## Dear Cyril，

It was my intent to get an early start this moming so $I$ could uite you mithout intermption and at sone longth，but yeuterday I slipped out whatever it is tilat has caused mij lower－wack trouile since 1939 and at 4 and 5 ann．I felt i＇d best stay in bed jecause a rough day lies ahoad．You maynot how it，but we have estainliched one of tine basic principles： of ecological lav relating tp noise．From what our enemies and such stout－hearted and loyal rriends as liark Lin e have writien，you＇t not know it。 $A$ second suit，with the principle通ready established in the Iirst，has veen stallea for yearso For，the pat two yeurs the government has been stalling an agreed－to promise of good－faith，out－of－court negotiation
－looking to an amicable setilement．I have had to keep respure on theno I tinink the juage is now，too，and tiley have finally prowised to begino the asuistant U．So Attorney is due bere from baltimore early this moming，to spend the day here woming on tilis．

From this explanation，you should be aule to understand two things：ay mind and ny time shoula at this monot，were I to sorve my own selifsinterest，be on tat，not this Ietter；and the adued cost to ne of tiw work I do on tie asassination．

There should be another explanation at the outset．I ain not kow anone＂critics＂ as a man undeviatingly diplomatic on delicate subjects．His is a legitinate criticisin． Sowctimes it is because I loose my cool，but riore of ten it is deliberate，for sonctine I tuin directness and forcefulness is required，not subtleties．

The gangly group of us who say we seek the truth about the assassinations（aud some， belicveing it，really have sowthing else upermost in their mindsl are in some respects stane to nost pepie．In varyint ciegrees，host of us have some comon cheracteristics， and，acain varying aith the indiviuul，these are manifested in difecrent ways．Some of us are outright nuts．Others，rational on everything else，are on tiris suoject irrational． $\triangle$ fow are genuine paranoids．the e are those who are honet and incoriptable in every other aspect of their lives but on this are as strugit as a coiled cobra．I use this figure not by accident，tor have in wind also the usual consequaces of the dishonesties and breaches of trust I heve in mina．Without eivine fou any nanes，for hy purpose is illumination，not ceramation，I＇li cive you two illu trations．

Titin the bonciti of sage counsel from one of tine more quiet of us I was able to obtain absolute proof of the destruction of towe of tha most delicate evidence．Another 03 us，wino I trusted anc to wiom I told this，said he coulc do certain things that misht
 go no furthe ，that it was to iim lone in stactest coniduce．fo agredo Leter he was hore，hevine asted to cony some or my pictures．i has weed an turnea him loose in my office，hsowins himi where the things he wanted are．It masn＇t too long bofore he was showing slides of what he hed copied here to some of the most irresponsible of us，including one certified sick man－inclucing ting thing he had prons sed to lieep confidential．Do you thiniz there is now diy prospect or currying this further？

Several of us nou vecn worne，very quietly，on anotior cavenely dulicate elenent of suppressect viduce havine to co with a posuible uswald intellifence comection prior to tine assassination．there is one of us who has comections in a distiant city，and I have no fonds for travol，as you linowo acked this＂critic＂if he could leop bonetring in


 contonts of the nowspaper invauos on a cortain none．Fic heu so intle．intuntion or aeeping
 areport hacic to him by the incomptent and uninformed min he had actually sent to intervien the min in questiono Hizo dont indn＇t the slightest idea of wat he was into，naturallyo he，fy
 of a full henvestigtion is ilom forevci．Lhese are not the only sicin cases I can cite。

While in each or these cases a leck of personel integrity is flagrant, I assure you the intent was not evil if the results were, that in each case the aubition was to develop truth. But in neither case could it iave, as an intelligent child should have ionow, and wat dominated, hot caused the foolich ducisiuns, was personal amtion, that incatiado tasme so vans have to cet tio creat creait of veing tho ono wio solved the crime. Tiis, of course, is soneting we all want, ven the nuts, and it is a worthwhile thinge ifor is there aryting wrong in desirin. creut for it. I reseat what is so often stolen from ne simply because it is stolen, not because it hurts me. Wometine. it is luriful to we a do the work, but even whon it is not, $I$ tell you quite franiy I resent it. On the other ham, there are few things Fhave developed that inould not ive omers we:e they to asik for, it, there is no single thing I have thet - heve roplicated in other hands, and there is nothine I have that i do hot show those I trust, You well Liv the I have been trer you iow tiree years for you to come here anc sue that i have in the field of your expertisc.

