Dear Harold,

Thanks for your last letter, acknowledging my comments on PM-III. I do appreciate your circumstances and the contraints under which you have been working.

At the same time, I continue to feel strongly that the fraudulence of the 1968 panel's report should be exposed publicly before much more time elapses. I have tried my hand at writing a fairly brief article, realizing of course that it may be impossible to placeit in any magazine or other publication but convinced that the effort should be made.

Harold, in no way would I seek to appropriate any of the findings you have made and have been generous enough to share with others. Let me say clearly that if you had strong objections to my trying to place such an article, I would be very much guided by your views.

If you are willing to consider the idea of an article, it would have the enclosed explanatory note giving you exclusive credit for the findings, which indeed there can be no debate about. I doubt very much if I would have found half of the discrepancies on my own that you discovered through arduous labor against time. I would of course make any changes in the explanation that you suggested.

Also, on the premise that you do not object to the idea of an article, I would ask you to go over the ms. for factual accuracy and any suggestions, if you were willing. This would be the first step, before it is looked at by anyone else.

In the unlikely event that the article was accepted, I would hope that it would help publication of your book and in no way hinder or undercut it. If there is any payment for the article, I will turn it over to you in entirety, on that you have my word of honor.

However, if you prefer that no article be attempted, you need only say so and you need give no reasons—that will be the end of it. I am writing this in some haste but I hope that I have covered all the essential points and that there is no room for any misunderstanding, for I assure you that I have no ulterior or selfish motives for this whole suggestion—I only want the truth to be placed before the public so that there can be no impression anywhere that the report of the 1968 panel has in any way satisfied fact, logic, or justice.

Sincerely,