





~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
PER CIA Ltr 1 May 1975  
by [signature] NARS 9/24/75

defect back again without being in plenty of trouble and they don't believe there is any prospect and they say also when they have had anything like that they have had plenty of notice in advance that there was a consideration that they might go back but they do think they could be very helpful because they can interpret these materials and suggest inquiries that we should make to the Soviet, that the CIA personnel wouldn't know how to do in the same way because they don't know the detail of the operation like these defectors.

So they want to know if they couldn't see some basic materials themselves and if they would be permitted to show them to these defectors, and that is our problem. They think that would be very helpful.

Now they suggest, and our conference, Allen went with us on this conference with the CIA, and they suggest that, they think the inquiry to the Soviet should be made government-to-government, if the State Department would approve that, and we would check it out with them, and that the questions to the Soviet should be very pointed, so that if they don't answer them, they can't just answer them in a very general manner and get away with it, but the questions would be in such pointed form; would he did you or didn't you, did Oswald do certain things or didn't he, as much as possible, I am talking about the CIA and the problem of furnishing them part of this information, and they would like to exhibit it to two defectors, who were a part of their intelligence system in

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Received  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
per CIA Ltr 1 May 1975  
by [signature] NARS

~~TOP SECRET~~  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~per CIA Ltr 1 May 1975  
by [redacted] NARS 9/24/75

the Soviet before they came over here and defected, and they have great confidence in them, the CIA, but the question --

Mr. Dulles. They were not before, after they defected in these two cases. They were part of the KGB when they defected.

Mr. Rankin. Yes.

Mr. Dulles. And since then have been working very closely with us, one has been working six or seven years and one about two years.

Mr. Dulles. Yes, but prior to defecting they were with the KGB, isn't that right?

Mr. Rankin. They were with the KGB, one was in Vienna and one was in Finland and fairly high up in the KGB. The material they have in mind is nothing that is really classified in one sense. It would be the material that Oswald himself wrote, Oswald diary, letters and things of that kind in Russia, and it would be that type of material. They wouldn't want to show them any material that was sort of generally classified. Some of this has not been disclosed to the President. Some of it has been partially disclosed but it is the form of the writing, and so forth, and things of that kind that are very -- mean a good deal to a man who is working on the inside of the Soviet Secret Service. As I say, it is nothing that normally would be classified. It is only that all of what was obtained from Oswald has not yet been disclosed to the American press.

Sen. Russell. Do you have anything from Oswald by the way of

~~TOP SECRET~~Req added  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
per CIA Ltr 1 May 1975

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
per CIA Ltr 1 May 67  
by [unclear] MAR 9 1975 615

diaries or other writings other than what we have seen?

Mr. Dulles. You have seen it all.

Sen. Russell. And the FBI?

Mr. Dulles. There is one thing I have asked about today, that is referred to in the FBI report. We haven't any material at all.

Sen. Russell. They are not going to tell you anything. We would have to forward the questions to the State Department, it would have to be cleared through the Ambassador and cleared with the Foreign Minister and get to the equivalent of their Attorney General and say what are we going to tell these silly Americans.

Mr. Dulles. But they are in a bit of a box, Senator, because if they have any inkling of this and they may have some inkling of this, I don't know, for example, we know or we believe we know from Oswald that he got X amount of money at certain times. Now, I wouldn't tell that to the Soviet. But I would say that we have some information, we don't have to say how we got it, it would be from Mrs. Oswald or however it might be, some of it did come from her, that the Soviet had paid him certain money, would they kindly advise us how much and over what time.

Rep. Boggs. There is not over and beyond what the report shows.

Mr. Dulles. No. But I don't think you ought to tell. I mean, this is a question for this Commission to decide, if we are going to get anything, we have got probably to let the Soviet

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Regraded  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
per CIA Ltr 1 May 1975  
by [unclear]

per CIA Ltr 1 May 1975  
by ~~mmj~~ NARS 4/29/75

know that we have, or let them induce that we have a good deal.

