

9/10/71

Dear S,

Thanks for copy ltr per in-the-middle Lesar, who is the best of that outfit and a very good one. Be careful with Smith, who has a Sprague-streak through him and is completely dominated by Bud and has similar paranoid views. Both have the best intentions, the ~~deadly~~ deadly sincere wrong ones that have so troubled us for so long.

Actually, Bud's piece is worse than you say, for you focused on your own major interest. It would be hard to find more inaccuracy in what is presented as fact were one to make mistakes on purpose. Bud really knows very little of the fact. And the total lack of respect for the rights and work of others had led me to disassociate myself on all but two narrow areas, where I have no choice. I have long been demanding back everything I gave Bud in confidence not to get into his committee files, where it did get and did get misused.

I can't speak too highly of Jim, but he hasn't had the opportunity to do the research most of us have. I am also fond of him and his (pathologist) wife.

I also do not see Computers. This seems to be the second piece by Bud, apparently sick chapters of a sicker book. He is being driven to insane and uncharacteristic things by an ego that tells him he alone can and will solve the case(s). Wish we had the resources he has frittered away or that I had back the time I've wasted doing what he asked.

Best,

A handwritten signature, possibly "S", written in dark ink. The signature is stylized and somewhat abstract, consisting of several overlapping lines that form a shape resembling a large, slanted letter 'S' or a similar symbol.