

extra space

In saying that "we" have only now gotten to the "beginning" Newman may be saying that with this ~~travesty~~ ^{tragedy} and ~~aged~~ ^{to} of a book he has gotten where he will present the "solution."

He may well be forecasting a sequel in which he solves the crime with ~~his~~ impossible Cuba "solution."

That can come from ignorance, in this book a Professor Newman specialty. It can also come ~~from~~ from the baseless political preconceptions and ^{from} (the fabrications that are so obvious in this book, ^{obvious} if one is not of Newman's subject-matter ignorance of his political preconceptions.

Why else does he base this book on the obvious fictions and ~~fake~~ fabrications already pointed ~~out~~ out, his fabrications about Cuba and Castro and about Duran and Lechuga and about that childish nonsense that Castro got Duran to "entrap" Oswald with "sexual services" ⁱⁿ to Castro?

There is not a word of truth in any of this, yet it is basic to his book as it would be to any sequel.

It is not even rational.

That Oswald or anyone else would be "entrapped" into an assassination ^{by} but a few clandestine bits of sex?

This isn't even up to the level of kid stuff.

Yet it is the basis of this book and it may well be the forecast of what is ~~to~~ to come.

Together with the completely false account of Oswald ^{as} ^{in New Orleans} "underground" (and of all the other lies Newman has with that ~~big~~ one.

This and more like it require more than determined ignorance. They require determined dishonesty of intent and ^{execution,} performance.

With this book as the standard, my what any sequel will be!

Newman insert/correction

(The fourth issue of Open Secrets, the publication of the Coalition on Political Assassinations dated August 1995, states Newman's JFK and Cuba was due to be published that fall by Carroll & Graf.)