

6/8/72

Dear Sylvia,

This a.m.'s radio and TV news gives heavy and friendly play to the WHO environmental report on noise or the part dealing with noise (the only part reported in anything I saw/heard). Although this reporting was restricted to the decibel-level effects, that may not be all that is in the report and even if it is, it will interest me. We now have a lawyer in the final case against the government on the damage to us by aviation. He seems to have been doing nothing, hasn't answered my last several letters, so I had better continue to gather such evidence as is possible. I'd appreciate two copies of this report if you can have them sent, one for him, one for me. Thanks.

I'd also be interested in being able to discuss the effects of noise on the nerves and emotions with one of the experts, perhaps with more than one, and it is not impossible that some may be interested in our records of the effects on us and on our poultry. On the latter I also have pictures, still and movies. Production records correlated with these stresses and following them, etc.

Howard is coming Monday for a while. Look forward to it. Jerry will be here part of this weekend. He says he has a copy of your piece The Urologist and the Pathologists, something like this title. Glad to have it.

It has received little attention, but Gervais says he deliberately framed Garrison for the government and under pressure. I happen to believe it, believed it from the first, and have the contemporaneous notes. I don't believe this is Shaw-oriented as much as it is retribution for other things he did and said. I know Gervais and his situation of the past pretty well, which gives an insight into his predicament when he was pressured. His choice, in my view, was a lifetime in jail with what could have been developed on him. I knew from private sources that by the Spring of 1968 the income-tax investigation of Garrison was well under way. Despite my many disagreements with him, and despite the interpretations put on the transcripts of the bugging that were released, I remain with the belief that Garrison is not a grafter and if he were, would not be for such piddling sums. This thing was done with some care, and although I didn't anticipate what eventuated, I had other and similar fears from the summer of 1968 at the very latest. I'll be writing about this.

Little is new. That I can't keep up with what I have undertaken is not new.

Best regards,

