6/18/69

Dear Sylvia,
I enjoyed your succinct and att zhair-dryer characterization of Epstein. Appreciate your taking the time to answer when you are so busy.

I was reading your letter today when I got a phone call that in part related to Bringuier. Tais reminds ne to tell you that if I heven't told you I had done a considerable amount of work on his, having a rather large quantity of whet I regard as very solid information, have absolutely no doubt the ix he perjured himself with Liebeler's protection if not actual connivance, and is about as far to the extreme right as one can get. Fie is not alone connected with Fargis, as I published, but now have the proof of his talker friendship. He is snti-bleck, of which I have proof, and suti-Semitic, of which ${ }^{+}$have reports from dependable experts but not proof. I have more on him and his connections in COUP, to which I have just returned. Hopefully I'll have about 20 pages of documents in the appendix end could extend this. They are all Batista boys end have made on inroad into US Republican politics, especially in the South, with


Bul stopped in this afternoon, on his way home from a crosscountry jaunt and with nothing exciting to reportias a consequence, at least nothing exciting that he did report. However, he net with the right-wing croup of researchers in Delles and offered them my help and cooperation, ineluding personal escorting, on a trip they plan to Washington! Ill do it. If I could do it for the likes of like Berlin, en help diking when they asked for help on Epstein's work, this is no compromise. My reason for mentioning this is that there may be something you'd like to se k of them. Ill be glad to. The two who are coming are imbrough and Farrell. They have an enormous amount of material, from the reports I've had, but I fear the tint of the lenses through which they read everything. Do not let my bias influence you if there something you'd like to ask of them. Kimbrough once phoned me a lone time ago about a movie he mes putting together. Sue Fitch, the third of the group, is said to be even more to the right and politically active.

As you know, rumors spread end sometimes with less then total fidelity. Someone is said to heve been in HiC. In the recent pest and to have told you Garrison feels the only one of the criticis who did not leave him down is Vince. I find this both credible end amusing, not at all out of character. Another report the has reached me is that you have promised to read Lifton's book when it is completed. If this is the case (and I thing it desireable the whenever possible gt least one other person read each book) and there are any ways i cen holp you without my seeing it or mowing the content (for he has on insane fear the I am stealing whatever he has whereas I have no interest in him or his work, regarding both as undependable at best), I voila be happy. I make this offer because it is possible might be able to report to you his different interpretations of the same ostensible facts and some of the slipping over the bar of which I mon from our conversations. I en terrified to think that he might seriously consider trying to get published whet he assured me he could prove. He and Newomb have been deeply engaged in a virulent campaign seainst me. Let them enjoy. I have paid it no heed except them some have asked me for answers th some of the ridiculous charges. Then 1 have consulted my records. I was recently quite surprised to see that one of our group had reported he was six doing similar things by fly 1966. ..e never met until $12 / 60$, the one meeting $I$ could not avoid because it mould have embarrassed $B_{i l l}$, my host. I could think of only papies meche trees and brow shoot tunnels. However, we ha a phone conversation of perhaps 2 hours duration wax the end of last October. no less frightening. I om convinced be is sick


