a person who ses to be infec-

mment about lepcluded the word We may have difis to what is meant; assume the definition oned method."

al. I would like to have - tell of any place in the ere such a plan has ever d. We must have a record od of establishment, years ence, and final results. This e in Thailand, but I know of er place, except possibly some essible villages in Burma. How be anachronistic if never adely tried? I am sure Dr. Arnconcepts of our villages are ng in many important points he has never visited them.

live out a life in the type of we have is not a hardship. the happiest existence these have known since their disecame evident. It would be rful for leprosy patients if it oe tried in those areas where is not being controlled.

RICHARD S. BUKER, MD, DrPH Poca Raton, Fla

Dermatitis

ditor:—Acute dermatitis of ım is a common occurrence actice of most dermatolon caused by chemical irris frequently rather resisatment, and hence poses in therapy. In fact, it is reated. No area of the ore sensitive to contacy due to its secluded. tion, and partly to its surface. Such a surface ants so that they are h more difficulty than areas. Many cases are ed.

utine daily bed bath len male patients, the all areas except the using a soap- or denated wash cloth. The If for the patient to ded the usual heav-In many hospitals there is no rinsing incomplete. As a of soap (with a e a softening efcorneum) is left is film is multi-3s, with scrotal mmon consequence. All of this may be avoided by any of several simple procedures, of which the following suggests itself naturally.

To prevent this distressing complication, three things may be done. The hospital nursing staff should be oriented to this type of dermatitis, particularly regarding the effects of highly alkaline soaps allowed to remain on the scrotum. A soap or detergent of low pH (5 to 7) should be used in place of the usual soap with a high pH (8 to 10). Thorough rinsing of the scrotum is of paramount importance, and will help to restore the normal skin pH of 5.2 to 5.5. The biochemist of any modern hospital is competent to run pH determinations on various soaps, soap substitutes, and detergents, to ascertain which would be best for the skin.

ARTHUR H. RAYNOLDS MD

The Kennedy Autopsy

St. Petersburg, Fla

To the Editor:-Under the WASH ngton news, The Journal reports, "Photographs and x-rays of the autopsy on the body of President Kennedy were turned over to the National Archives by his family" (198, Nov 21, 1966, adv p 36). This confirms numerous other reports which have recently appeared in the lay press.

The original data, namely photographs and x-rays taken at the autopsy, were not in the hands of the government, but were in some manner given to the Kennedy family. This point demands clarification. Autopsy protocol, slides, tissues, documents, photographs, and x-rays, are by common understanding, the property of the hospital where the autopsy was completed. How, then, did the photographs and x-rays ever leave the files of the Bethesda Naval Medical Center?

It is assumed that autopsy findings are always made available to the family upon request, and to those who have legal access to such findings. What seems incredible in the autopsy of President Kennedy is that a segment of the findings, the photographs and the x-rays, were given to the family. That a "gift" was made seems to be underlined by the fact that upon return of these data "the Kennedy family executors outlined the restrictions for the viewing of the pictures."

The request for the placement of the photographs and x-rays in the National Archives came from the Justice Department: "Recent criticism of the Warren Commission's investigation of the assassination was indirectly responsible for the Department's request that the family turn the pictures over to the National Archives."

I feel that the physicians have a right to have this entire aspect of the tragic death of our President made crystal clear. More than any single disclosure presented by the Warren Commission, for which endless debate was initiated, the autopsy will now take first place.

FRANK M. HILPERT, MD Racine, Wis

The "Primary Physician"

To the Editor:—My sympathy goes out to the Ad Hoc Committee (197: 985, 1966) trying to solve the lowly everyday common problems of family practice (which a real general practitioner does routinely) from their disadvantage point of the medical center.

Dr. R. N. Braun of Austria calls it "The Cases Distribution Law of Nature." I call it "The Pattern of General Practice."1-3

My figure of 96% of my practice handled without hospital admissions (and 98% without consultation) checked against local and national figures for morbidity and mortality and was found to be representative. The report of White et al4 is in rather close agreement with my figures.

Thus, the subject matter content is known. The function of the family practitioner is inextricably related to this content in spite of the italicized statement of the Ad Hoc Committee which puts things in reverse when it characterizes family practice by its function rather than its subject matter content. Take care of the content, the function comes naturally.

The term "primary physician," suggested by the Citizens Commission chaired by John Millis, PhD, seems most appropriate and descriptive.

SAMUEL E. PAUL, MD Troy, NH

- 1. Paul, S.E.: The Pattern of a General Prac-
- tice, GP 14:117 (Oct) 1956.

 2. Paul, S.E.: The Pattern of General Practice, A Solo Study, Praktische Arzt, July 1966,
- p 219.
 3. Paul, S.E.: The Pattern of General Practice, A Comparative Study, Praktische Arzt,
- Aug 1966, p 232.

 4. White, K.L.; Williams, T.F.; and Greenberg, B.G.: The Ecology of Medical Care, New Eng J Med 265:885-892, 1961.