

11/15/70

Dear Lisa Carol,

In answer to our recent correspondence and your very helpful suggestions, I will be filing, tomorrow, a petition and motion. I am sending two copies to the Department of Justice and will ask the clerk of the court what else is proper or required. I will, of course, also have copies to be filed with the case, Civil Action No. 718-70.

I regret the length because I know the judge must have more than enough to read. However, I felt I should be specific and detailed so that I could inform him fully and to be fair to the Department of Justice, so they will know exactly what I allege and say.

Partly because of the length and partly because of the cost, I have not attached the letters quoted. Should this be desired, of course I will do that. Or, should the judge want any of them, to satisfy himself that I have represented them fairly and not out of context.

Again, I do thank you for your kindness. I hope my lack of knowledge of such matters has not led me into any serious deviation from custom.

Sincerely,

Harold S. Harg

Sylvia, Paul, Gary, Dick, Howard,

This refers to a 13-page asking of the judge to punish Justice for contempt and perjury, in the form (largely) of a chronological statement of the facts (I plan to use in a magazine piece) plus legal arguments, including some of my own devising. This is all my work, and if it is in any way inadequate or wrong, please do not blame Bud for it. I have sent Mary a clear carbon and asked her to make copies for those desiring. It relates to the Ray-documents case. I do not think anything quite like this has been done, and there is a novel suggestion on how he can make the punishment (assuming we agree with me) effective and meaningful-making them, as individuals, pay the costs they have inflicted upon me. Rather easy, if they committed perjury, in his opinion. In mine they did and just repeated it in 2301-70, spectro (arg in a.m.). HW