Sowe of us hive criticized ne for veing too open tias way, and 1 fur that in at leest some cases tricy are rigito becuuse I roally can st arturd to mike cupie;, too of ten $I$ lend siles to pople wio co not return ticmo In too busy to take the tinct to mate a record oi matil lemu, too busy to rencmuen all of it, wik the next tino somone comes anai a fille is not here, bubluy 1 can't renemur who it or huw lun ago. It you con taise this as a tieasure of y yoolishese, you can also get a meading on the integrity of some or uso

Fie all do thate we shouldty do, mot necessarily froa bad intentons. I supose one of wore serious sins is trusting too any peone. Another is wating tine that I could use for productive purposes helpin, others who would not or trying to avoid potential cincasters, as mith oh hicholso I spont nore timo trying to straithten him out aftar he filed his first suit: that it would have taicen me to write one of the books I have researched ad is ready for tine witing. There are quite a few of these. Sonehave little conmercial propsect and cen dut serve to make and leave a recotd. Otiers heive groat promise, but in am of scivion purpose and. hive to asbign hem priorities. Tod hupioman toid me in IV67
 sell 400,000 copies in hurdback. low this is a funtastic sale, and his field before Congress was wblising lam, but I did not write that book, despite tur urgent neod for money for livine and to wate the wom casier to accomplizin sinply vecuse at thet time $i$ though other things nore inportant.
ind thas I write you instead oi the thing in when i should sa obviously be engaged, Dcsuse I thini miting you is nore importunt than money. When you stay ais proke as I heve ben for so weny ars, wan jou once aujust to poverty ano cone to redizo that it will probably be a yomanont fatc, it is like the numbin or oxcessivo cold, ank money, despite
 At the point, and the the truth, nou dranatic efiect, i was romad to phone the vicspresident of ay baik to sec hin tonight hout the yamat on the principle on my indertecness
 siole, but I have aujusted to it. By the end of next ween, uiless soke of tiose who ore us moner, who are a goody mubur and the sua is of considerable (for us) size, pay us, we will, quite liturally, be pomilesso of course, thes louses up a life. It has deon runous to yy nife, those hatal hemia has veen tomunting her for about two monthe now. I com't have to tell you the incvitable consequinces of suci nervous tomant as sho sufcers from tine ifind of Iife we lead on such an afngitiono But I have adjusted to this ind of existence, and am amare that pseciatrists mint conjeder tias an amomality.

 or why be auinc. The one lita down by tire govemmont was on too menyo. He shoula not de paving, more of theno but we are, as fron tho begining at almost oll times some of us have deen.

As you know, because of the zegard and trust i have for you ane because of your are-minent quilifications in your specialty, $I$ have tried to kecp you infomed of:what I have devoloped that shoula intercst you. I hevehad no secrets fiou you and have, in sact, regularly encouraged you to cone here so you coulu study all of ito $t_{i l}$ some cases I have sent you semple. You inve never ina time. Then I aslrea that you be an expert witness Ior me in court, you also hod no tine $10 r$ that. phis I could understand, for in lew you are busy and I recongize that one who spends the hours woming each day that i do ceatainly, at the very least, shortens his lire, and that ecch thine he does is at the cost of sometings he does not do. But what has perplexed me for some tile is that you have not hept the one promise you have nede ne, one so easy for you to heop. You areed to have your crininalist xorox for me from a stanciar text dopondablc doscriptions of the capabilities and linitations of spectro raplic and neutron-activation testso And ecch tine $I$ remind you I get a letter telling ne ho. great and dedicated I an ane how fortunate the cuiticial conmand is tinat I have been willing to aply myself to thie woik。 I have as wuch ego as the next, but I have not been fighing fOr complimentso I get eiough of tiem ithout solicitation from strangers who write to tell ne I an not without sowe apymeiation, but your unsolicited praises did not fill ny necd to have a dependable reflection of this technical knomlecge I lacked. 1 have just checked the file. It is four weeks abo today that I romindeu you of this. I have had no reply. And I have completed that pert of my witing. If tinere is error In what can be tho nost simincant woris to date, lill hare it with you. The trageciy is that I can't share credit for anything good with you, for you inve reficined unhelpful ond unwillng to learn. And what I think you do not lonow is what at this moment trouble me nost.

