then where relevant.

One such Harkin file case involving me is in one of the several J.B.Stener records, one that I think Ralph Harp may recall. 't may not be necessary to retrieve it. It relates to a Stener TV appearance on a 4. work TV about think in May 1972. I was on it with him, Lame, Jarry Ray and Gerold Frank. There is little doubt that was covered by the FML, have when you consider that We. Movel was announced as being on that show. To didn't show up.

The G/G/77 letter as it relates to this Item, page 2, paragraph 2, is simply emreal. There is no very of limiting this Item as this attempts to do. I'll give you and "elm a few illustrations. A season of the Electronic Surveillance Indices is not the only search required. It is the means of avaiding a good-faith search. Limitation to "the subject" is unreal, as I think I said yesterday, because it eliminates surveillance incidental to other surveillances. The Item is not limited to "conducted by the Survey." There is much not done by the Survey but in its files.

because I falt that yesterisy's metting might well result is semething constructive and because I believed a general understanding was necessary as a beginning point if this were to result I did not take more time with this I tem, However, I am confident that this

With regard to me there has not been compliance with my Mi request now more than the yours overdes simply because it is embastrassing to the Survey. I know my "past," Your office has files on me. They go back to "ave "ine's days as Bill. One case is Did. v Pavid Dubnis Mayne. I was writing a book on the Dies committee. It, with the Sureau's help, tried to frame me. I took the grand jury away from I think it was he fibelly. There was much opposition inside the Department to the political pressures from the political Seablerthale and native Hasis to that dirty business. This is what led to my knowledge of having been picked up on surveillance of another, may book them. My sources was an Assistant A.G. in charge of a Mydaica. I know a mumber, some pretty well. I also helped a number.

Thors in no way a good-faith everon would evold the files on up under such paramets as Treason and Espionage as well as internal Security, Long after I was not a programmat employee there says a file under security of government employees.

Through happenstance I knew someone peripherally in what was known as the "Gregory" case, commissed to be a "national security" matter. I have records stating this, if not from the Phi. I have no involvement in it. I use never any kind of agent, never any kind of Communist or "communists" I was an unregistered Dritish spent before I went into the Army. I was because his asked me to be, accesses before fearl Marker it could not use the kind of date I was developing, only sees of which & published. Such of my week in that era - the era of the Masi-Soulet part - was followed by official actions. Seen do Ragne Become preliced it, in writing, a record the Aureon has nameded not to find. (He also once sent my sife and her dinner companion when I men in the Ampy, another of his pet hates, a betile of Charge Secring. He and Ciple Seless were at another table in Survey's restaurant

Amyway, whether or not it seems retional to you ke now, the PEI really did believe I am some damgerous subversive and it really did have the files I've indicated. This view permists and is reflected in Markin files, Recently and not is sequence I received a copy of Serial '899. It was not provided when I was given the FHI's Inbeloations based on it. These had no seeking on interview with a "spartment atterney, which is false; and conspiring with J.B.Stomer, which is worse than marely follow, Lather than emgaging in the defenation of the Dureau I told GRD of what I had been told about what several of its informers allogedly had done, relevant in this case now. New Stenor has been indicated and he has node exactly the allegation in his own defence that I told Morris of GED in 1969 he had told me. For an understanding of this persisting attitude one need only read Sorial 5839 and nonpage it with the FEL's revision of at from which it was originally mithheld when i was given it. This was provided after I seat take records from my own files establishing from fabrications

(In pussing I note that the Serial 5899 evaluation is with regard to certain nerconary activities of soldier-of-fortune types that "The information he furnished regarding those activities was of no value. "The PHI underscored "Yes of no value." If you consult the indicate you will find one of those people has been indicated for those activities in the Southern District of Plorida, Riami Division, Sc. 76-371 Cg. Bis name is Corolatellable Petrick Hemming. "e then lived on Boyt Avenue, El Mente, Ca., the sens address as when he is in the Harkin files, among the information of no value I then offered GRP at the request of Criminal, which asked it of me, was a tape-reserved confession mide voluntarily to me, I guess it was of "ne value" when it took the government seven more years to get to shore I was in 1969.) A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

Lat the last of the President

· barraga

probabilities are other than I can specify. You both know that the language of the records will indicate whether or not there was surreillance even if they do not say it.

It was not smooneon in Remphia. I have been given records indicating that the FMI was the Beneficiary of some of it, whether or not it ungaged in its sam. This included James Barl Ray, Rights in that Item. There is no reasonable count that it extended to my motel room, whether as not Jin's and Panetorulid's in There is no reasonable way of explaining some in-court developments sithout there having been a bag, Farmy Foresan was begged at least in the Panetody Notel. I had good courses in temphia. They extended to the higher echalons of the palice and into the presecution. One of my sources was fied after I laft town, after the evidentiary hearing was over. The physical surveillance was then laid out to him.

