

Dear Jim,

Re enclosed letter to AG Levi

11/29/75

Lil is reading and correcting that letter but neither of us will have time to do it with this. Lonnie and his wife are coming tonight, Mo is due tomorrow morning and we have an evening engagement, rare for us. This means that among other things I'll have to get today's orders done before Annie gets here. Yesterday's, after Lil made her records, took me about four straight hours. There were also letters and I expect more.

I am quite prepared for DJ to assume I have other than the sincere purposes of my letter to Levi. However, I think if he/they are wise, they will assume I am serious and use this as their "out" by pretending to get from us knowledge they did not have earlier. I do not expect this but I am prepared to be helpful, as I assume of you. The real problem is that the investigation, all other considerations aside, is by those against whom any investigation has to be directed.

I have included the FOIA/Privacy requests rather than wait your having time because I believe they are relevant and because if there is any real effort to comply and if Levi is by any chance serious the results will fit with what he says he wants looked into. Also, the filing has been delayed too long by lack of time.

The references to Congress are intended not only as a reminder. I think we have to take for granted as I say that the man at the top can't know everything. I doubt that those responsible for what I allege have told Levi. If my letter does not get through to him I will have done all I can. I'm sending it certified addressee only.

If they think about the press part they say think of or only of GBS. This, I believe, will be a serious mistake now.

I'll have to find time to change this ribbon. Lil will make copies of the original in case we need them. I can conceive of questions coming up in a hearing in 75-1996.

If you have trouble finding copies of my earlier correspondence on this I have them. The last word on the 1969 allegations I recall was a pro forma from that supposed Mr. Cleah, Ruckelshaus. Belcher was involved. The first in the criminal division was Gella. Belcher, as I recall, responded to case letters one would not ordinarily expect to be routed to the head of the organized crime section. Remember, there were a few strange things that did happen to me in New Orleans and twice when I was going there, including interception of and damage to my luggage. John Nichols was a witness to one and Matt Maxon the other. But that is, each met me when my luggage was missing and Matt was with me in both cases when it finally reached me.

I avoided reference to interception of my mail on purpose, making instead partial reference to interceptions with Ray only. But there is no reasonable doubt that the one of WWII was intercepted in the US and parts as I sent them to my London agent.

At some point I will have to fill you in on my past others will allege to be nefarious and is all quite innocent. If Dave wrong visits before this need it might be a good time to do some of the oral histories in which he is interested, accomplishing two purposes at one time. By have no doubt, the FBI has bulging files on me on a number of subjects not limited to assassinations and FOIA cases. Don't forget they sent an agent to corrupt a witness, key witness, in my first damage suit. If we had to we could probably identify him because he was a relative of that witness.

If any of Floyd's people volunteer for any work over any holiday, I think I'll ask that these and perhaps other files be gone over and put in better shape, with copies of the relevant made or separated out if there are duplicates.

Meanwhile, time has lapsed on the CIA. Want me to file the appeal and save you the time? I'd rather give them more time to be of full response and perfect the record if you think it is necessary now. But we should decide now. Best,