

5/18/69

Mrs Sylvia Meagher
302 West 12 St.
New York, N.Y.

Dear Mrs Meagher,

Thank you for the
kind letter.

A frightening aspect
of this tragedy, even after
the trial, is that if you
confront a Garrison supporter
with the endless list of
manufactured evidence ("The
Odum P.O. Number", "The Library
Card", "the airport registration",
the unsubstantiated testimony
of corrupts (Bunaly, Baaden),
interested parties (Max Gonzalez,
Roger Craig), and the mentally
confused (Russo, Nagel, Spindel,
Rich), the whole lies (The
Shaw, Kennedy "flight" of 1963),
fantasies (Rudy's conceal
"induced" death), fantastic
claims (The interview with

a foreign agent who had penetrated the forces involved), the slandering of reporters (Scheidt, Tainley, Chandler) and vulnerable but unheeding citizens (Thainley and Shaw himself), and the assassins who began as homosexuals killing for "things" like heroism and love but became by stages The C.I.A., The F.B.I., Cuban spies, Minutemen, General Walker, The Dallas Police, air men, Nazi elements, and other Pentagon forces) well, what can be said to all this?

The Garrison supporter will simply reply, "Jim is wrong on certain details (any you mention) but he has the correct solution."

"The C.I.A. has destroyed the evidence and killed the witnesses".

Thus, his defense, like that of a classic paranoid, cannot be broken.

Mark Lane represents
the political critic.

He tried to use Garrison
to attack his enemies but
led "The Rush To Ridicule"
in New Orleans.

Certainly, he is
far too intelligent, or
say, Penn Jones might not
be, to be fooled by
Jim Garrison.

I suppose his
behavior confused me
the most.

Had the critics
taken your position
we would have been
spared this disgrace.

Your honesty and
candor have been a
beacon of light in
the fog of lies from
The W.C. and its Garrison
oriented critics.

Future historians will
be much in your debt.

Edward Williams
200 East 66th.
New York, N.Y.