I reported some reports that reached me from 'er Orleans to John Mitchell in 1969. The reply over his name was that he had referred it to become. Aside from this I was the guest of an inferent, an intellectual type. I needed a pad and had no mency. He was two-timing on his wife. To had a hide-way separate from the family home, and he let so use the hide-way, he also know I had a young seman as a source and would be spending some time with her. Noturally he also had a tape recorder with a gover-inch real of half-mil sylar in the sleept.

John that Minneapolis should be checked. The reason is that less than a month after I gave the Fall the picture and the sketch through the local Mi, shich was in the last part of April 1968, I spain at the University of Minneata. It arranged a press conformed in advance and some radio and IV appearances. At the press conformes, which was covered by other than known numbers of the mering press. I did display these photos and sak questions about them. Mails it is not of Aprila relevance at the name time I did pick up a print of an amateur movie of the Marron versia being arrested three menths before that assault-nation. I obtained if from the student who had given it to the FMI which managed never to tell the Warron Constants about it, leave alone give it a print. This is one of those several dozen FOIA requests that have been ignored since 1968 to which I testified last

September a year ago, What happened to my luggage when I left inneapolis there never happens to yours. I may, of course, all be caincidence. But I am my luggage so down the right chute yet I was the only passenger without luggage at the plane's first step. It all turned up later at an airport to which that line did not fly, with a brand new topowritor and a brand new tage recorder both ruined while their cases were intact and with every bit of papers invaluding folders of natches gone.

See Thomas. Those same pictures, known as the "trump" pictures, were part of my work at that time. I can't now give John the Serial because I gave my assumes to Jim yesterday. He can find it easily, though, They are the case Mili "his gave the Bureau and are included in what was just sent me after Dong Mitchell said to send them. Lest mailing. While it may not be here relevant I think you and "ohn may be interested in knowing in consection with the "ime, Inc. ampect that Time, Inc., which had an option on trose pictures, as the FAI records do not indicate, got Percy Foreman to try to got Jimay "my to make the same phoney identification that is involved in the FAI's sketch from Mexico City. What is relevant is that the FAI issued a statement once the sketch was printed and has not now provided it.

I think that John should ask there field offices for a genuine search in response to the surveillance Item of the requests Haltimere, Maskington, New York, Chicago, St. Jouis, Mannas City, New Orleans, New Francisco, Los Angeles and San Diego. Probably Philadelphia. Maybe haton house I not with an informer there. I think you should know that in each case I have reason to believe that there is relevance in connection with either the surveillance or those pictures or both and that I do have transcripts of some of my appearances that were made for the government. The yea, as this cames backs in "on Orleans I also associated with the non the government had bug Jim Garrison, Pershing Gervais.

There is a CKIA Item with which there has not been coupliance because what I was given is mestricted to PRIM; files. By request is not so limited and you both knew that from it alone full compliance is not possible. Unsittingly I have been given proof of this. I've forgetten which F.O. or I'd tell you. In and Penstervald are both involved in this and Jin has filed Penstervald's vaiver. A search of the field offices is required. It was Penstervald's activity it may well involve most field offices if the Mi indices are as abreen as you all represent. In my vist the request is for reasonably identificable records. I believe there is further significance from the entent of Penstervald's relations with the Department in this matter and from his having been May's chief counsel. I'll add what may are may not be helpful.

The tower its justification, and it was not the protection of intelligence installations, the CLA investigated the CELA; In the course of what it calls an investigation it duly recorded that I was the CELA's investigator and had other relations with it. I do not recall all the other details. Some of this error appears in the Eq files provided.

In fact I never had any compaction of any hind with the OPIA. I refused to join it. I tried to talk Penstervald out of organizing it. I had had elight earlier association with some of its people, including Garrison of New Orleans and some later. One of the later ones is in the Numbin files as a suspect, Buck Percell, then of Dallan, originally of Momphia. His wife is named Mary.

In late sweaker 1973 the Gris held a mosting at Georgeteen introcatty. I refused several requests to address it and then agreed when I was possitted to try to address that opposite extreme of parameta. I do not more that the FRI several it but I do know that at least one federal agency did. I therefore believe it is remarkable to expect that same FRI files attendance, the accordance not being difficult for the FRI to determine, held either presents relevant to this Item.

More comes back to sind, One of the members of the STLA beard of directors in the former FHL agent William Furner. We lives in the San ranciace area. He figures in the Muskin files. We are not exactly thebeat of friends. At the line of the King assessingtion he was on the staff of Assesses magazine, Larry Repair of that magazine started to organize some kind of consistence on the King assessination about April 1968. We manted the pictures in quantion. I sent them to him. Not long thereofter furner used them in an article in a San rancisco paper. It attracted wider props attention. This was not provided from Eq. files. It is one of the summer I suggest a San rancisco search, in other beard member was Richard Sprague. Again we are not the best of friends. He participated in a public use of the same combination of picture and sketch in New York City and character.

This was with a man named Trout Sough and it extended to the New York City and character.

There is no time before the mail is the, so I'm soury I coult convect the types and clarify what may be unclear. I have taken this time to enable searches to be made on the items within our time limitations. Ask if cities of you has any questions.

Black Cy,

Haspld Relabers