Dear Harold,
As usual these deys, this will heve to be rushed. "Yow letter to the edtor of SI (wpeared in the issue dated $11 / 12 / 66$ page 38 , together with \#y oma, or excerpts therefromm-the letter that offended you for weasons which yon still have not explained.
 today, to be played tomorrow night at $6: 15 \mathrm{p}$.n. IT . This will reach you too late to liaten, even if it is heard in tarylera, which I doubt.

Lest weak a time reporter wasted a whole evering for in an interview but pime of today did not use it at all, for which 1 an realy glad. They write about the critics with pen ajpped in ahear verom, with a facede of objectivity through which it is easy to see. 敞as also interviewed last week by W 11 St . Journal, 2 hours-monder if that will be used at all?

The 3 -hour 3 show was very favorably received by ordinary people if not by weh high-pomered critics as Jach bould, so far as I rocetl, I think the thpptt ballets segment was included; the min stop when you stop lying I absolutely certain about.

Tou will have seen life by now I think it is wery helpful indeed, both the extremely claar frames and the Comallys' categorieal statementa.


It inse very hard to make out many of the words in your letter to UPI Smith but I got the soneral drift, and he deserved every word of it. He is an insufferable, self-approving igmorani fraud and i hope you mince han proper.

Did you get a call from Easton for the 30th? I sixply conla not do it because of or Fince. I iope that they dice call you, said that you were able to accepty since Cohen mill take part and Penn Jones is entirely too weak in inets and personal forcenulness to cope with bat sigster.

Must rush Mever imagined it was possible to be so urelentingly budy and harmipd, never a masent to rest, relax, on just plain think. I lonow it is the same for you, even whont a 9 to 6 routine.

