

Page 106, last sentence

This sentence should be qualified. As it now stands, it is not literally correct. It is correct in the context of the single-missile, lone-assassin theory of the Warren Report. Perhaps all that is needed is to add at the very end, some phrase like "as the Warren Commission concluded."

Page 107, paragraph 2

The second sentence ("Somewhat later in his testimony Humes speaks as if the photos and X-Rays had been developed and were used in the course of the autopsy.") should cite the relevant page in 2H. I have to question whether the statement is correct. Humes says on page 372, as he said on page 351, that the photographs were turned over to the Secret Service in their cassettes unexposed and that he had "not seen any of them since. This is the photographs. The X-rays were developed in our X-ray department on the spot that evening, because we had to see those right then as part of our examination..."

On page 364, Humes states that at Finck's suggestion, the body was X-rayed in toto ("these were examined by ourselves and by the radiologist who assisted us in this endeavor") and that "they showed no evidence of a missile in the President's body at any point." In the light of this categorical statement, I doubt if Wecht can justifiably say that "there is conflicting testimony as to whether such X-Rays were developed and used on November 22." He then suggests that if they were used, the two Bethesda pathologists (Humes and Boswell) might not have interpreted them correctly. But the implication of Humes' testimony on page 364 is that Finck and the radiologist as well as Boswell and Humes himself examined the X-rays of the total body.

I think that the whole paragraph should be revised in the light of Humes' testimony on pages 364 and 372, since it seems indisputable that the X-rays were developed, and examined (almost certainly) by Finck as well as the other two autopsy surgeons. The possibility would still remain, however, that the X-rays were not properly read and that a bullet or bullets may have remained undetected in the body.

Galley Proofs, Six Seconds in Dallas, pages 69-103

(Meagher 8/26/67)

	<u>Delete</u>	<u>Insert</u>
Page 69, para. 5, line 17:	6H169	2H169
line 20:	6H168-169	2H168
Page 70, line 3:	R548,553,557	R600,605,613
line 5:	R548,553	R600,605
Page 71, para. 7, line 7:	3H143-144	3H144
Page 78, line 17	1,300	1,800 (??? Tink: check 5H77-78,86)
Page 82, Question 2:	The text of the affidavit is omitted (between paras. 1 and 2)	
Page 89, line 24:	2:15	12:15
Page 89, para. 2, line 3:	R548,553	R600,605
Page 91, 5th line from bottom:	2H197	2H196
Page 101, line 5:	5H571	7H571
Page 102, para. 2, line 14:	R...193	R...192