

Haverford College  
Haverford, Pa. 19041

Dear Sylvia,

Tuesday

Here's all the Mauss material.

I don't want to draw you into a squabble you may reasonably wish to avoid. I feel Roy's charge is abusive and grossly unfair. It especially rankles me, because I work my living as a scholar and am well aware of what the canons of attribution are.

At various earlier times discussions I wrote were used without attribution to me, and I was delighted to see the info get out. The Quarterly Philol. Mag. articles and Popkin's book both used my discovery of the great similarity between CE's 399 & 572 to my delight.

I regret the lack of attribution to you & Roy in the Post, but in many ways that article was out of my control. I've done what I can to address Roy's real

HAVERFORD COLLEGE  
HAVERFORD, PA. 19041

or imagined injury, and I would hope that he might be willing to take back his charge that I deliberately used his material without attribution.

Apart from his feelings, there is a real issue as to whose fairness lies. Did I treat him unfairly? Or is his charge against me unfair? Well at least you have the requisite material before you to reach a judicious decision.

Best wishes,

Tim