Dear Harold,

I'm sorry it didn't work out with Norton, There was no correction (re Rostein's book) in the Sunday Times, as I had been told to expect., One of Wark Lane's lackeys, or ex-lackeys, called on Sunday after a very long silence, to mak me to give him a particular reference that he was unable to find. He said, after I had gone through some pains and supplied the information, that he had lane's manuscript, which he was about to return, with some sweestions, Being a real genius, I then realized that I had taken all that trouble for Lene, whom I would not give the time of day. a somewhat uncouth charmless and one-dimensional fellow, said also, during the conversation, that he had gotten my subject index, and used it sometimes. Dead silence. I guess he will not be the Ambassador to St. James, when he grows up. (He's in his twenties, but it may stop there.)

A subsequent call from someone else who also had obtained Leme's manuscript (which is about to be published, June in England and September here by Holt) indicated that this person didn't think much of the mag in fact, found it very consistent with the original work (which I had read with little approbation), except that the English had been greatly improved and polished. I suspect that in the end Lane will be the wholly forgotten man of this piece and that his book, following on Epstein's, will make no impact at all.

I hadn't intended to write at all, merely to enclose the Morse bill you sent me, but one word led to another. However, I must get back to my official writings. Best wishes, as always.

Sylvia