Dear Senator Fulbright,

Assume, as I do with you, that the Great Society has become the sick society, ask yourself the question I do not see reflected in the press reports of your American Bar Association speech: is there a taprasticion single Aufection that spereads and causes or contributes to the cause of the permeating illness?

There is, I believe, as you and the few others who have tried to provide leadership and public understand have refused to face it.

That single infection is the Kennedy assassination and its official investigation, which, to avoid offense to those who may be your firefids, I call merely "inadequate".

No assassination, regardless of inspiration, purpose or, as in this case is foodishly alleged, lack of it, is without political consequences. I address this in the first words in the first of the four books on this subject that I have thus far completed. My efforts to interest you in it, find in memuscript and after general distrobution, failed. So, I think it appropriate to ask whether the policy has been identical with what we could anticipate it to have been had President Kennedy not been assassinated. I have no difficulty finding an answer

If policy was not identical, if control over policy or influence in its formulation or its formulators changed as a result of the assassination, and if the government's conduct of the investigation was inadequate to a degree that cannot be explained by human weakness or error or even incompetence, the result is an inference that cannot remain be ignored if there is ever to be sanctity in government or trust in this one.

_____I submit this is a greater understand that you will be willing to ______believe possible.

From the very first we have had total abdication by those upon whom our society depends for leadership. First the lawyers failed, for Oswald was systematically and quite publicly denied all of his rights, to the accompaniement of their public silence. Then the press failed, for it allowed itself to be

diverted by the FBOm which did foreclose sources, and then corrupted by careful but very obvious leaks, each favoring and purchasing a different important and influenctial voice. The eastern inetllectual community was and remains silent, even today, where there can be no pardoning it. Aside from one or two (paid for) reviews, the professors of law and history, novelists, playrights, writers of non-faction have nothing to say. The

Som there is company for those legislators who find security and temporary tranquility in silence and comfort themselves with the annual you evasion that they are not alone. Only a single man has been heard from, and ohold and he has prepared himself less than he might and easily could have.

Do we desrve better than a sick society: Can we expect more:

There is nothing that has happened since November 22, 1963, that is not related to or that does not come about as a result of themassassination, yet those, pre-eminently you, who properly complain about our errors and their cost, refuse to get at the root of the infection, will not do that minimum required to seek the recapture of the national honor and self-respect, without which no genuine reformation is possible.

The property complain about our errors and their cost, refuse to get at the root of the infection, will not do that minimum required to seek the recapture of the national honor and self-respect, without which no genuine reformation is possible.

history with the dubious official epitaph of a phoney inquest, and that when this happens there is no jeoraphy to our basic institutuons, including the presidency, and that there is no hazard to any incumbent.

The people, in their simple wisdom and west visceral comprehension, understand better, and they are disquieted. When what happened when President Kennedy was murdered can happen, can be formalized by the successor administration and approved by Congress, we are never out of jeopardy. Ponder the developments of 1933, then considered impossible by all the leading intellects and politicians.

What you are doing is prescribing aspirin for cancer? That byou went to treat the illness is fine; for there ere too few today willing to

assay even that, But in taking the position that Vietnam policy is geparate and by itself, you divorce it from what it cannot be separated from, a change in policy following a change in administration brought abouth by the assassination.

Possibly there is no connection Roo much is involved to assume this is neither possible nor probably. for there is now no doubt that no single major part of the Reports of the Warren Commission is tenable. That this is less well know that it can be is in part your fault, for you have not familiarized yourself with the available fact. I, for om, offered it to you repeatedly.

further, I have published enough and have more not yet published)
to prove that there is no possibility of considering the investigation
an impartial one or of serious intent, save for the framing of the dead
accused assassin and with him, history and all of us, our present and
our futures.

These may seem like impossible and extreme statements to you. In that event, I invite you to call upon me for substantiation. I will have as much time as you require whenever you want it. Wy work is unique in that it is restricted almost entirely to the official evidence, printed and want suppressed from the 26 volumes. I have already published a fair valume of this.

Unwilling as you may be to find out for yourself what is true and what is probable, I am confident you will not forgive yourself if you do not and that history will be no more kind.

There is no rug large enough to hide this soil, and there is no escaping of responsibility by those in public office.

I delivered a copy of my first book to your office. I am mailing you greater by the second and third each of which was awarded more than two full columns as news energerance by the New York Times.