



Coq d'Or Press hyattstown, MD. 20734

Code 301 / WA 6-2034; TR 4-4246

9/30/69

Dear Syltia,

We have several very young, stamp-collector relatives, so the usuallydifferent UN stamps you use are particularly welcome. My wife saves them and distributes them among the various kids, who rae made happier by the slight favor. The one on your letter of the 26th is another we did not have. Thusks.

Thenks also for the Ray &lipping. I am not now getting the Times and I never see the Post of "ews, so if anything appears in any of these papers, I's appreciate copies. In strictest confidence, I am and have been in touch with Jerry. He is virulent, typical of the racists I call (in COUP) "the dedicated wrong". But he believes that rotten stuff! In fact, we have established this basis of trust: he has asked me to do a book with him. I have not said either yes or no...He has been very helpful in some ways. Often I wonder if he can recognize truth. By coincidence, there is a letter from him today with further "explanations" of that fiasco ending in James Earl's banning him. He had Stoner phone me, which turned out'later) to be important. It is all very strange, believe me, but the path to truth goes through unaccustomed places. There are only two others I have told of this relationship, so I ask you to make no mention of it. Any knowledge of it getting out could be hurtful to what I seek and could end the flow of useful date. When I see you, I'll explain some of this. But I have gotten documents of importance from him.

Whenever you get the documents on the missle crisis, I'll value them. I x yearn so to do that book, but there is so much I feel i must do first...My wife has now betyped 200 pages of the addition to COUP (Dealing with "ing/Ray). At the rate to this point, this will mean about 375-400 pages of single-spaced, legal size, of x the size we reduce to the pronted page with which you are familiar. In turn, without the large appendix, this will mean an even more unpublishable book, 575-600 pp, or 750-800 complete:

You may or may not be aware of it, but many of the less irresponsible of the redical right are very much on our side on this (re: Shirley Temple Black). I have gotten much help from some of them. I believe, in the end, they may prove to be avluable allies. Again, - have a letter from one today also. Neither they nor I cater to the other, neither altering or disguising beliefs. There is no compromising here, no dissembling. Example: colonel Castorr has been extremely helpful, as has his wife been.

Yo ur mention of Coleman reminded me of something I plan to use in AGENT OSMALD, largely researched and partly written (after finishing the second book wo on the autopsy and what I believe relates I'll return to it). I enclose an unfortunately unclear copy of a sheetf from the Commission's files. This can be interpreted as a rather remarkable comment by him. If you ever speak to him, I'd appreciate your asking him what he really had in mind in "ondering whether" the appointment of a CIA executive to State security had any connection with the work of the Warren Commission, or with Oswald and his history. His firm, by the way, may be the most prestigeous in "hile. I knew Kalish when I wrote for Annenberg's magazine (slightly). He is the one who had to be satisfied my writings were not libellous. He never redlined a single word, either. So, then, at least, Annenberg was a client. I suggest you do not assume a dismal experience is inevitable with all WD lawyers, for the future need not be the past, and if I'd have approached Hubert a few days earlier than I did (instead of waiting intil until I'd cleaned up all the planned work and then calling him to make a date for the next trip), it might have been different. He did agree to spend a day with me, but in six weeks of so thought it over and changed his mind. They are all deeply troubled, I am certain...Have you noticed Liebeler's silence? I haven't seen a work he's uttered since the and of 1967. Have you?

My information on Thompson and his pictures is second hand. I did not know he is abroad for a year and wonder he'd have loaned them for so long a period. Lifton has them.

It is awful news about Bob. I'd had no inkling and I'll say nothing.

IRS has not abandoned me. They are merely in an unseemly delay that makes me wonder, although I realize it could be attributed to the normal long delay within their organization in requests for files being answered. I have no concern about our books or our records or the complete honesty of the returns. I have already found exemptions we didn't take as a consequence of thiso but whether recomputing again will be worth it I do not know. I would like to get it over with, because it is an annoyance, my nerves are not in the best order, end they do owe us enough money to put a roof the house has required on it be fore the snow blows.

Bud was in N.O. lest week on law-firm business. I asked him to try and get other transcripts for me (esp. Sheneyfelt and Regis Kennedy). If he does, you may have them. We'll probably be able to copy, through Paul. He has the Frezier transcript now and is awaiting the Finck one before copying and distributing. I'll let you know what, if anything, we get this way. As you may have deduced, if I didn't make it explicit, I have gotten none directly, even though the only major developments in the trial came from the material I dug up and supplied, even with commentaries and questions to be asked. Eack of communication is so complete it is apparent it has been ordered.

My health is, I guess, okay. Physically there seems to be nothing wrong. The herves seem to be acting up, and I'm coping with that I think successfully. My chief problem is getting a medical explanation, so I can understand what I am coping with, I spend part of ech day working outside, getting good (and needed) exercise, but my legs are no less stiff;

Sincerely,