Er. John Hartingh FOLA/PA Unit, FEI J. Edgar Hoover Sldg. Washington, D.C.

Rt. 12, Frederick, Ad. 21701 5/9/77

Dear Ar. Restingh,

Jim Leser told me of your conversation with him about my Silvershirt POBA request. I appreciate your interest in this and offer to be helpful. Informality may well be helpful to both of us and save such time.

With regard to this particular request it was not very helpful to me. I began that way early in 1975. Win and I were invited to meet with Mas Brosson, gilty and Frazier. As we left I told SA Presson of my interest in this publect and in retrieving what it still retained of my property from the FMI. His passer that there is no such thing as a verbal request under the set was quite short. It is also contrary to the testimony of SA Unith in C.A. 75-1995.

This is one of the reasons I had trouble with the use of "indivertent."

The FBI did return most of those records to mo. I did expect the return of those it kept. With the passing of time I forgot about it. You may not be aware of it but those records related to a plot to overthrough the government. I am quite surprised to hear that the FMI would destroy such records while preserving much trivia. Especially because this was not FBI property.

I do want all the existing records, including those that relate to me. There was a considerable effort made to indict me on utterly false charges. Although the grand jury did indict mayid dubois Mayne and he copped a plea to two charges thereafter an FEI agent from the southwest saked me to make a statement as to Mayne's loyalty. I believe it was in connection with defense employment, in the atomic field.

No I look forward to receiving reports on the contents of my surbage. It was collected and examined, part of that particular advanture. One might say this has been determined and not inadvertently.

I would appreciate it if there were less unnecessary obliteration in the serials. There seems to be a new agent working on them. This can, I realise, create a problem where the agent is without background on the case or in what has been provided. Sense not at all secret are still masked, like those of James C. Hardin and Blackie Austin. Serial 4394 illustrates what I believe is an improper masking. Aside from this that was all over the Mamphis papers, as you should be able to imagine, complete with photographs of that cell-block being converted into a walls.

The continued obliteration of the names of special agents when they are notsecret is creating extra work for you and a problem we should want to avoid. The judge has already ruled against this, there are other and binding decisions on it and Director Kelley has written that this is improper in historical cases. I believe that letter is in the record. We have been patient on this, hoping there would be a change. Aside from the historical importance I have other interests in some case, in no case to bacale them. This also is true of Hardin and some of the other innates whose names are both public and masked.

Illustrative of another type of withholding about which I do have doubts is Serial 4306, the Judge Gasey paragraph on page 2.

There are fairly frequent references to attachments that are not provided. In least weeks Sections there were references to sesorands and the semorands were not included. While I have not complained, hoping these records would show up as later serials, what astounds so in last week's Sections laptly as to call this to you attention. With records as such as five days after Ray's argest the records relating to his arrest and to the

notification of Mg and the AC end the statement that was issued on his arrest and what relates to all of this are all missing.

Jim tells so that you and he have discussed the field office searches. By prine interest is Kemphis, Procises relating to Peophis have been made to us in court and in letters signed by the director. I believe these are at best semantical but saids from the fact that my requests cover than there were these presides.

I will be writing about this. (No, not that you effed hing, as you've probably heard another says.) I also as consulted by reporters with some regulatity. I would like to be se informative with them as possible and would like to keep the falsities to as close to a minimum as possible. While my belief that this is not opposed to the Eureau's interest is irrelevant, this is my belief.

So I do hope you can find time to pay closer attention to the withholdings, especially from the coming field office files. I would much prefer not to feel compelled to raise these kinds of questions in court.

With the Cointelpro files analysts who are not familiar with the facts of this perticular case and what is not secret may make mistakes and withhold what ordinarily they would feel compelled to withhold, the names of informers. Some are public. Some figure in Memphis prospections, court records. There is one the Invadors decided to kill but none would do it. He figures in several prosecutions. Jensen and Easter are not the only retirems. However, I believe that if you can persuade the NYO to inform you fully and truthfully there should be no problem here. I will not contest your right to withhold what is secret in this area but I do expect that there will not be any withholding of what is not secret. I know enough about this aspect of this subject to suggest that any other course may create still other problems.

If you want to discuss any of this I have a medical appointment in Vashington at 10 a.m. this coming Friday. I should be able to got a good walk in and still be at your building at 11. Either way Jim can let me know. The time should coincide with the availability of added Sections.

Sincerely,

Rarold Weisberg