

Mr. John Hartingh,
FBI FOIA/PA Unit
J. Edgar Hoover Bldg.
Washington, D.C.

6/23/77

Dear John,

Life must be full of coincidences down there. I again get illegible worksheets and serials xeroxed so the numbers are removed and lol they relate to me. I know this because of my name, not because of the content, to which I'll return.

I have suspended going through the serials in Section 81 on the chance someone who may go near the post office comes. I want you to receive this as soon as possible. I'm not leaving because my legs have been troubling me and I am awaiting a call from the doctor.

What relates to me I will address in disgust and dismay, not anger. My interest continues to be compliance with my requests. My initial disgust as that your colleagues decided they had a duty to consult and that the word from his special Olympus rendered the law irrelevant. What is relevant is that the FBI's counsel in this matter misled the court and if its witnesses testified as I recall swore falsely. I did make and the FBI did receive the requests now being litigated in 1969.

My purpose in writing is to prepare you(plural) for the coming calendar call. And to avoid the waste of time for all concerned, including me. I have no intention of trying to pull any surprises in court or of asking Jim to, if he would consider it.

There is now also relevance in what I wrote you earlier, of my not waiving any rights on records relating to me until they are all available.

The continuing question is of compliance. As this relates to what is a deliberate FBI defamation of me now deposited in who can guess how many files there is non-compliance that adds to the defamation and the deliberateness. This begins with what I presume is Serial 5834. Despite all my complaints about the FBI's inability to do such simple tasks as operate xerox machines I have continued to get lopsided copies. Because there just is no way of making you people behave - who does prosecute the prosecutor? - I have been silent because there is some improvement. But I'll venture to bet you that if you go over my copies beginning when after thousands of cases of illegibility you finally started making the serial numbers visible you'll find more than a hundred serials on which the number cannot be made out. And your continuing and under the circumstances deliberately illegible ~~xxxx~~ worksheets compound this and waste much time for me.

The first of this series on me has attachments you have withheld. The apparent reason is not accident. They ~~are~~ hold deliberate lies.

Now there is visible motive for the ^{continued} withholding of all Department, including FBI files on me despite the antiquity of my requests. The least venial of sins is attributed to me, from "critical" to "most critical of the Bureau." Not factual error, please note. I'm just critical. (As I am also the opposite on coast-to-coast TV.)

I am lumped with J.B.Stoner of the NSRP, who is described with a modesty exceptional for the Bureau in such matters, as a "segregationist." His belief is actually that the only thing wrong with niggers is Jews. I am a Jew. From this and other fabrications there emerges Mr. Rosen's conclusion, "The is obviously an attempt by Weisberg and Stoner to discredit the Bureau" over Stoner's allegation that through informants it offered him \$25,000 to kill Dr. King.

Going back to the first of these records in serial number I am also allegedly against "all police agencies and other branches of Government." (If I had a dollar for every unpaid hour I've spent working free to help local and federal agencies, including police and DAs, I'd not own only a car of 13 years and more than 125,000 miles and have enough left for a nice vacation.) You will see and I will attach proof of the relevance of this as well

as of the deliberateness of the FBI's falsifications. In passing I note also free work for the FBI before you were old enough to know what it is. And for the Department and for months. To the Departments and FBI's knowledge and hidden in this series of defamations.

Allegedly, if I am to take as truth what Serial supposed 5834 states, this stems from what you withhold the attachment to this serial, the 10-15-69 letter to the Bureau from the Internal Security Division. It was sent to Savannah and Memphis, to Savannah with a poorly-hidden directive to further interfere with James Earl Ray's legal rights and mine under the First Amendment.

In supposed Serial 5835 it is represented that this withheld letter ~~states~~ "advised that R. Weisberg, pursuant to his request, was interviewed by a Department attorney." Whoever says this lies. This lie furthers the libel that I was also combining with J.B. Stoner to hurt the FBI.

It was easy for me to figure out what was being lied about.

After years of total indifference the Department, including the FBI, had finally taken a single step to end violations of a number of laws including the Neutrality Act by people I knew and had interviewed without any restricting applied to those interviews. These people are in fact boasters, public boasters.

There was one of a number of such plots that resulted in an indictment. This was reported in the papers. I phoned the Criminal Division to tell it I had openly-made and unrestricted interviews with two of these soliders of firtune/law violators in which they laid out just such a plot. I offered the tapes and other unrestricted files. The Criminal Division had Internals Security call me back or called me back and told me the name and number of someone to phone in ISD. My wife was with me when at HIS request I went to what I think is called the Federal Triangle Building just above Pennsylvania on the east side of Ninth, to the office of a lawyer whose office was on the west side of that building. My wife and I were in Washington for other purposes. I had offered what I could without impropriety. I had not asked for an interview, as my contemporaneous record shows. (I have masked in making the copy the initials of those to whom I sent copies. Two were students. Another is the person who is referred to as planning a relevant interview that is referred to, of one of this band ~~is~~ of strange types.)

