JFK assessinations records requests (inc. C.A. 78-0322) Harold Weisberg 3/3/80

PA requests, FAIR) and all field offices

Failure of act on appeals

As I clear up the accusulation of records I copied for appeals and subject filling them.

I came accross several that pertain to me. Ha. Farrett has compared/with the responses. I recorded from field offices and finds that the records included in the FARR general releases include what was not included in responses to my Fa requests. I find references to other records that have not been provided.

The first of my appeals was in late 1975 or early 1976. There has been no response.

from San Prencisco
The subject matter is a threat against 'in Carrison that was fed to me/when I was
in New Orleans. As I'm sure I've informed you in other appeals to which you have not
responded, the person who obtained the information, who is not the one who phoned me,
in an entirely separate matter is in FMI and Secret Service files of threats against
the President. (Los Angeles.) The person she phoned me is a respected San Francisco
reporter. Earlier, some of his broadcasts were classified "SCGET" by the FMI, the tapes
of his broadcasts, actually.

When he phoned me at such an unfodly hour I knew something had to be up so before accepting the call I got my tape recorder and a suction phone pickup I carried in those days when I was receiving threats.

After the end of the conversation I asskened (then sergeant) Louis Ivon, a regular New Orleans policeman assignd to Sarrison as his chief investigator. He came to my motel room, we listened to the tape, we then had breakfast and discussed it and then went to his office. He consulted the assistant DAS, not in my presence, and I presume they had other conferences of which I did and do not know.

One of my recommendations was that the PHI be notified. After the conferences this was agreed to and I did that, I don't suppose that it ever occurred to the FHI perangids that with the feuding between the FHI and Garrison this could have been in the FHI's benefit.

Ad/ds

like soot paper I also believed that the FML, supposedly, kept up with the softs anywhere in the country. This threat was attributed to the softs in San Francisco.

Contrary to what the general release records Ladicate, I had but a single conversation with the FaI about tries, the time I phoned. I then spoke to an agent who, to the best of my recollection, was agend Head, set the one who prepared the report.

We did call back, but he did not speak to me. He merely left a world memory to the effect that other local palice were being informed.

The EQ records, all 62-109050, are Serials 9905, 5905, 5909, 5910 and 5916.

5909 is an ilbuquerque teletype to El and BO of 12/14/67. That day it and other (5916)
stations received the F.O. teletype/of some hours after my call. Let Albuquerque claimed in response to my request that it had no records participate to me. Albuquerque although these are marked for indusing at Falls, no copies were provided from Falls, the mark appears on the records and the spalling of my name in corrected.

In stating what did not happen ("WELSESS ALS MEN TOLD TELT . . . SOF. SULLIVAN ACTION COMMISSION DIVISION, HAS CREEKED POLICE DEPARTMENT HAS MENS NOTIFIED (in 9916)
...") the PRI discloses the need for other records, not provided, to exist. I me particularly interest ed in the PRI's speaking to Sullivan because that is the name of a surgeant she recruited Camald into the Civil Air Jatrol and testified untruthfully about David Ferrie to the Marron Commission. Because I didnot speak to the FRI other than in the marring, when I phoned the upport in, there was no possibility of its having spoken to me about what it did later, that afternoon.

There can be other explanations of the N.O. instructions to other offices not to do as SF asked or saything further without specific PALES instructions. Quite properly SF unnted leads and my source, so a check with the noted about an incoming call in the week hours was protty obserious. The moted at which I stayed was theroughly covered by the FAL and the Department later released the transcripts of the results of phone tapping there in another Carriaga case, It also disclosed some of this to se in C.A.

75-1955, where the record provided has no itemifiers but they were choices to se.

1965 refers to a phone conversation about this (FRIM) at 10:10 a.g., or an hour later New Orleans time, and "an earlier request made it SAC Rightseyer for clarifying information regarding New Orleans teletype today in this setter." (It refers to NA Lee Sudrow, not Nood.) This presents some problems when compared with the only New Orleans teletype inclaimed. SHO. It states that it was sent at 1:55 p.m., or an hour later in Machington, and that I didn't phone matil 1:346 a.m. It therefor is obvious that there was an earlier teletype and that N.O. knew of this setter other than from my call to it. The 10:10 a.m. phone call from New Orleans, in response to the Nu request for clarification, was not only more than an hour and a half before I phoned - it was in response to an inquiry from FAIRQ in response to this earlier teletype.

So how did anybody know before I called? Or do you say what I mean about coverage at my sat sotel?

(Parenthetically, during this period by wife was getting plane calls from atrangers the knew I was away, had no known seems of knowing this, and suggesting strongly that whe should be afraid that sowething would happen to se. In New Orleans sy notel room was searched at least once and sy addressbook was missing.)

