5/14/71 Withholding, Seleasing, Seeks for both, CDs, 1970 declassification

Mr. Ca. PL. JES

Last night I began filing the declareified CDs in a numerical file and listing them. I man out of file folders. I was not reading them, but infrequently some things did catch my eye. Once, for emeple, as to do with the guy alleged to have said that he had shipt with both Ruby and Oswald. A name in it caught my eye. It turns out that this is something I had maked for ,ong ago and been refused, searthing I expect to find comes and in some areas know I will. Paul has at least to a degree had the same experience I had, of having what he had sought and been refused, relatively recently, declaratified when it should not have been declaratified. In one of my cases, they had to have declaratified what I cought when they were insisting they would not let me have it, also the declaratified was very late starting. So, I think a study in and of itself on this point, why was searthing classified to begin with, they was it declaratified, should it have been declaratified then it was, can serve a number of useful surposes, understanding always being one.

From the less than casual games I had at those I did note, to a limited degree I think it due to said that home of the declarationation was controlled by special considerations, special pressures to which government became sensitive, and involved what mover really had to be classified to begin with. One of these is the CIA report in the non-existent Minak intelligence training school. Extremists have been hellering for years that there was such a school and that Gawald was such to it. Our own pressures can also account for the release of some of the N.O. materials, some of Chicago, etc.

No. as others go over these, I'd appreciate any notes on comments on these point, and I suggest that we all set no similar files, for the day may well come in court where such a commentary can have both value and influence.

I think we must recognize that there are legitimate reasons for withholding, medical recovis. Marina's being only one case, allegations of homogeneality and things of similar character clearly falling into this category. When the information was public at the time of classification, as with Ferrie, I also think that was not the real reason. I made this point in my extensive efforts to get what had been withheld on Ferrie.

have not noted it, and I can't here so into all that I know of it but will answer later impairies from any who say have special interest. Heller appears in this series of the reports on hely/Oscald/home. He is the same say who appears in another context in another meries of reports, dealing with LHC attending sectings, as I recal, and his picketing and arrest. One say in two different cross of Oscald's agreer? In the saterial that had not been withheld, having to do with life dist., he also appears as having tried to get a lawyer (Melson) for LHC. Nelson was lateralmyolved in the UEO lawyer flap in NO and when I then wrote him never answered. This series also includes the mane of a former VII informs not no designated, but known to me personally. I stayed with him for a shile!