Mg. Asron Asher, Editor Viking Frees 625 Medison Ave., Hen York, N.Y. 10022

seer ar. Seher,

promote the sele of "Counterplot", of which you are the editor, I immediately promote the sele of "Counterplot", of which you are the editor, I immediately protes the Times charging all references to me were designed as libel, were deliberately ineccurate, were aktifully distorted, or a combination. With its special anti-dictionary definition of "presently", the magazine editor write me they were looking into my completes and I would hear from them "presently". Because the "imme has necess to its subbor and be certainly has access to the information allegedly supporting his writing, the elience of the Times and Spetain for this long interval do not encourage the belief that if you were to make a similar request of your author it would be more productive. Nonetheless, especially because of the credit and responsibility be bestone upon you, I do seggest it.

The longest footnote in "Counterplot" is contrived to libel me. Without going into great detail about it. I suggest you undertain to learn for yourself if the quotetion is securete, if the meaning is distorted, if the incident is completely and faithfully presented and, in fact, if the purpose is. As a beginning point, separate from what Epstein should be able to provide you, why not sak yourself why Fred Newcomb was select to act as a makeup man (be is an artist, not a photographer, as Epstein says) with only "one of each pair".

Yourself whether it was part of the implied plot to "freme" Thorpley. If Epstein commot show it to you, I can - and will.

instification of soying this was "on effort to belp Certison realize this plan" (froming and felsely charging thornton). I think you should size ook him for what he corefully elected to onit that exactly coincided, my visit to "hornley's whose friend and agent, Clint Salton, and what I then forecast and what I offered.

Epstein's writing makes clear elso his femiliarity with my writing), my interest in Thornton was end to not related to perjury. It is very specificationer of the one person told me of seeing desaid and Thornton together in New Crisens. And here, because I think it fair to presime you are a decent man of decent motive, you might want to eak your author for the justification of the charge that Perbara Reid is a practitioner of "vocdoo" (in other formulations "witchersit."

I doubt very much if you have the elightest idea what kind of book you edited. If you doubt the applicability of what I wrote the Times, send me a copy and I will amnotate it for you, not only as it relates to me. On a subject that did not require it, this same for itself the distinction of being the most dishonest, contrived that may. I do not recall any book where the natural gifts of the author have been as skilfully applied.

And I presume you are unwilling to believe this. Adjagent to the cited libel of me is a lengthy section on Dave Idfton and Herry hornley and the sliegation Garrison was designing a frame of one one Hene Heindell. That I find totally lacking is any reference to any affidevit executed by Thornley and midwifed by Lifton, Certain an author as thoroughgoing and paintaking as yours conducts a thorough investigation (should - specify of his sources also?), the representation of the book. If, as seems to be the case with the Times, your inquiry is fruitless, I will supply you with a copy.

As a metter of fest, I will else supply a copy of the "letter" to Newcord - and you may wonder whether or not I can spell my own name when you read it. But I think you should first ask your author.

I suggest those things as convenient touchstones. They are for from isolated instances. The error is percepting and cannot be accidental. If you for one minute question this, I extend to you as unqualified invitation to come here and I will go over it with you page by page and give you what is so artfully misrapresented with each consummate science.

Also, the mother has no way of knowing the nature of the moneter who may be in her womb.

I do pape you will inquire into this (for it is your own reputation that is at stake). If you got no response or none that has meaning, I do encourage you to accept my invitations lotherwise they are unaccepted challenges). And if they in any may dispute what I say, ask me to support what I say and I will.

Once before we had a brief correspondence. You did not then look into the source of the material added to "Inquest". I did. I have beerned how Epstein got it and that his fact, it was my material.

In this spirit, I commend you on the real esteby title of the prologue of "Counterploy", "Osmald in New Orleans". As you just might not know, from the careful emissions in "Counterplot", I 60 - and have -liked that formulation.

Please or case the Leets with which I write you and its fruit. Fore I not so precessing Lie accompany this with photocopies I taink you'd find informative and illuminating. But I do offer you the apportunity to recepture your integrity if I am correct in believing that as the editor, with the respectibilities I believe are those of editors in this field, that is involved.

Sinceraly,