Rep. Boggs. Where did we get the information from, what he got?

Mr. Dulles. From his letters.

Rep. Boggs. Not from the Soviets?

Mr. Dulles. No. We haven't anything from the Soviet. We know he was hospitalized. We know he tried to commit suicide over there. We know they extended his permission. Now, I think we ought to -- there ought to be questions put to them but don't give them all the answers because they can just take our questions and answers and say these are the answers. I think we ought to give them a clue that we know a good deal because otherwise what is the situation going to be later if we do publish, and I think the Commission probably will publish later all this material. And they will say here you deceived us. I don't mind deceiving the Soviet particularly because I think that might be very helpful.

We can say we gave you a chance to answer these questions, we told you we knew something about this but you never gave us an answer so that the drafting of these questions I think is going to be rather delicate a matter but I think it can be done and I think it ought to be done quickly.

Rep. Boggs. Is it proposed that this be carried out by the CIA?

Mr. Dulles. No.

Sen. Russell. As I understand it, the CIA wants to show this

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

Redrafted  
per CIA Ltr 1 May 1975  
by ~~mmj~~ NARS 4/29/75

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ 69  
Per CIA Ltr. 1 May 1975  
by ~~MAJ~~ WARS 9/29/75

to two former secret service men to get suggestions so they can, knowing the background of operations here, they can help the CIA prepare questions to give to the Department of State.

Mr. Dulles. The Department of State will send them without reference but saying from.

Rep. Ford. It would be a request by the Commission through the Department of State.

The Chairman. Yes.

Mr. Dulles. The Commission would request the Department of State, in consonance with their foreign policy, to make an inquiry, further inquiry -- the Soviet has furnished information, some of it about the United States, not a word about what happened in Russia, two and a half years he was there not a word, and we know --

Rep. Ford. And it would have the authority of a request by us through proper channels to the Department.

Mr. Dulles. Yes. From some talks I had, incidentally, that is the way the State Department would like it but they would like to see and I think it would be wise, if the Chairman agrees, and the Commission agrees, to show the State Department our letter, so that we don't ask them anything or create a record, I would show them our letter, work it out with Davis or others over there so that they are in entire agreement with what is sent, and the CIA I think has sent you today some suggestions as to questions, I don't know whether they have reached you yet or not.

Mr. Rankin. They have.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Refraded  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
Per CIA Ltr. 1 May 1975  
by MAJ WARS 9/29/75

~~TOP SECRET~~  
 Regraded:  
 by CIA Dec. 1 Maj 75/70  
 by MMJ WARS 4/24/75

Rep. Ford. May I ask you this, in reference to your question, Mr. Chairman?

The Chairman. Yes.

Rep. Ford. Does it have to be a matter of record for anybody other than ourselves and CIA that these individuals within their agency have perused these documents?

Mr. Dulles. No, not unless they yell.

Mr. Rankin. He is afraid they might give it away.

Rep. Ford. I see.

The Chairman. I thought before we did it, if we were giving an FBI report to the CIA for that purpose, ordinarily, I would say yes, let them see everything, but to show to a Russian defector, before I did that, before I gave the CIA a report of the Secret Service or the FBI, I would want to get the CIA representative in the same room with the Secret Service and the FBI and tell them, "Now this is the situation we are presented with. Is there any objection to our doing it in this way"?

Rep. Ford. And have them as a matter of record approve it.

The Chairman. Yes, approve it.

Rep. Ford. I think that is fine.

The Chairman. I would be afraid to do it otherwise, we might get into trouble.

Rep. Ford. I think that is a good reservation, I agree.

The Chairman. Any objection to that, gentlemen?

Mr. Rankin. I would like to have the record show that we

~~TOP SECRET~~  
 Regraded

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CONFIDENTIAL 71  
per CIA Ltr. 1 May 1975  
by [unclear] NARS 9/24/75

have talked to the State Department about designating a man we could talk to about the approach to make to the Soviet Union but we haven't yet gotten their approval to approach the government to-government, and that is to be done yet.