Ahnost everyone I bnow has told ne that when the amiversary oi the Kennedy fanilyGSA cont-act comes, wine the rifth year has expired, you are proceeding to get access to the pictures and Arayso I can understand tils. I begen the erfort the first of november, 1966, officially, and much earlier unofficially。 $F$ an the first person tumed down both officially and, $i$ think, unoficisllyo. What I can t wicustand is that you would bect this secret fron me alone. At Ieant I can ${ }^{2}$ understandrin any way I'd like to. I have heard it fron those not rally worthy of trust. You have much to jourin divout thiso I hope it doesn't cone to you miti the umpleascutness it has to ne.

When I sught to have copies of pictures ofathe clothing made for me, it had Burize
 reading of harshall, of the govermint's intent, or botho But it tells yau one thing that the covenment does what serves its interest, not that or the kennedy family or ours or tiat of truth, whicil it coes not want established.

Ase youmolr why won it has puolichci so mony pietures, the zovernmont, cven with

fith but a singlo exception I can recall, I have ben refused overything that to the ovenacht coulc huve sicniticant evicutiary value tiat wos winhold and that i asked for. I huve exhasted my achinitiative renecies on may things for which i can sue, including the pictures and X-rayso unless you neve done that of which i do not lonow, ithins also that I have done all tho wadic now wonk on thomo as in hesar, wo has becn privy to what have
 evicunc, whate the goventent could, it incluucd relovant crap in the lofo duclaseification.
 it soms the th declessitication preceded tie rejectiono portunatoly, sonc of the bureaucrats lact understandio, and dio cot somo sichifient inen indormation oven after being rerused.



 Th manine then he chengod ha mind aguin and said he woun aha encouragod we to. fie went fartiur, bne said the romula I hat woried out fop tic suit is by lur the Dest anc one for wien theno is maje lobal precedont, which I inow, for thet was the reuson I toon this armacio $T$ noule also nt ny tino hav riled for mycolfo Dut I didn't do oither. With cause.

I would encorage you to ponder the philosophy or Ecclesiastes, that there is a time and a place for everythingo as my mowedge and understading grew, I came to realize that one of the worst thinss we can do at tivs juncture is to press for the pictures and Xrays. this is one of the reasons I filec, for exaiple, for the pictures of tile cloting anc not for those you seek to see and not for the menoradnum of thanser. I would prefer very much not to help our enemies, ank where I see tie possibility, $I$ do avoid it。 I could have filed for the pictures and Krays tic day tho irrecion on Jinforiation law becinue offoctive. Lad it beon poseible for me without acting as iny own laryw, I tien would dave. But as I have developed and accurulated hore evidence and tith it hore madrstanding, it beceme increasingh clear to rie tat of all the times we can today ao, this way well be the wost counterproductive. With it has come a political understondinis thin you lack. hs a boy f woried in a political environant. Beiore I cust fy first vote I held a responsible position with the $u$ inted States Shete. As a roporter and correspondent i also acquired some pointical
 sence, both fortour guvemnent and, before wo witerec worla War II, as an widaid acent for
 it also was not crininel, for a purt or the Duartwent on dustice, composed of people not of the hina we today deal with, asired ne to do it, to wo what they could not and arrad not.

With the Jah and decisions as they now are, I have as close to an open-and-shut case as there can be, yet - refuse to file. It its so simple that although orcinarily I would fear it, I am not af raid of being pro se in this action. Depending on the corruption of the jude, there should be lititle coubt of the outcone, at least on the lower level.

Wut as things are today. I siuply dare not file for cither these pictures and X-rays or for the nemo of traisfer. A you realice, were there eso consicerations, I could do tivis before the end of the nouth. Eut I do not anc. I will noto. I cun beat you to it now as $I$. could have at any tine, for you hav to rely on the provision of the contract that mencly pemit you, to se then it cveryouy involved -ilot just harsiall - asrees.

Luve you afuch yourclf what coul persuade the ovenment to let you see then? Gey rou conceive that the will do this unless they coil seo a benefit for them? thy do you that then miged thinsmolu deal, the illegality of wisen i ectablithed, rot you on But or any patiolotst or lawer? Are you aware that no ropresentative $D=$ the fanily or the estate crafted of particiato in the drating of the contract? Are you chare that durin the lone period of tine it mas alleged the fanily hac possession of this evidence it
 oner thins, avelopea completo chain of poseesgion that you will sot ind in the archives.
 Archives and oespite the Departant of Jasticea

So suppose tiey do decide to sue you access to tras cvidence, dna supose it is ficonsistent whthe froctocol ane the toport? What thegs first of ali you will how
 jucredible as this way sen to you, for they aro tuintod, as penap you do not undorstand. nd sup o, you then hola a press conforence or sonetinis sitilar, pud you jet great attention End you emerge a hero, huv you conciored what will then follow? hat i thinl most linely Is wat I an confidontws not ocumed to you, foi 1 do not viliove mat i think is inevitable is wint you would want. It is why have not fles fou thein for so lons mad wat you do not.
 could come to you. nine consequences could be tornible.

 you da not, Tow i nuve Iecmed mucin since I did tiat work.

