

1/20/94 I did not feel like working this morning so I read and thought a bit more about your article.

You did intend to<sup>a</sup>dd quotes. That would help much. But my strongest impression is that it is not different enough. Rather it does not make me all that different than the assassination nuts about whom in varying degree all the editors know.

Mostly I believe editors want something different, particularly on matters that have been written about much.

So I think you may want to think about what I do and have done that is different, in my work, writing and other work, and what I may do that is different and then how this is different.

I think also that you should have a specific publication or publications in mind because that will control how long or how short it should be.

I am no longer familiar enough with magazines to make any suggestions along the line of length.

But I think the length of what you have written would perhaps automatically exclude some magazines. It would be too long for some.

When you think about this more, if you want suggestions I'll make some.

Best,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Harold". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned below the typed name "Harold".