

Harold,

19.5.66

Quick Memo

Page 107 last line, "Exhibit 162" (not "182")

Page 110, fourth line from bottom, "leery"

Page 125, paragraph 3, line 4, "Under date of January 30, 1962..."

Page 139, penultimate paragraph, your statement "But as of eleven months after the assassination, only 700 sets..." etc., cannot be correct. Eleven months after the assassination would be October 1964; but the Hearings and Exhibits were not released until late November 1964.

Page 140 line 3: I believe that the Report states that Fritz kept no notes, rather than made no notes. That is an important distinction since it can be interpreted as meaning that he made notes but destroyed them.

Page 142 lines 9-12: I assume that your referring to Dr Renatus Hartogs when you mention the psychiatrist who examined Oswald as a boy, after he was picked up for truancy. If so, I am surprised by your statement that the psychiatrist declared publicly that Oswald, if he was the assassin, did not behave like one. My interpretation of Hartogs' behavior and testimony is quite different, as you will see from my article in the June issue of Minority of One. In short, Hartogs to this day keeps insisting that Oswald the boy showed signs of potential violence or explosiveness, in complete contradiction to his findings as they appear in the record.

If I have misunderstood you, please clarify.

I may have more corrections or comments on pages 159-195, still to be read, and on pages 1-106, which I will have to re-read, since I did not receive your letter requesting any additional corrections until I had reached page 106. Sorry to be so rushed and unable to take more time now.
Regards.

Sylvia