## Dear Mary.

1 5 CM - White where

## In the rush with which I do something, too often I may forget details. Because I had heard so little from you recently, I fear I didn't send you copies of my letters to beggs. As soon as he spoke out I did write him, suggesting and offering to prove that he and the members of the Commission were had, mostly by Heover and the FBI. I also sent a similar letter to an editorial write on the Mashington Post. And I did give this correspondence, as I usually do when things not urgently confidential are involved. As you know, you have childed me for my openness, and i presume you are aware of the contrary fiction rather correspondence.

Not until degen' speech yesterday and the rather inadquate electronic-media response (I've not yet seen the a.m. papers), did it suddenly come to no that, as in the past, some of the well-meaning underinformed among us might take this idea and seek to exploit if for their own purpose, which in all earlier cases are so executed as to eliminate me. Inter alis this is usually self-justified with the consoling flation that I consider that I have a monopoly, don't share or minimum confide, etc. Some of these that I have detected in the past and frustrated would shake you if you know the details, and they involve people you know well. One, with a cheap effort to explit the army intelligence business after receipt of my lattors, would have been a real disaster and would have helped get those rancels off the hook.

Whether or not this has happened with Boggs, as I consider probable based on the past, the possibility exists and I's confident that among those to show I cont my letters and those with show they have close association, it is inevitable that something of this kind has been done. I do not thick there is one of us who could undertake this on any large scale without consultation with a few of the others, and this includes me both ways: that I should subject my proposale and work to critical analysis and comment of others with sufficient knowledge (of which there are but few) and that no other should undertake to do this without asking as to go over 11. A simple mistake, such as but made and repeated after I corrected it in the spectro suit, could here become a major disector. Boggs would be ruined, the whole thing would go up in make, all criticism of the FBI would be dismissed as invalid and irresponsible, and we'd be set back that much more. I do fear this.

After I read his speech of yesterday I'll be writing him again. I fear than any elaborate eporosch, aside from being managementy for his present purposes, complicates his life, makes his real comprehension impossible, and is needleasly vulnerable. Hurting his personally today would be, aside from a great unkindness, an enormous disservice and would, I fear, permanently turn off the few sembers of the Commission who sight, in time, be helpful to us.

However, I spologize for not having sent you my correspondence, for then, if you'd heard of snything of this sort afoot, you'd know its original inspiration and its potential for real if opticaly inistended hars.

I fear our own greatest hazard continues to be those with great ambition to succeed, the best intentions in the world, and a woesdilly inadequate knowledge of fact that is combined with follish, sometimes paramoid, proconceptions to which every single thing is contorted to fit. We'll be lucky to survive ourselves.

Hope you are all well.

Sincerely,

## 4/23/M