

Wednesday

Dear Sylvia,

Thanks for clipping, etc. We sent seven sheets to Souvage today.

Re angle of entry at Z 186 vs. angle of entry at Z 210-Z 225.

	<u>Chart</u>	<u>Volume XVIII</u>
Z 186	24° 14'	24° 03'
Z 208	21° 34'	
Z 207	- - - - -	21° 50'
Z 225	20° 11'	20° 11'

However you show the variation between Z 186 and Z 225 as on the order of 3 or 4 degrees. Frazer's figure of 40° would seem (like many other things in the Report) just wrong, and clearly we can't see his error to find our truth.

On p. 170 he surely seems to me to imply that the Spreer was in a position to be hit between Z 235 and 240. Given his 2 pp testimony this would appear to be the "single point" he mentions earlier. The real difficulty with all of Frazer's testimony with

Haverford College
Haverford, Pa. 19041

argued to Connelly's problem is that he is talking with respect to the reconstructed positions and not the positions in the Zogreder film itself. Taking the real positions it appears to me quite clearly that the shot could not have come from the TSB D.

Just points of detail for us offenrades to chew over. Looking forward to seeing you soon, and hoping that the Philadelphia visit will work out.

Best,
Tom