Sylvia, Mary, Gary, Dick, Howards

Some time ago I sent my only copy of the complaint in my spectros suit ti, I think, Paul, for copies to be made for those wanting it and for it then to be returned. I have heard nothing, not gotten in back. I have replaced it. I now also have a single clear copy each of the government "response" and the reply to that Bud made after reading some of my notes on it but without councultation. My own copying paper of this size is out-of-date. As soon as I can, I'll make myself an unclear set that I can use for reference, if needed, and will them make the clear set available for those of you wanting copies to make them. With Mary apparently wwey, I'll send them to Cary; who can then forward them to Mary, if she available, with collation. I suggest Mary first because she has some xeroxing facilities read let Gary know which so that when he forwards these things, it will be known who will want access. I should also be like to be able to keep track of them so that, eventually, they will return. If there is no emergency, I always have the

There are minor errors in Bud's response. I'd have preferred, initially, a more limited one. He changed his/ mind, apparently, after we first discussed this, when he decided against any major revelation of the case until triel, with which I agreed. I hope in this case it was not counter-productive. I do not thing it an erroneous approach. I think it denies us the kind of record we might have had with a different opening, but that is now academic. The govt's case is really so weak that I was able to anticipate the irrelevance of their citations and encouraged the research by Jim. Bud apparently did it himself day before yesterday. There is a major and, I think, controlling point I am confident Bud did not forget, easy as it is for any of us to do that. Sp, I presume he is holding it back for a purpose.

Sincerely,