The Chairman. Yes.

Mr. Rankin. So that is still ahead of us.

The Chairman. All right.

Sen. Cooper. We are not making a decision at this moment of showing these records to these defectors.

Sen. Russell. I understood if all these different agencies agreed to it, yes.

The Chairman. Yes.

Mr. Dulles. May I make just a slight amendment to that because if the FBI agrees to have its material, I don't think the Secret Service should be able to veto that or vice-versa. It seems to me one should, through this machinery, clear with the agencies whose report it is, and obviously these reports, I don't think, would ever be shown to the defectors in the form of an FBI report. They would be told it is a FBI report.

The Chairman. We don't know if we give it to them.

Mr. Dulles. I would just have that arrangement with them. I don't think they ought to be given it as an FBI report. The information in the report will be used in interrogating and questioning these fellows.

Sen. Cooper. Why then couldn't the CIA people read the

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Regrated  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
per CIA Ltr. 1 May 1975  
by [unclear] NARS

~~TOP SECRET~~  
 per CIA Ltr 1 May 1975  
 by WMA WARS 9/24/75

report, get from it such information as they needed to interrogate these men without ever discussing to them any source?

Mr. Dulles. They don't need to disclose this comes from the FBI or Secret Service. But if they used, let's say Oswald's memorandum, then that is different. No matter how that had been obtained, whether it had been obtained by the Secret Service or the FBI, they would want to show them the text and maybe the handwriting and the Russian, some of these things are in Russian, to the defectors.

Mr. Rankin. Yes. They said they wanted to show the particular documents because they also think there may be a possibility of codes.

Sen. Cooper. I see.

Mr. Rankin. They would want to go into that, too.

The Chairman. If there are no objections then, gentlemen, that is what we will do.

Mr. Dulles. Would it be clear if the agency involved gives its approval then there is no difficulty, without asking a third party agency to concur, that is the only thing I was afraid of the way it was stated, Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. Well, this whole thing is intermixed, the Secret Service found one thing in the home of Oswald, the FBI found another, and somebody else found another.

Now I think before we get into the thicket we probably ought to get them all together and if any one of them had a valid reason

~~TOP SECRET~~  
 Regraded

per CIA Ltr 1 May 1975

11 ~~you should be asked to justify why it shouldn't be done, I would want to respect it whether it~~ was his record or not. It isn't just permission that we are looking for. We are looking for a measure of protection after this thing is all over so there won't be any come back on it from any organization that we disclosed something to the Soviets that were involved in this assassination.

CONFIDENTIAL 73  
PER CIA LER 1 May 1975  
by [unclear] WARS 7/2/75

was his record or not. It isn't just permission that we are looking for. We are looking for a measure of protection after this thing is all over so there won't be any come back on it from any organization that we disclosed something to the Soviets that were involved in this assassination.

I don't see any reason why we should fear any opposition from the other organization if --

Sen. Russell. They will all come out in the same place on that.

The Chairman. I think so. Do you have any reason to think otherwise, Allen?

Mr. Dulles. I don't know. I don't think anybody can say, Mr. Chairman. I have no reason.

Mr. McCloy. If they do that, they can come back to us.

Sen. Russell. The chap who vetoed it would be embarrassed.

Rep. Boggs. That disposes of that.

The Chairman. We will next go to Item H under Roman Number II, remains of Lee Harvey Oswald, letters received from Nicholas Katzenbach.

Now that situation is that this man is buried in a cemetery, and it takes officers around the clock to watch him, watch and see that they don't come in and exhume him and do something that would further injure the country, and so it has been suggested that to save expense they exhume him and then cremate him. But

DECLASSIFIED  
Authority OLR 82-316/11/75  
BY [signature], NARS DATE 10/14/78

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

**COPY**  
from  
**THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES**  
Record Group No. 272

*Warren Commission*

*Executive session transcripts*

*of January 21, 1964*