Galley proofs, Six Seconds in Dallas, pp. 1-68 Corrections (Meagher 8/23/67)

I. <u>Citations</u> The existing citation should be deleted and the following inserted:

Galley Page No.

	13	Para. 1, line 13: 19H 493 Note 1: 22H 685
	16	Line 9: 7H 449
	20	Para. 2, line 21: 18H 744
	21	Para. 4, line 6: delete "64" insert "65"
• .	22	Line 5: 5H 59-60 Para. 4, line 9: 3H 360
	29	Para. 4, line 11: R112
	35	Line 1: delete "33" insert "313"
	37	Para. 5, line 4: 6H 206
	39	Para. 1: 7H 518 Para. 3, last line: 6H 292 Para. 4, line 16: 6H 294-295
	46	Line 17: 6H 193
	51	Para. 4, line 8: 24H 536
	52	Line 26: 6H 157
	52	Asterisked footnote, line 14: 24H 229
	54	Note 20, line 2: "Worrell (24H 231)" and delete remainder of
•	54	Note 21, last line: 24H 406
	61	Penultimate paragraph, last line: 6H 117

line

II. Other Remarks

<u>Galley page 6</u>

Please delete reference to World Health Organization, as previously requested. Believe it or not, this could be grounds for dismissal from my job.

Galley page 21, para. 4, lines 8-10

You state that "one doctor believed it was too small to be even the <u>entry</u> hole of a bullet (6H56)." This assertion is seriously inconsistent with the actual testimony of Dr. Jones on the page cited.

He first indicates that the doctors had speculated that a bullet had entered the anterior neck and had struck a vertebral body, changing course and exiting from the posterior skull. He then suggests that doubts about this theory arose, not because of the size of the anterior neck wound but because it seemed unlikely that a high velocity bullet would change its course in this manner.

A bit later, Dr. Jones says that if it was a high velocity missile, he would think that "the entrance wound would probably be larger than the one that was present." That is somewhat different than the unqualified statement that he believed the wound to be too small to be even the entry hole.

Galley page 31, para. 2

You say, "This was a fairly large wound..." and at the end of that sentence you cite 4H 104. But on page 104, Dr. Shaw says explicitly that it was "a small wound."

Galley page 52, line 27

You should say, "At least <u>four</u> other witnesses..." and in Note 20 on galley page 54, Rowland should not be included, as he did not see a rifle "being fired from the Depository."

Galley page 68, Note 25

You may wish to refer to the primary instead of the secondary source of the statement that the ammunition is not reliable, by deleting "Lane...p. 122" and inserting "Meagher...p. 113." The note to Lane's text refers to a letter which I received from Western Cartridge Company. This letter is now cited also in my book.

Draft 8/23/67

- 6 Please delete reference to World Health Organization, as previously requested. Believe it or not, this could be grounds for dismissal from my job.
- 13 Para. 1, line 13: 19H493 Note 1: 22H685
- 16 Line 9: 7H449
- 20 Para. 2, line 21: 18H744

21 Para. 4, line 6: delete "64" insert "65"

Para. 4. Hines 8-10: You state that "one doctor believed it was too small to be even the entry hole of a bullet (6H56)." This seems seriously inconsistent with the actual testimony by Dr. Jones. He first indicates that the doctors speculated that a bullet had entered the anterior neck and struck a vertebral body, changing its course and exiting from the posterior skull. He suggests that doubts about this theory developed, not because of the size of the anterior neck wound, but because it seemed unlikely that a high velocity bullet would change its course in this manner. He says a bit later that if it was a high velocity missile, he would think that "the entrance wound would probably be larger than the one that was present" --which is not quite the same as saying without qualification that he believed the wound too small to be even the entry hole.

22 Line 5: 5H59-60

Para. 4, line 9: 3H360

- 29 Para. 4, line 11: R112
- 31 Para. 2: You say, "This was a fairly large wound," and cite 4H104 at the end of the sentence. But on page 104, Shaw says explicitly that it was "a small wound."
- 35 Line 1: Delete "33" and insert "313"
- 37 Para 5, line 4: 6H2O6
- 38 Line from Kellerman's testimony is missing, where indicated on galley
- 39 Para 1, 7H518 Para 3, Catline: 6H 292 Poura 4, Rine 16: 6H 294-295

46 hine 17: 6H 193 51 Para 4, fine 8: 24H 536



hive 26: 6# 157 hive 27: "let least four other wateroo co" (In note 20, gally J. 54, bowland Should not to included, he did not per a nifle "being fired for the Deposity."

asterisked footnote, line 14: 24H 229 52 hate 20, line 2: "Warrell (24H231)" """ Delite balance 7 line ("amute house Roulant (34H, etc.) 54

Nate 21, lest line: 2414 406 54 Venultimite pasa, last line: 6H 117 61 Those 25: Delete "have" and "insert " Murgher" 68 Delete "122" and cover 113"