

Dear Bill,

12/5/94

Your Priority package with your 12.1 and 11/28 here today. I've read the letters and skimmed the interesting enclosures. I've looked at all the great cartoons and will give them to McKnight. I'll read the enclosures when I can, thanks. Maybe beginning when I get up in the early a.m. if I'm too tired to write. They all look interesting.

Today a cousin drove us to Johns Hopkins for my semi-annual prostate checkup. I go into this because soon you'll be in the age group that should have regular checks. I began being driven there monthly. Then there was a new medication and a new test and they cut it back to every six months. Today I was told I need not come back for a year! The test gives security and the medication appears to be effective. The test is PSA, the medication Proscar. Without insurance the medication is costly but for what it seems to be doing there is no excess cost. Only profiteering.

I had prostate surgery in 1986. Locally and by a hack.

My understanding is that Lane was born Marcus Levin. Besides being an SOB he is a tragedy. A pale man, only amoral and without ethics.

Nice note on the show. Got a letter from Indiana I think may have come from it.

Probably the copy of Senator Russell Dissents that I'll be able to dig up and send is without something I added. We are neither us of us as of now up to much xeroxing. I'll know when I see it. I got it. Missing a couple of pages I'll try to remember when I have the only complete copy out.

I can understand that Hemingway could use so small a shack and would want it with such a fine view. He wrote standing up and it all came from his head. He did not need files, for example. So he was isolated and his mind was not intruded upon.

Slovakia has always been notorious for its anti-Semitism. True of much of Europe. If the nonstop tragedies of the people interests you, an Englishman, Paul Johnson, not a Jew, wrote a fine book, A History of the Jews. Howard Fast wrote The Jews, more in popular form. He is a Jew and the most gifted of writers. Both can be in second-hand stores. I gave my copies away

If you read Waketh with care you will see that it is carefully described as not proof, as a means of assessing the circumstantial evidence. So what you say about that chapter and speculation is so. There is no other way of addressing that and I decided it was past time for it being addressed. I hope I sent you a table of contents because I added several chapters and that changed the numbering and sequence.

Best to you both,



12/6: The enclosures are interesting. Read all but the Navy cheating *sofa*.

Great stuff on the Grinrich who stole Pinchet! But the newspaper column by Joseph Spear does not identify the paper. From the TV programs on the other side, is it the ~~12~~ 11/23 of a Lexington paper? *Cobin? Knoxville station listed?*

Impresssive as the Failure Analysis piece from Forbes is, I remind you that an old former chicken farmer who cannot use the simplest computer did all their technology and PhD *in*. That was one reason I was so concerned with that approach being used on anything other than great disasters. Particularly if used in criminal cases. I do not reall whether that part ^{was} ~~of~~ eliminated from ^{Case} Open.

I've heard nothing from them since it appeared.

In criminal and possibly in political cases it can be the means of incriminating the innocent.

It should be severely limited in its uses in ⁴ courts, I believe.