Rather than me seeking an interview in some kind of devious plot against the FBI the very first things in my brief contemporaneous memo I did not even correct is the subject and purpose, "Haiti invasions" and the fact that rather than asking for an ISD interview I had "been sent by the Criminal Div after the Miami indictments."

Not only does this first paragraph confirm my recollection, that I had merely offered what I had, including tapes - I can still give you those tapes. One is a bit imperfect because of the special treatment my then tape recorder received on one of the occasions on which something strange happened to my luggage. (And typewriter and clothing and other records, but of course the Bureau knows nothing about this. Its bags were never black bags, were they?)

Despite the time I'm taking for this, this is not my central purpose. I've not searched all my files. All I wanted is this memo and the letter that was later written to me by ISD. I enclose that and the first page of the enclosure, exactly what my memo records I asked for while we were talking.

So I was conspiring to defame the FBI, was I? Is this why my memo concludes with my having told Morris of what could be an NSRP "Nasty fame on the FBI" and why I had reason to believe this?

A more deliberate, a more vicious official lie in secret official records is not easy to imagine. Now can you have questions about my saying I want no records relating to me available to others without assurance that they are complete? Or why I am asking that

you now do as you have not done, let me know promptly that my rights under the Acts have been protected in accord with my expressed request?

Just so the record will be complete, the special interviews referred to were with Gerald Patrick Hemming and Lawrence Howard, at Hemming's home in a Los Angeles suburb, El Monte. I had been driven there by a young man whose name I remember as Goldberg. He was just starting in the TV repair business. He had a Volkswagon bus. We first picked Howard up, I directed us to Hemming's. Others of relevance include a number with Loran Eugene Hall, who on the morning after we conferred on this persisting non-compliance with a Fifth Amendment witness before the House assassins committee. I went there from the meeting with you. Jim drove me there. Although he was seated as far away from me when I got there as the hearing room permitted while I was engaged in conversation with a student who has used my files Hall came up to me and asked that after that hearing was over we get together and talk. After it was over he picked me up and we spent the rest of the day talking. Yet I am the one who first exposed what the FBI represented as his connection with the JFK assassination. His lawyer and a reporter who disagrees with my belief were with us. I asked that the reporter be invited and I also asked that the reporter regard all discussion as entirely off the record. I am confident this will be respected. To now it has been, obviously. Were it not for what is reflected in the total dishonesty of these serials and the wretched misconduct of the House assassins with him there is nothing he knows that could not be available to any official with interest.

What in the world can be expected of you(plural) if when at Departmental request I take my time and at my cost go to see a lawyer to be able to assist in a prosecution and such corrupt records are generated that the purpose is omitted, a fabrication is substituted and the fabrication could not be more opposite the reality? And with this whole thing, which is a case of my offering to help the government and police agencies, there is also generated the false record that I am against government and police agencies? To plague me for how far into the future?

At this point Jim phoned me about a different matter and I discussed this briefly with him. I want you to be without doubt and to know as soon as possible. At the same time I am not going to do anything that can react against anyone else. So I asked him to suggest to you that you ask your resident agents to come and get copies of my records and those you have provided. You can take their work when you may not want to take mine. What you do is up the FBI people, not me. I have told Jim I want all of this miserable business presented to the judge. I told him I plan to bring in and show all those worksheets I have been provided after you finally acknowledge the terrible xeroxing and the illegibility. The replacements are worthless and those of all subsequent Sections are at least as illegible. In Section 81 where there is confusion beginning with Serial 5834, which is right before those relating to me. It is not only that the illegibility continues. There is factual error, where the numbers are not partially obliterated in xeroxing they are of Serials that are other than what can be made out in the worksheets. If this is not bad enough the worksheets refer to but one Serial 5841 and there are two. Neither has the same date as the worksheet. Now if you want to tell the judge this ~~is~~ is the limit of your supervisory capabilities and reflects the intellectual height of the FBI, be ready to. I don't want to. All I want is for these dirty trick to stop and for there to be compliance.

This wasted much time for me. While I was doing his checking I learned that Serial 5848 also does not fit the worksheet description.

As I think I have told you before I have had a little competent help from a 14-year old boy. Now if all these FBI agents, including those with law degrees, training at the FBI academy and subsequent FOIA schooling can't perform these simple tasks, this kid has the added attractiveness of the most perfect manners I have ever seen in a youngster. He is now out of school, too.

Sincerely,