One thing fron the vast wight in a way il uminate this foi jou. I asked for a copy of the family-Gsi contract the day aftor it was amownced, the day it apyeared in the moming papers, a - now recal. I tas rofused. Dut wen tho govemmont saw a chance of having it used the wey it wented it used, it did two tings: it gavo then on an exclusive basis to Fred Graina, wo, ithe or withou their guidence, used in in exactly the ady tiey mated and killud that for any other uso at that time whic getting the most enormous atiention for a corrupt and incomplete ind inalequate storyo fie is a lawyer and a proricient thd erpeitenced reporter. But the reason given foi ronusing we preclucied any releape of that contract, evero The same thing hapened with the executive sessions and with editedout portions of the testinony. I had asked for certain of the executive sessions and this editsüout testimony. I wan, naturally, refused. But when the executive sessions-meaning those tho govemment wanted released only (some ate still thitheld for spurious reasons and inave these recuns in witine afto long and jousistent eflort extending over the years) were retease they were fed to one of the vest investiontive roporters in the country, the tan who has done tne vest ginle job of exposing tio who let even ho was not astute cnough to see thombhow he was ocinc used and again the result was a net propasanca victory for the governunt tit a sagazine that was read by soikthing like 20,000,000 or more people and in the wuncily extonsive newsapor, radionan ity coverase of it, in the secondary uses even pore hel Jful to thoso who would hide the trieth.

I have been led into this sectus difression by a uisappointhonto hore than an hour arter he was due, the federal atomey phoned to say he canot come todayo

In his field Fred Grahani is as competent as you are in yours the same is truc of Devid vise, the two hen exploiteu by tie gove nment. They were had. If you get to see tiese bictures and x-rays or if you are refused and do the natural, make a stink over it, as you can and alisot everyone would at least try, you way well be in the sane position and accomplish the same counter-productive end.

YYil, you have been sonsulting the wrong people and you are taring what Belin calls a "sensationolist" ap roach. tou rogaraz, as is natural for one frow yout disciplne, this essential evidence as a thing unto itself. It is noto It cancot bé ind I don't. think you heve a gliner of tho hema you cen do if you "succeed"。 I an not non soing to tare the tine to gpoll this outo. You can belicve he on not. I am torine the time to wam you and now tuat day ill not be occupied by the mising louse, I will try to presude my but to petiunce and et this in the noil to you todayo
here is an abpect of this tiat ay surprin you. If you do wat you plan, anci if I tale a narrow, retricuive view of it, a selfish vien, you hay do wo a ereat ravono. I hive completer a boo on the I have not ried to place ito instea, a friend is about to begin its condensation un popularization, which has a better commacal pronpect. tou will make a vora a hot literary popertyo wh yet I discourase oyu. Or try.

At the iabk of my mputation as a prophet, I riako this preaiction to you you will leam little or rotime fron an examination oi this evidence that I do not already have in the most probative possible form. With the nost inportant or it more probative than the film itsely. his mey sear imposwible to you, but I toll you now that some or the film tras so arraiged to mislocate inn it docs.

Sinco I heve wone to a peroni quostion, lot we before closing, for I have mach
 melt do mon soul-bumehthe on ito 1 alone anong tho erities aro min have becn without meanso
 I. alonc have sone into dobt to do this arico hecentily, in praping to file an oath in formpetupers a conection win an upeal, I stopied onputing tio extent of this dubt when it excecded the us ossed velue or al of my property, whithat is over 330,000 . Winen $I$ began aredgins the Arcijves, $I$ wh without the cash to but ponges at $20 \&$ each. Wion, later, when I cocided that I mat to do time, timit nobody ule roally was (and thon almost bobody was), I coulunt find the notec I mane of tir arges I'l want to buv. Sowo of there mov now be perman
lost to us. But evon in tiose days, when others asised thing of me, i did and paid for then. If hay heve inapened, but I don to recall anyone ropayine me the actual cost voluntarily and only one when I abrai it, and then, after months of absins and finally only in parto I think that has - adsed, ill the others woulu have. Now we had arone us illionaireso ina fron the outset everyone how how broke I waso Sylvia, for exemple; is a wondortul a person as she is irilliant. She is the finst of the critics $i$ net, ant sinc new wanditions. She, firl her passion and hur intense hutred io Garrison, found noney to cintribute to tho rascal tornley For his "derense" (ine actually used it in a campaign a ainst me because he inevi was onto things auout him - ind you heve bovits, the proof oit tins you can also see), but it never occured to her to oftor we what for her would have been an tnsionificant sum so that I could Duy thins crom the Archives. I do not say this in criticisui of Sylvia. ry respect for her is dowaless. It just dicn't cocur to hor. If it had, I an cortain she would have been gelerous. Jones harris, of whon them to little, asked tiat undurtake certain work for