We all know how fact the Fill is, perticularly when it has no interest and there are instructions to stay away from the Garrison matter (as least in terms of letting interest be known.) How fast is indicated by 5909, timed at 6190 p.m., or 5190 in N.O. has been albuquerque had received the N.O. teletype and had made phone calling (jew many not indicated) to N.O. It also conducted interviews away from the office. It had checked a motel and learned the name under which a Carrison station was steping, an alias. (But not the alias under which he was ticketed, as I know from having gone to the airport with his the night before at his request.) I think you'll be impressed with all that the AC FO did, including drafting the teletype,, ostensibly in much less than an hour and a half. Jules Yems could have had then dirronmanulating the earth before they started.

as usual, Scover was comiscient, ska personoid, as 5916 reflects. Be ordained of

all of this, beginning with my being aucknowl about 4 m.m. after about 2 hours of aloop and my assistance Ivan, who had a final own that day (he'd return to college for a calabalogy degree), that "It is another diversionary tactic by Garrison."

(Why these records were also cant to the Lappartics Digision I can't goese but that is indicated in 5916, along with Descript I, telligence.)

"Tone of these records were provide by Au, NO or Dallas and, bursteen I've checked, if any were sent to those in whose tenf I live, not & those NOs, either.

Dallas did provide a leter (1/0/68) Life but it makes no reference to the phone call unless it is in what is expised.

Sow Orleans als provided on interesting record, the copy of in taletype as it (30 59-69-7616.)
Was prepared for transmission. Except for corrections and changes it is like for line identical with the wired copy, 5916. As you know, there can be no time on the members of it is sent, so no time appears on tids and no machine copy was provided by N.C.

Losing the mathema of interment is that the original draft stated that "MEISHERS IS HELEG TOLD...." and "Will HE MOTIFIED..." As transmitted this reads "WEISHERS HAS INNEL TOLD" and "HAS HERN SCRIPTED." This is what I may about did not happen. and, of course, there is the change of tense, meaning of time. (This, however, cannot be the teletype prior to 10:10 a.m. referred to above because it also places the time of sy call to the field office at 11:56 a.m.)

In the first page of 89-69-7616 sees notations have been added. They are unclear in this copy and I would like to be able to read them. The first appears to be MA 3155 with a "F" under the sinutes. Then there is fac" with a time beginning with a 4. (This gave it even less time that I state above if it is the time of transmission.) What appeals to be if her 4:19 after it and if a later time beginning with a 4.

Venelly PRIE, is given as Sureen, not Vashington. However, the Vashington field office is usually given as W70, which sakes me wonder if WA represents another office.

The initials are CJH. Unbloar notations on the last page include, "Weisberg talked to ham SA Rood," and other SA for Phicell.

In 30, 5910 in 3607. A notation doed has the meso of "Wall" in it. He was a supervisor on the JFK case. The mesos of the other San are not included.

3

New Orleans did not provide copies of the other records although on this one I am amount for a sec reference. One presumes it to be brown of the others.

his did betters it provides more at all, even though an elected above I as marked with the new reference with, by manufalls corrected and underscored.

The Libi referred to show is attached to the NO sirted of 1/6/68 that is part of the same matter, 62-109060-6042. In Delias this is 69-43-7816, without the sirted as provided under my his request. The mirtal gives the name that is obliterated but I tell reads have attached any first name, which is certainly odd for a first mention.

(It is Nighard.)

(I have referred to this in earlier appeals in connection with Tox Sendors, Mi of the San Transisco area.)

Dallas stepled a piece of paper over the entire test of the first page of the like-FRIBE colliberated all but the last four Lines of test. In these lines it oblitanted Rys's have and smother that is disclosed by the net withheld Adentifiers.

Dalles obliterated more than the second helf of the second page in the second rate way.

In what remains this is clearly identified as the subject of my shows tall of 12/14/97

Here Morgan is the reporter sho phoned me, by the usy, but I had nothing to do with Dye's trip to I.O., as this indicates. Or with his dispute with Senders, whose name, with typical Fol consistency, is not withhold. (Reference to M. copy in general volumess.)

(Also not provided from DJ records and copy to Weish is indicated.) did file a separate dequest of all other DJ components, as you know.)

although the mirbal originated in "em Origens and I am the cause of all of this and an named in the Labl, New Origens did not provide this under my PA request. And although I am marked for indexing in the Falks copy, it also did not provide a copy in response to my PA request. Securities, all my appeals remain ignored. (No appeal to AV only.)

If you can let me know how the wisances of the FMI know in advence what I would

report, that it would require clarification and had this alleged therification before
I made my report, I'd same like to know. It is not that I' want to steel the Fil's
shuff hather in it that this is not the only incomprehensible I've come address, nor
is it the only time I'm indemed only not to show up on an alleged search.

is attachments see: