Rt 12. Frederick, Md. 21701
11/26/73

Er. Movard Lovi
The Attorney General
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C.
Dear Mr. Lovi,

I address you because I believe the contents of this letter should reach you or failing that, at least those on your personal staff. It relates in part to your announcement of an investigation of matters relating to the assessinated Dr. Ling.
I am investigator for Jason Barl Ray as well as on other matters and to the Departments knowledge have written more extensively on assessinations than any other.

It is you announcement that prompts this letter at this time because I had asked my attorney, James H. Lesar, Hog., to take these matters up with the Department. It is aware that his legal life in ofer is which the Department is party or has an interest, particularly the case to which you refer, has made this impossible.

Insediately after my first interest in the assessination of Dr. King but at a time when most of my work was devoted to investigating Lee Harvey Oscald reports remoted me that the FMI was engaging in practices similar to those against Dr. King but ink losser degree and against me. Upon receipt of this information I wrote them Attorney General Mitchell. The response in his name said this, of course, would be improper activity and he would forward my complaint to the late J. Migar Hoover for Mr. Hoover to answer. From that day to this, depite a number of reminders, there has not been any real response.

With this as background I heresith make formal request under both the Privacy and PCI Acts for all Departmental and PSI information about he, any files whetever of any origin, from any agency, not limited to those of the United States Government and no matter how obtained. I mean this to be an all-inclusive request and to include any and all uses, including distribution, from the press to Members of Congress or their staffs, to other federal components and to these of States or subdivisions of states or of any other nature.

Please also understand that this is not a request sade without specific knowledge ranging from the recent to the distant past, to 1989 or 1940 and possibly to 4937, when I lived and veries with the PBI for some four months and see told by agent with whom I was then working that reports would be filed on me. I have shown up in electronic surveillance, to the knowledge of Departmental lawyers of that period, who are my source. More recently I have obtained from the files of another agency false information that has been used publicly against me by those who were in association with the Department and/or the FBI. In addition responses from the CIA under similar requests are overdue. The CIA's explanation is that it amaits the approval of other agencies of which the FBI is the most obvious.

In part this relates to my work on the King assessination and the defense of James Earl Ray. Wr. Least, after the Department exceeded the limits of the law in response and you did not grant his appeal, filed Civil Action 75-1996 for me. This discloses that Mr. Heaver never sade any response to my requests for just the kind of information you now address, among other information. The request was written about 1969. Some of what is now accepted as new information about this kind of nativity that you now condens was, to the Government's knowledge, published by me about five years ago is my book frame-In. It also was the subject of what I regard as improper federal interest, to my knowledge.

There has been non-federal curveillance on me in my role as Ray's investigator that I have reason to believe was given to the FDIs and/or the Department. I do hope you will have your ampunced investigation include this because there can hardly be a greamer violation of Er. Ray's rights. To my knowledge the over-all denial of Er. Ray's rights, including by surveillance, expects anything reported in the Elisberg case and in my view ought be the subject of a separate investigation by the Civil Rights Division. If you desire this I am propared to provide documentary proofs.

In my addressing you this openly I hope you will find an assumption of good faith and serious intention on your part, not the expectite. To this end I provide you with other information I believe is relevant, what ordinarily might not reach the executive at the top, might be hidden from him, and what you might have no way of knowing because of your relative assumes in your position.

First of all, the two Division you have designated to conduct the investigation are themselves involved. The Department's records in my C.A. 715-70 should provide serious investigators with adequate leads in addition to those that would turn up in the course of a normal, serious inquiry. The Civil Division was also involved in and had to have had knowledge of some of these matters, including improprieties you are now having investigated. I received a summary judgment in that case. What was made available to me was made available by the Civil Division, Aside from its own record of less than housety this Division therefore has to have knowledge of some improprieties of which I have proof obtained in that action. This includes false ascening that I believe was somethy perjury.

All the FULA cases I have filed are for information about political assessinations. Two are about Dr. King's. In all cases in which the Department filed any papers in any court there was deliberate deception of the court. In my belief this extended to perjury and its suborcation. As I believe the intent was to misroprosent, to deceive the courts with all that betokens, so also do I believe mone of it was accidental on any Departmental or other level. The lack of concern over those kinds of offenses, which I believe ought properly be part of the inquiry you have announced, is illustrated by the most repent of these cases to reach a judge, C.A.227-75. Prior to producing proof of more of this permenting false secaring I personally informed the Assistant United States Attenday that if he provided under eath what he was supposed to it would be false secaring and that my informing his of it would raise questions of subornation.

I gave his not only the generality. I gave his specific illustrations. I do not think you can have a serious investigation into these kinds of anters without extending them to what I think is relevant and there is every indication the Congress also agrees is.

Illness makes full access to my files arkmert. But free recollection I bolieve I did inform you of this in writing. (I do not assume the letter reached your personal attention even though it is my recollection I sent this letter certified.) I know I wrote the United States Attorney and I know I never got any meaningful response of even the expression of the most perfunctory interest.

You should know that I rea my own personal investigation I can attest that if Hemphies authorities did not lie in response to the discovery order of a federal court the FDI withhold such information relative to the ling assessination and become the hiding place of other owidence that could thus be denied Mr. Ray and his defense. While I have and our provide proof I think it would be better if you wait and see if this is reported to you by those you have placed in charge of the investigation. Frankly, although it is an out-of-the-crimary proposal. I believe that if you intend a really serious investigation it ought not be in the hands of those you have designated and should isclude Mr. Least and se because of the feetual and detailed knowledge we alone have acquired through countless hours of effort.

Too should also know that in addition to the possible interest of the Congress there are nembers of the press who have some knowledge of case of theses matters dating to long before the evidentiary hearing in the May case and that there is an appeal correctly before the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals. I believe the potential for Departmental and parameter exhaust as high, nest of all if the investigation by those who are <u>ratious</u> is saything less than complete and definitive, beyond question and rat of artificially limited forms and content.

You or others may question my sincerity in this matter or attribute ulterior purpose. I assure you there is no basis for any such beliefs. By work has been entirely unpaid, my book appeared years ago and the writing I ment to do is on other matters. Even this letter takes time from the more on which I am engaged, time limited by my not having help and by illness.

Rather is it that I believe the meet of the metion and the good of the Government are served by a wholesale elecating of all the se kinds of abuses and that acmo is possible without full exposure. The sore this elements and exposure is by the executive agencies the healthier they will become and the more citizens will have some basis for having faith now electly god properly lacking.

As I have said, it is because I believe you should have this infernation promptly that I have written instead of waiting until Fr. Legar has time. However, if you would prefer that response be to him I would regard that as proper and as it relates to all about which I have written, including the requests under the Privacy and FOI Acts. To save you tice his address is 1251 Fourth Street, St. 20024.

If there is any way in which I can help in the purpose you have amnounced, please feel free to ask.

dincarely.

Hartia Walabers