 he never repeid the cost oi fotiny then, nover sucgestod that he could ware a contribution to myork in reum for the tine it tooko these tinncs I tall you toout pople I erpect you
 tooz no a Jear. Bud thought tit was japosible, equating it with setting hia on tis next rocset to the nom. But I didit, whin cerran agreements made in alvonce, one beine that I woula be the investigator on $t$ e case. Once when I was flat broke I asked Bud to repay re only the cost on phone dills of accomplishing this, and he said he dion't have the money! He never, to tins cay, has kent thet aureement based on minch $I$ did so mucho but he hai money For futilities, lifo taisie the unspeabaile and incorputent Flamionce to Europe. In 1968, beore our pemiless bud luft for a duropean vacaction whe whon he was zany on the subject of Gurrison, he asinei two thinge of he: that 1 go to llew Uricans wile he was away and that I arran, to rotum there with hin and spend two weoks woring there with him beginuing the First or Decenber. I did both. We gove me then ans. ther the extra fare to go to hew Orleans, but not the rest of costs. I da stal stici for that as I an tor rore then 81,000 of

 to wnent anotice disaster (and what that cost mo bestaes monoy I cant, recovert), Bum did
 or sco bh to teden with whon I had a pat when he was a diner buesto tis was the eatent of hisiclpo nore, wo ran out on tho uiry cion that fell ontirely to be once his oum outs Got Edeledo Sedancria poid hy lare that thae, and wat he then dia is pehaps the sreatest simble huilation on the hany to witich critics have, intentionally or othonvise, subjected me. Phere is no suasciabion conrittee that dosa't owe me money exced jub, an they owe we.




 zate none for a place for to sleep, tith that quartor-or-a-milion doilar home, wag ie sce no of er of a pad. Wate thet night Ifoud it in tive home of a divorcec young women wh rished her reputation to let we he her couch. I cond 80 on hat on. I don't usually

 of the critics in a position to help, tho do you think has every thought to voluntarily send mesmell sums with wich to bey tibinge at the arcizves or ha, proviced thom in response to thuests the hitequent biner I. whet then? hot thooe estublishea in Life, not those who are


 the critics all of whom how hy concion wer askot, ao you heed help?

 it tares ae to get the ai the partig charges．I go finem little any wore，for the
 to do．Besidee，ty car has almost 100,000 miles on it，we if entume hep ens to it I cannot
 have alwajs povicied copies of these docuncnts．But I can＇t remember moil aryone unt a stucient， Tor the wost art tiose Icart able to，have ever mrovided any helpo

Let ne adu to it：¥ou all mon have mpublished momso Soneof you have flipec over then－henc is no mospet of the miveto publication of my mating a profit for meo but
 then to years old，coulc heve had a consideraole inpact in the might placeso at that tiae， tio cost of printine 5,000 copies would heve been lesu then 5，000。 where is ait tis




So，what I at astrob you to do is somothing else，to probe and see if your purposes in your present plans，is really selfiess，is really foz the sole purpose of helying csenlish trutin。 fantly，Vril，regarulesw of wat you hay thint，$I$ do not thinir is is the casc．the two sula pointe I have already mace do，I thrin，bear on tins：you have told
 are，so you wind consider that I an tot alone amon thosc you hevon＇t tola wo gon and heve
 the xoronino of a couplo on pues of tents readily available to you．

41 of tifn is separate iroa tio nore surious，the pos foility tiat you hay in revity accompich the opposite of mat you intend in you do＂succeed＂。
 no possible gain in it por ne．IT authing，tro opposte．So，belicve ne or not，my purposes are not oblop than $I$ poposent then to be：I would hate very hon bor you，winout the Intention，whith；wo anothos Gernson or wht might de worse，in the thd so regeraing vomosil．
y apolorios for inlicting anl these typocaphical errors on you and ay expression or deepest diseppainunt．


