Dear Dave.

Your letter of 12/17 errived yesterday as I was leaving. I at first planmed a point by point refutation, but that would be so empraous an undertaking that I cannot justify the time it would take. I am perticularly troubled by the self-revelationain your memo of 10/10/68, which you had not sent me serlier. I realize you must have a very deep emotional involvement in all of this. That cannot possible account for the totality of falsehood, the grossness and deliberateness of the misrepresentation and distortion or the endless manufacture. Even the Narren Report cannot compare with it. I say this not us an insult because to try and awaken you to what you have done, what you are up to, what your mind is now doing and having you doing.

In virtually 100% ere you 100% opposed to the emply-recorded fact. The completeness of dishonesty in your allegations about the cencelled Reseda High School debate is beyond conception. I cannot believe you could do this if you were in possession of yourself. It is that complete, that swful, that monolithically wrong. Remember, I also have the letters I wrote, and they are exactly centrary to what you say, and this in the most intimate detail.

Others have been saying for a long time that you are not in contact with reality, that you have before a frightful liar. I have known that you have said what is not safe, but never, ever, enywhere, have I seen enything as completely wrong as this memo. None of the erros can possibly be accidentel.

From this I can reach only two immediate conclusions, both of which are deeply troubling to me. You must either be the most dinhonest men in the world or you must be very sick. If it is the latter, do something before it is too late.

If you for one minute think I am frivolous, or using a device of some kind, please select any psychiatrist you might want to go to or the one you do see if you have been getting treatment and permit him to compare this memo with the writing. On the school thing alone you can get the latters out there. Or, I'll send him copies. Dave, please believe me, this is an ewful thing you have done, beyond any possibility of honest intent or purpose, mwith not eveny the slightest relationship with what really happened, what was really said, done and arranged, planted or even possible. The same is true of your writings about Thornley. Do not delay, for if your are not as corrupt as the alternative requires, you cannot be less than very sick.

Aside from the first tening defension of me, consider what you have done by this writing. You have put people who may have or will act on your word in the position of doing and believing things that cannot possibly be so. If you had anything to do with Sylvis's position of Thornley (and I neither know nor allege it), look at the position you have put her in. If you are intending to help Thornley and he or his lewyer act on your ward, what will happen to them?

Remember also that essociation with hornley and helping him in any way may be more than morely having something to do with a defense against Carrison's charge. It inevitably has to do with his entire record as a person, on Cawald, on the Warran Commission. What you know of this I have no way of knowing, but I have little difficulty believing you really know nothing about it. You are not helping him in deceiving him, nor are you helping his lawyer, especially when the charge is perjury. If he remests any mort of what you say he will convict

himself. The el ternetive is no more comforting. I got your messages two months ago and headed the importunings of our mutual friends. We did have a very long talk, and I did give you the means of discovering for yourself whether he is, as you say you sincerely believe, an honest man. Remember, you did admit that all you know comes from him. Remember, you did admit that if he lied you are acting on this basis. I gave you a number of points on which you could readily cheek. In a hong period or about two months you have failed to claim he repeats what he has already said of these things, failed to challenge me to prove he was not bruthful with you and in public.

Dave, if it is not already too late, please stop and take stock before it is. You are herming yourself as a worst enemy could not, saids from the great demage you do to what you claim to seek.

Especially when you maintain there is the like thood of a lawsuit against me cannot I not tell you what I have learned that may be central and most material. If those touchstones I have already given you are not enough to satisfy you. I esn probably give you more, like that bit on the leter, which, again, is not et all es you represent. It has and had no continuing existence, she is not a "practioner of witchcraft", either "alleged", save by you and Thornley or in eny other way. She is a conservative person, a practising and believing Catholic. and that alter is one Kerry beloed her erect for a single purpose, as he knew and knows, a TV show. She has, as I have told you, made a stody of Voodoo and hes en unpublished book on it. as recently as a week ago tonight, one of Kerry's friends sho I bumped into in a cafe in New Crisens, langhingly confirmed to me that he recelled the business of this elter and that it was, as Kerry knew, for this one purpose. Most of the other things you say about Barbara (even calling her Miss), are factually wrong. You can have no personal knowledge of these things, mist be taking the word of others, and they have nothing to do with the fact of the come or any reasonable "defense" of Thornley.

I think you should know that he is now adding the most serious harressment to his kaboodle. If you want to be part of this sort of thing, that is your right, but I again ask you to consider if those depending on your word should be so mislead?

More, I think you should consider, should try and find out, whether what you are doing is actionable. If, as I suspect, it is, do you realize the mechanism you are heading those who might went to hurt you (or whom I sm not one)? You just connot go around manufacturing demagine at atements about people, dissominating them widely, and do it within the law, or whore there is no recourse against you. Thether or not Kerry is properly charged, completely charged, innotent of what he is or is not charged with, has no relationship to what you are and have been doing, shat he is doing, and how he is spending the money given him for his legal defense. Have you, for exemple, any notion of what he am his player just did to me in Tempa last month, without recourse and knowing it was talse and damaging? Or of the imposite phone calls he makes to strangers pretending he wents to speak to me abd never leaving an operator number or the phone at which he can be reached? Or of what I actually told Vlint Bolton more than a year ago and shat he actually recommended to Cerry? Dave, I cannot take more time. This is stready too much. Please make what would be normal checks on what you say before speaking. If you are not being deliberately dishonest in all of this, for whatever purpose, do not deley seeking competent help. I will carry this no further with you.