Dear Mr. Fritchey,

Having wasted my time in visiting and writing you, I know that except for the Manne leaving a record there is nothing this letter will accomplish. Nour personal record on the subject of the Kennedy assassination, like that of most of the press, makes government ownership and control seem like a blessing, for then people know for whem you are your uninformed, not-wenting-to-eb-informed dishonest colleages would be know for what you are, quantument of the property of the press.

I am now referring to your column "Can Garrison Be Stopped". The press, which first made it impossible for Oswald to have been tried had he not been murdered, then become the Commission's whore by allowing itself to be corrupted by planted and unattributed leaks, now is determined that I im Garrison also cannot take a case to court. You are determined that American justice cannot function, that a President can be murdered and kissed off with the dubious epitaph of a fake inquest, and that we are all to be satisfied, MM MMM MMM MMM MMM MMMM

From that special fink's Olympus of yours may you have dispensed with judge and jury - it is enough that all-seeing, all knowing Fritchey has ordered it, why bother with such things as judges and juries - and you have found NBC and Walter Sheridan (respected, as can be said for enator odd) innocent of the cas che rge of attempted bribery. When a witness says the attempted was made to intimidate him and bribe him, naturally, whoever he charges with it must be innocent in the enjoys the supreme qualification of having decided, on the basis of his own bias and prejudice, that the government can do no wrong on this subject.

Russo, but I know what herdid with Gordon Novel, who may be singing right now, having been off NBC's test for a while, and having gotten to benefit from the corrupt so-called lie-detector test NBC arranged for. It was propaganda. I cannot say "pure". I can tell you what NBC tried to do to Garrison through me. They tried to be held the worst kind of bad, really evil, information on him. When I asked

Refinerd Townley why he didn't take this information to Carrison he said Garrison wouldn't see him. Townley took me to his information, then drove me to Carrison's home with me, then returned with me the following night for the "witness" to make a tape recording. I still have it, with Townley's voice on it. Had Carriosn or I used that information we'd have been ruined. It took a hell of a lot of time that I presume NBC thinks it is cute for me and others to have wasted checking it out. This is hardly the face you put on the "respected", to use your phrase, "reporter". That is no manner of conduct for reporters or respectable people.

I know something about the "information" NBC presented. The stuff was being retailed around New Orleans in late April when I was there. NBC has its own concept of respectability, so it would use what the witnesses would not swear to.

The only reason the New Orleans papers didn't use the John the Baptist stuff is that he wouldn't swear to it. NBC used the leavings of the provincial press. And will mean the compact that he wouldn't swear to it. NBC used the leavings of the provincial press. And will mean the compact that he wouldn't swear to it. NBC used the leavings of the provincial press. And will mean the compact that he wouldn't swear to it. NBC used the leavings of the provincial press. And while the last three to be a scandalous past, to "protect" her. From what: Garrison's

effort to corrupt her by meeting her objections to going to New Orleans to testify:

she is poor, had no clothes and no pice to stay. Instead of instituting proceedings
to ge her this big bad man offered to help her. That, to you and NBC, is evil. Of

course, it is not evil if NBC rigs fake lie-detector tests (Novel has since

publicly confessed his involvement with what he was charged with) and pays him

cash for his "services". That, because it is NBC and presumeably your friends,

makes it honest.

Need I mention the "real" Wlay Bertrand Andrews produced for NBC and the great responsibility of NBC in proclaiming it throughout the land; or what this new "Bertrand" did, got his lawyer, insisted on swearing to an affidavit denying it and then insisted on testifying before the grand jury.

Garrison is also evil because sex devietes figure in this case. Unly

must be a sign of genuine evil intent. Why bother with the flight detail that David Ferrie did threaten the President's life; Or that the FBI has suppressed most of the reports of its, you'll pardon the expression, "investigation" - but not one mentioning this. I have it. If you are impatient, you can have it before the appearance of my own book on the subject, completed before I set foot in New Orleans, and when the Maria Maria

rubbing your handover its cover, and that a men is infalible because you consider him "respected" (like so many who populated so many jails).

You black so leve you conducted anything a child could consider a personal investigation? Are you worried about intimidation of the press? Then why are you- and the ACLU-silent on suits filed in that same New Urleans with that identical result?

Forgetting for a moment how "admirable," what NBC did is, and of course,
you did searchingly look for the truth, so you know, from your own penetrating
investigation and relentless questioning of witnesses and concentrations on
thousands of pages of evidence, lets come back to your own lingo, "He has
concocted so many different plots to explain the President's murder that it is
impossible to recall all of them". What a stroke of literary genius this is. Heving
said you cannot recall of them, you cannot be called a lair, can you! I know of only
one, and he did not "concoct" it nor was he the first to report it. It is in your
possession, but why should a "respected" journalist like you bother to read when
he can invent what is more to his liking. But try and remember, please tell me
what "concoction" you know came from Garrison save that the refugee—cuban groups
were in on it and Uswald was their patsy: On/my own, I add connected with them.

Tet me add Speiser to the coterie of omniscient. But I think when you

quote your fellows on your own personal Clympus you should at least say that

which william.

of your own k/owledge you enderse their crystal balls what does Speiser

know of it, save what he may have been told by Sheridan and others equally

unbiased, like the New Orleans executive director of the ACLU, who involved himself,

made public statements that are lies, then would neither face what he did nor follow

the honorable course of retracting or the manly course of confronting.

ou have to live with yourslef Accustomed as you are to it, boy, I'd never change with you!

For shame, how he degrade an honorable calling! A worn-out whore is more respectable and has no less principle!