Ruling by Sirica Imposes Silence on All Concerned

By BILL KOVACH

Special to The New York Times

trict Judge John J. Sirica, the at the bar have expressed con-seven men accused in today's fidence in me and I thank them indictments and their attorneys and promise when all circum-issued only terse statements of stances are brought to light innocence of the charges.

D. Erhlichman, H. R. Haldeman, something, I will let you know. Robert C. Mardian, John N. Mitchell and Gordon C. Strachan— relied on their attorneys to issue brief statements of in-attorney who represents Mr., nocence.

reported this morning they fairs adviser to the President, were accompanied by an order said his clients had neither of Judge Sirica that enjoined read the charges nor had a all parties from any statements chance to confer with him "and on the matter outside the court. we have nothing to say.

None of the seven, some of whom at one time were among ington attorney for former At-the most powerful men in Gov-torney General John N. Mitch-ernment and those closest to ell who is now on trial on con-President Nixon, were present spiracy charges in New York

Mr. Colson, the first to respond to the charges, called a news conference when he was notified that the indictment would name him. Speaking in

ahead may be, I know that in Neither Gordon C. Strachan, the end my innocence will be former assistant to Mr. Haldeestablished because I put com- man, nor his attorney could be plete faith in God and I believe reached for comment.
deeply in my country."

At least some of t

Mr. Parkinson, who served publicity. in 1972 as an attorney for the Finance Committee to Re-Elect the President and the Com-

WASHINGTON, March 1— the President, also issued a Restricted by a carefully worded brief statement, saying, "Durgag rule issued by Federal Disling the past months my friends nocence of the charges. my innocence will be clearly Two of those accused in the demonstrated."

to obstruct justice, Charles W. Colson and Kenneth W. Parkinson, issued a personal statement of innocence and predicted their eventual exoneration on the charges.

The five others pared. John on on the charges.

The five others named—John the time does come that I have

'Nothing to Say'

John J. Wilson, Washington Haldeman and John D. Ehrlich-When the indictments were man, the former domestic af-

William G. Hundley, Wash-

in the courtroom when the incited also had little to say.

dictments were handed up by the grand jury.

The First to Respond

The courtroom when the incited i in the courts."

Referring to the judge's gag rule as a limiting force, Robert C. Marian, former Assistant Atwould name him. Speaking in torney General in Charge of Inthe offices of the law firm from which he has taken a leave of absence, Mr. Colson said:

"My conscience is clear. Regardless of how rough the road ahead may be, I know that in torney General in Charge of Internal Security, spoke through the sattorney, Thomas Green, who said: "Given this order, all we can say is we deny the charges, otherwise we risk a problem."

Neither Gordon G. Street. torney General in Charge of In-

deeply in my country."

At least some of the reluctions of those accused and originally planned to issue a their attorneys to discuss the five-page statement detailing claims of his innocence but was stems from the fact that one dissuaded by his attorneys beof the index order considered by statement. cause of the judge's order considered by some of them is against out-of-court comment. based on prejudicial pre-trial

Challenge Considered

Other attorneys are privately the President and the Com-mittee for the Re-election of had briefly considered a legal



Leon Jaworski, special Watergate prosecutor.

challenge to the order as a violation of the First Amendment to the Constitution. Attorneys familiar with the legal situation, however, point out that a similar gag rule was challenged and upheld at the district level in Federal courts.

The order issued by Judge Sirica is directed to all those in the office of the Watergate special prosecutor, all defendants, their attorneys and all those identified as witnesses in the case. It enjoins them from making any "extrajudicial making any extrajudician statements concerning any aspect of this case . . . " and further defines "extrajudicial statements" as "any statement which is not made during the course of judicial proceedings in this case."

Most attorneys who are connected with the case agreed that the order restricted them to little beyond statements of innocence.

Mr. Colson's statement, the most extensive issued today, defended not only himself, but President Nixon.

"Above all [I am] proud," he said, "to have served a man whom I believe history will record as one of the greatest and most courageous of our Presidents. Like most human beings, I have made my share of mistakes but during the time I served, I have always done my duty as I saw it."